

🔀 info@soilza.co.za

www.soilza.co.za

1A Wolfe St Wynberg Cape Town, 7800 South Africa

SITE SENSITIVITY VERIFICATION AND AGRICULTURAL COMPLIANCE STATEMENT FOR A PRIMARY DWELLING ON FARM NUMBER 257/480 NEAR STILL BAY, WESTERN CAPE

> Report by Johann Lanz

8 May 2025

# **Table of Contents**

Exect	utive sum	nmary	3
1	Introdu	ction	4
2	Project	description	5
3	Terms o	f reference	5
4	Method	ology of study	6
5	Assump	tions, uncertainties or gaps in knowledge or data	6
6	Applical	ole legislation and permit requirements	6
7	Baseline	e description of the agro-ecosystem	6
	7.1	Assessment of the agricultural production potential	11
8	Site sen	sitivity verification	11
9	Assessm	nent of the agricultural impact	14
	9.1	Impact identification and assessment	14
	9.2	Cumulative impact assessment	14
	9.3	Assessment of alternatives	14
10	Mitigati	on	15
11	Addition	nal aspects required in an agricultural assessment	15
	11.1	Micro-siting	15
	11.2	Confirmation of linear activity exclusion	15
12	Conclus	ion: Agricultural Compliance Statement	15
13	Referen	ces	17
Арре	endix 1: S	pecialist Curriculum Vitae	
Арре	endix 2: S	pecialist declaration form August 2023	19
Арре	endix 3: S	ACNASP registration certificate	22
Арре	endix 4: S	oil data	23

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The overall conclusion of this assessment is that the proposed development is acceptable because it leads to a negligible loss of future agricultural production potential.

The screening tool classifies the assessed area as being high agricultural sensitivity. This assessment disputes the high sensitivity classification of the site by the screening tool and verifies the entire site as being of low agricultural sensitivity because of its assessed lack of cropping potential. Cropping potential is predominantly limited by the location of the site, isolated from all other agricultural production land, and by the very small size of the site.

An agricultural impact is a change to the future agricultural production potential of land. This is primarily caused by the exclusion of agriculture from the footprint of a development. Due to the facts that the proposed development will exclude only a very small area of land, which has low agricultural potential, the overall, negative agricultural impact of the development (loss of future agricultural production potential) is assessed here as being of low significance and as acceptable.

From an agricultural impact point of view, it is recommended that the proposed development be approved.

# **1** INTRODUCTION

Environmental authorisation is being sought for a primary dwelling (see location in Figure 1). In terms of the National Environmental Management Act (Act No 107 of 1998 - NEMA), an application for environmental authorisation requires an agricultural assessment. In this case, because of the verified low agricultural sensitivity of the footprint (see Section 8), the level of agricultural assessment required by NEMA's agricultural protocol is an Agricultural Compliance Statement.



Figure 1. Locality map of the development (within red circle) northwest of Still Bay

The purpose of an agricultural assessment is to answer the question:

Will the proposed development cause a significant reduction in future agricultural production potential, and most importantly, will it result in a loss of arable land?

Section 9 of this report unpacks this question, particularly with respect to what constitutes a significant reduction. To answer the above question, it is necessary to determine the existing agricultural production potential of the land that will be impacted, and specifically whether it is viable arable land or not. This is done in Section 7 of this report. Sections 7 and 9 of this report directly address the above question and therefore contain the essence and most important part of the agricultural impact assessment.

## 2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The proposed development is of a primary dwelling located on portion 257 of the farm Melkhoutefontein, nr. 480 near Still Bay, Western Cape Province.

### **3** TERMS OF REFERENCE

The terms of reference for this study are to fulfill the requirements of the *Protocol for the specialist assessment and minimum report content requirements of environmental impacts on agricultural resources,* gazetted on 20 March 2020 in GN 320 (in terms of Sections 24(5)(A) and (H) and 44 of NEMA, 1998).

The terms of reference for an Agricultural Compliance Statement, as copied exactly from the protocol, are listed in the table below, and included, is the place in this report where each is addressed.

Number	Requirement	Where it is addressed			
3.	Agricultural Compliance Statement				
3.1.	3.1. The compliance statement must be prepared by a soil scientist or				
	agricultural specialist registered with the SACNASP.				
3.2.	The compliance statement must:				
3.2.1.	be applicable to the preferred site and proposed development footprint;	Figure 2			
3.2.2.	confirm that the site is of "low" or "medium" sensitivity for agriculture; and	Section 8			
3.2.3.	indicate whether or not the proposed development will have an unacceptable impact on the agricultural production capability of the site.	Section 9.1			
3.3.	The compliance statement must contain, as a minimum, the following information:				
3.3.1.	contact details and relevant experience as well as the SACNASP registration number of the soil scientist or agricultural specialist preparing the assessment including a curriculum vitae;	Appendix 1			
3.3.2.	a signed statement of independence;	Appendix 2			
3.3.3.	a map showing the proposed development footprint (including supporting infrastructure) with a 50m buffered development envelope, overlaid on the agricultural sensitivity map generated by the screening tool;	Figure 6			
3.3.4.	confirmation from the specialist that all reasonable measures have been taken through micro-siting to avoid or minimise fragmentation and disturbance of agricultural activities;	Section 11.1			
3.3.5.	a substantiated statement from the soil scientist or agricultural specialist on the acceptability, or not, of the proposed development	Section 12			

	and a recommendation on the approval, or not, of the proposed development;	
3.3.6.	any conditions to which the statement is subjected;	Section 12
3.3.7.	in the case of a linear activity, confirmation from the agricultural specialist or soil scientist, that in their opinion, based on the mitigation and remedial measures proposed, the land can be returned to the current state within two years of completion of the construction phase;	Section 11.2
3.3.8.	where required, proposed impact management outcomes or any monitoring requirements for inclusion in the EMPr; and	None required
3.3.9.	a description of the assumptions made as well as any uncertainties or gaps in knowledge or data.	Section 5
3.4.	A signed copy of the compliance statement must be appended to the Basic Assessment Report or Environmental Impact Assessment Report.	

#### 4 METHODOLOGY OF STUDY

The assessment was based on an on-site investigation conducted on 10 February 2025. It was also informed by existing climate, soil, and agricultural potential data for the site (see references). The aim of the on-site assessment was to verify current cropping status, agricultural land use, and agricultural conditions across the site in order to assess and determine the cropping potential across the site. An assessment of soils and long-term agricultural potential is in no way affected by the season in which the assessment is made, and therefore the date on which this assessment was done has no bearing on its results. The level of agricultural assessment is considered entirely adequate for an understanding of on-site agricultural production potential for the purposes of this assessment.

#### 5 ASSUMPTIONS, UNCERTAINTIES OR GAPS IN KNOWLEDGE OR DATA

There are no specific assumptions, uncertainties or gaps in knowledge or data that affect the findings of this study.

#### 6 APPLICABLE LEGISLATION AND PERMIT REQUIREMENTS

This section identifies all applicable agricultural legislation and permit requirements over and above what is required in terms of NEMA. A primary residence on a farm should not require any agricultural approval.

#### 7 BASELINE DESCRIPTION OF THE AGRO-ECOSYSTEM

The purpose of this section is firstly to present the baseline information that controls the agricultural

production potential of the site and then, most importantly, to assess that potential. Agricultural production potential, and particularly cropping potential, is one of four factors that determines the significance of an agricultural impact, together with magnitude of impact, size of footprint, and duration of impact. (see Section 9). Cropping potential also directly determines the true agricultural sensitivity of the land and therefore informs the site sensitivity verification.

All the important parameters that control the agricultural production potential of the site are given in Table 1. Soil data are given in Appendix 4. A map of the development site is given in Figure 2 and photographs of site conditions are shown in Figures 2 to 5.

	Parameter	Value						
Clin	Köppen-Geiger climate description	Arid, steppe, cold						
Climate	(Beck <i>et al,</i> 2018)							
	Mean Annual Rainfall (mm) (Schulze,	480						
	2009)							
	Reference Crop Evaporation Annual	1160						
	Total (mm) (Schulze, 2009)							
	Climate capability classification (out of	6 (moderate-high)						
	9) (DAFF, 2017)							
Terrain	Terrain type	River flood plain						
ain	Terrain morphological unit	Valley bottom						
	Slope gradients (%)	0 to 7						
	Altitude (m)	8						
	Terrain capability classification (out of	5 (moderate)						
	9) (DAFF, 2017)							
Soil	Geology (DAFF, 2002)	Calcareous sandstone of the Bredasdorp Group overlying						
		shale of the Bokkeveld Group.						
	Land type (DAFF, 2002)	Fc16, but site soils are not representative of the land type						
	Description of the soils	Deep sandy soils formed in alluvial deposits						
	Dominant soil forms	Dundee						
	Soil capability classification (out of 9)	3 (low)						
	(DAFF, 2017)							
	Soil limitations	Limited water holding capacity						
Lan	Agricultural land use in the	None						
Land use	surrounding area							
ê	Agricultural land use on the site	None						

Table 1: Parameters that control and/or describe the agricultural production potential of the site.

	Parameter	Value
Ger	Long-term grazing capacity	15
General	(ha/LSU) (DAFF, 2018)	
Land capability classification (out of 5		5 (low)
15) (DAFF, 2017)Within Protected Agricultural AreaN		
		No
	(DALRRD, 2020)	



Figure 2. Proposed site development map (2025)



*Figure 3. Typical conditions on the site of the proposed main dwelling* 



Figure 4. Typical conditions on the site of the proposed main dwelling



Figure 5. Typical site conditions surrounding the proposed main dwelling

# 7.1 Assessment of the agricultural production potential

This assessment of the agricultural production potential of the site is based on an integration of the different parameters in Table 1 above. Although there are potential climate, terrain, and soil constraints on the site's agricultural production potential, its potential to practically deliver agricultural produce is primarily constrained by other factors. Cropping potential is predominantly limited by the location of the site, isolated from all other agricultural production land, and by the very small size of the site. It is a totally impractical piece of land to farm and is only suitable for holiday type of accommodation. For these reasons, the site will never be viably utilised for agricultural production and its potential is therefore assessed here as very low.

# 8 SITE SENSITIVITY VERIFICATION

A specialist agricultural assessment is required to include a verification of the agricultural sensitivity of the development site as per the sensitivity categories used by the web-based environmental screening tool of the Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment (DFFE). The screening tool's classification of sensitivity is merely an initial indication of what the sensitivity of a piece of land might be, as indicated by the only data that is available. What the screening tool attempts to indicate is whether the land is suitable for crop production (high and very high sensitivity) or unsuitable for crop production (low to medium sensitivity). To do this, the screening tool uses three independent criteria, from three independent data sets, which are all indicators of suitability for crop production but are limited and were not designed for this purpose. The three criteria are:

- 1. Whether the land is classified as cropland or not on the field crop boundary data set (Crop Estimates Consortium, 2019). All classified cropland is, by definition, either high or very high sensitivity.
- 2. Its land capability rating as per the Department of Agriculture's updated and refined, country-wide land capability mapping (DAFF, 2017). Land capability is defined as the combination of soil, climate, and terrain suitability factors for supporting rain-fed agricultural production. The direct relationship between land capability rating, agricultural sensitivity, and rain-fed cropping suitability is summarised by this author in Table 2.
- 3. Whether the land is classified as a protected agricultural area (PAA) or not (DALRRD, 2020). All classified PAAs are, by definition, either high or very high sensitivity.

The limitations for determining cropping suitability based on these data are as follows:

- 1. The field crop boundary data set used by the screening tool is very outdated
- 2. Land capability mapping is fairly coarse, modelled data which is not always accurate at site scale.
- 3. PAAs are demarcated broadly, not at a fine scale, and there is therefore much variation of cropping suitability within a PAA. All land within these demarcated areas is not necessarily of sufficient agricultural potential to be suitable for crop production, due to finer scale terrain, soil, and other constraints.

These three inputs operate independently, and the screening tool's agricultural sensitivity is determined by whichever of these gives the highest sensitivity rating. The agricultural sensitivity of the site, as classified by the screening tool, is shown in Figure 6.

The true agricultural sensitivity of any land is equivalent to its actual suitability for crop production on the ground, rather than being determined by a parameter that serves as a proxy for crop suitability in a dataset. The land's suitability for cropping directly determines how important it is to conserve that land as agricultural production land. To determine suitability for crop production, and hence sensitivity, requires a site-specific assessment, as has been conducted in this assessment.

**Table 2:** Relationship between land capability, agricultural sensitivity, and rain-fed cropping suitability.

Land capability	Agricultural	Rain-fed cropping suitability					
value	sensitivity	Summer rainfall areas	Winter rainfall areas				
1 - 5	Low		Unsuitable				
6	Medium	Unsuitable	Onsultable				
7	Medium		Suitable				
8	High						
9 - 10	i iigii	Suitable					
11 - 15	Very High						



**Figure 6.** The assessed area (blue outline) overlaid on agricultural sensitivity, as given by the screening tool (green = low; yellow = medium; red = high; dark red = very high).

Despite the detail in this section above, the determinants of agricultural sensitivity are actually very straight forward and may be summed up as follows. If land is suitable for viable crop production - that is if it has the capability to deliver an above break-even crop yield on a sustainable basis - then it is of high or very high agricultural sensitivity. If it has limitations that prevent it from being able to deliver an above break-even crop yield on a sustainable basis - then it is of low agricultural sensitivity.

The screening tool classifies the assessed site as high agricultural sensitivity. The high sensitivity classification by the screening tool is due to the land being classified as cropland. However, as shown in Section 7, the site is not at all suitable for viable crop production and its true sensitivity, as

assessed on the ground, is therefore low. This assessment therefore disputes the high sensitivity classification of the site by the screening tool and verifies the entire site as being of low agricultural sensitivity because of its assessed cropping potential.

# 9 ASSESSMENT OF THE AGRICULTURAL IMPACT

# 9.1 Impact identification and assessment

It should be noted that an Agricultural Compliance Statement is not required to formally rate agricultural impacts by way of impact assessment tables.

An agricultural impact must by definition cause a change to the future agricultural production potential of land. If there is no change, there is no impact. Because the site has no current agricultural production potential due to the limitations of its location, the occupation of the site by the development cannot change its agricultural production potential. The development will therefore have zero agricultural impact and is therefore assessed as acceptable.

# 9.2 Cumulative impact assessment

Specialist assessments for environmental authorisation are required to include an assessment of cumulative impacts. The cumulative impact of a development is the impact that development will have when its impact is added to the incremental impacts of other past, present, or reasonably foreseeable future activities that will affect the same environment. The potential cumulative agricultural impact of importance is a regional loss of future agricultural production potential.

Due to its negligible agricultural impact, the assessed development will not contribute to the cumulative impact. The cumulative agricultural impact of the proposed development is therefore assessed here as being of low significance and therefore as acceptable. The development will not have an unacceptable negative impact on the agricultural production capability of the area, and it is therefore recommended, from a cumulative agricultural impact perspective, that the development be approved.

# 9.3 Assessment of alternatives

Specialist assessments for environmental authorisation are required to include a comparative assessment of alternatives, including the no-go alternative. Because the site is not viable agricultural production land, the exact positions of all proposed infrastructure within it will make absolutely no difference to agricultural impacts. Any alternative layouts within the same site will have equal agricultural impact and are assessed as equally acceptable.

The no-go alternative considers impacts that will occur to the agricultural environment in the absence of the proposed development. There are no agricultural impacts of the no-go alternative, but this is not significantly different from the very low impact of the development, and so from an agricultural impact perspective, there is no preferred alternative between the no-go and the development.

# **10 MITIGATION**

No mitigation measures are required for the protection of agricultural production potential on the site because the site is not and will not be utilised as agricultural production land.

# 11 ADDITIONAL ASPECTS REQUIRED IN AN AGRICULTURAL ASSESSMENT

### 11.1 Micro-siting

The agricultural protocol requires confirmation that all reasonable measures have been taken through micro-siting to minimize fragmentation and disturbance of agricultural activities. Because of the uniformly low agricultural potential of the environment, with no cropping, micro-siting will make no material difference to agricultural impacts and disturbance.

## 11.2 Confirmation of linear activity exclusion

If linear infrastructure has been given exclusion from complying with certain requirements of the agricultural protocol because of its linear nature, the protocol requires confirmation that the land impacted by that linear infrastructure can be returned to the current state within two years of completion of the construction phase. No such exclusion applies to this project.

# 12 CONCLUSION: AGRICULTURAL COMPLIANCE STATEMENT

The overall conclusion of this assessment is that the proposed development is acceptable because it leads to a negligible loss of future agricultural production potential.

The screening tool classifies the assessed area as being high agricultural sensitivity. This assessment disputes the high sensitivity classification of the site by the screening tool and verifies the entire site as being of low agricultural sensitivity because of its assessed lack of cropping potential. Cropping potential is predominantly limited by the location of the site, isolated from all other agricultural production land, and by the very small size of the site.

An agricultural impact is a change to the future agricultural production potential of land. This is primarily caused by the exclusion of agriculture from the footprint of a development. Due to the

facts that the proposed development will exclude only a very small area of land, which has low agricultural potential, the overall, negative agricultural impact of the development (loss of future agricultural production potential) is assessed here as being of low significance and as acceptable.

From an agricultural impact point of view, it is recommended that the proposed development be approved. The conclusion of this assessment on the acceptability of the proposed development and the recommendation for its approval is not subject to any conditions.

## **13 REFERENCES**

Beck, H.E., N.E. Zimmermann, T.R. McVicar, N. Vergopolan, A. Berg, E.F. Wood. 2018. Present and future Köppen-Geiger climate classification maps at 1-km resolution, Nature Scientific Data. Available at: https://gis.elsenburg.com/apps/cfm/.

Crop Estimates Consortium, 2019. *Field Crop Boundary data layer, 2019*. Pretoria. Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries.

Department of Agriculture Forestry and Fisheries (DAFF). 2018. Long-term grazing capacity map for South Africa developed in line with the provisions of Regulation 10 of the Conservation of Agricultural Resources Act, Act no 43 of 1983 (CARA), available on Cape Farm Mapper. Available at: https://gis.elsenburg.com/apps/cfm/

Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (DAFF). 2017. National land capability evaluation raster data layer, 2017. Pretoria.

Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (DAFF). 2002. National land type inventories data set. Pretoria.

Department of Agriculture, Land Reform and Rural Development (DALRRD). 2020. Protected agricultural areas – Spatial data layer. 2020. Pretoria.

Schulze, R.E. 2009. South African Atlas of Agrohydrology and Climatology, available on Cape Farm Mapper. Available at: https://gis.elsenburg.com/apps/cfm/

Soil Classification Working Group. 2018. Soil Classification: A Natural and Anthropogenic System for South Africa. ARC-Institute for Soil, Climate and Water, Pretoria.

#### APPENDIX 1: SPECIALIST CURRICULUM VITAE

Johann Lanz Curriculum Vitae								
Education								
M.Sc. (Environmental Geochemistry)	University of Cape Town	1996 - 1997						
B.Sc. Agriculture (Soil Science, Chemistry)	University of Stellenbosch	1992 - 1995						
BA (English, Environmental & Geographical Science)	University of Cape Town	1989 - 1991						
Matric Exemption	Wynberg Boy's High School	1983						

#### Professional work experience

I have been registered as a Professional Natural Scientist (Pri.Sci.Nat.) in the field of soil science since 2012 (registration number 400268/12) and am a member of the Soil Science Society of South Africa.

#### Soil & Agricultural Consulting Self employed

# 2002 - present

Within the 23 years of running my soil and agricultural consulting business, I have completed more than 1000 agricultural assessments (EIAs, SEAs, EMPRs) in all 9 provinces for renewable energy, mining, electrical grid infrastructure, urban, and agricultural developments. I was the appointed agricultural specialist for the nation-wide SEAs for wind and solar PV developments, electrical grid infrastructure, and gas pipelines. My regular clients include: Zutari; CSIR; SiVEST; SLR; WSP; SRK; Environamics; Royal Haskoning DHV; ABO; Enertrag; WKN-Windcurrent; JG Afrika; Mainstream; Redcap; G7; Mulilo; and Tiptrans. Agricultural clients for soil resource evaluations and mapping include Cederberg Wines; Western Cape Department of Agriculture; Vogelfontein Citrus; De Grendel Estate; Zewenwacht Wine Estate; and Goedgedacht Olives.In 2018 I completed a ground-breaking case study that measured the agricultural impact of existing wind farms in the Eastern Cape.

#### Soil Science Consultant Agricultural Consultors International (Tinie du Preez) 1998 - 2001

Responsible for providing all aspects of a soil science technical consulting service directly to clients in the wine, fruit and environmental industries all over South Africa, and in Chile, South America.

#### Contracting Soil ScientistDe Beers Namaqualand MinesJuly 1997 - Jan 1998

Completed a contract to advise soil rehabilitation and re-vegetation of mined areas.

#### Publications

- Lanz, J. 2012. Soil health: sustaining Stellenbosch's roots. In: M Swilling, B Sebitosi & R Loots (eds). Sustainable Stellenbosch: opening dialogues. Stellenbosch: SunMedia.
- Lanz, J. 2010. Soil health indicators: physical and chemical. *South African Fruit Journal*, April / May 2010 issue.
- Lanz, J. 2009. Soil health constraints. *South African Fruit Journal*, August / September 2009 issue.
- Lanz, J. 2009. Soil carbon research. *AgriProbe*, Department of Agriculture.
- Lanz, J. 2005. Special Report: Soils and wine quality. *Wineland Magazine*.

I am a reviewing scientist for the South African Journal of Plant and Soil.



& the environment Department: Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

forestry, fisheries

Private Bag X447, Pretoria, 0001, Environment House, 473 Steve Biko Road, Pretoria, 0002 Tel: +27 12 399 9000, Fax: +27 86 625 1042

#### **APPENDIX 2: SPECIALIST DECLARATION FORM AUGUST 2023**

Specialist Declaration form for assessments undertaken for application for authorisation in terms of the National Environmental Management Act, Act No. 107 of 1998, as amended and the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations, 2014, as amended (the Regulations)

# REPORT TITLE: AGRICULTURAL COMPLIANCE STATEMENT FOR A PRIMARY DWELLING ON FARM NUMBER 257/480 NEAR STILL BAY, WESTERN CAPE

#### Kindly note the following:

1. This form must always be used for assessment that are in support of applications that must be subjected to Basic Assessment or Scoping & Environmental Impact Reporting, where this Department is the Competent Authority.

2. This form is current as of August 2023. It is the responsibility of the Applicant / Environmental Assessment Practitioner (EAP) to ascertain whether subsequent versions of the form have been published or produced by the Competent Authority. The latest available Departmental templates are available at <a href="https://www.dffe.gov.za/documents/forms">https://www.dffe.gov.za/documents/forms</a>.

3. An electronic copy of the signed declaration form must be appended to all Draft and Final Reports submitted to the department for consideration.

4. The specialist must be aware of and comply with 'the Procedures for the assessment and minimum criteria for reporting on identified environmental themes in terms of sections 24(5)(a) and (h) and 44 of the act, when applying for environmental authorisation - GN 320/2020)', where applicable.

Title of Specialist Assessment	Agricultural Assessment					
Specialist Company Name	SoilZA – sole proprietor					
Specialist Name	Johann Lanz					
Specialist Identity Number	6607045174089					
Specialist Qualifications:	M.Sc. (Environmental Geochemistry)					
Professional affiliation/registration:	Registered Professional Natural Scientist (Pr.Sci.Nat.) Reg. no. 400268/12 Member of the Soil Science Society of South Africa					
Physical address:	1a Wolfe Street, Wynberg, Cape Town, 7800					
Postal address:	1a Wolfe Street, Wynberg, Cape Town, 7800					
Telephone	Not applicable					
Cell phone	+27 82 927 9018					
E-mail	johann@soilza.co.za					

#### **1. SPECIALIST INFORMATION**

# 2. DECLARATION BY THE SPECIALIST

I, Johann Lanz declare that -

- I act as the independent specialist in this application;
- I am aware of the procedures and requirements for the assessment and minimum criteria for reporting on identified environmental themes in terms of sections 24(5)(a) and (h) and 44 of the National Environmental Management Act (NEMA), 1998, as amended, when applying for environmental authorisation which were promulgated in Government Notice No. 320 of 20 March 2020 (i.e. "the Protocols") and in Government Notice No. 1150 of 30 October 2020.
- I will perform the work relating to the application in an objective manner, even if this results in views and findings that are not favourable to the applicant;
- I declare that there are no circumstances that may compromise my objectivity in performing such work;
- I have expertise in conducting the specialist report relevant to this application, including knowledge of the Act, Regulations and any guidelines that have relevance to the proposed activity;
- I will comply with the Act, Regulations and all other applicable legislation;
- I have no, and will not engage in, conflicting interests in the undertaking of the activity;
- I undertake to disclose to the applicant and the competent authority all material information in my possession that reasonably has or may have the potential of influencing
  - any decision to be taken with respect to the application by the competent authority; and;
  - the objectivity of any report, plan or document to be prepared by myself for submission to the competent authority;
- All the particulars furnished by me in this form are true and correct; and
- I realise that a false declaration is an offence in terms of Regulation 48 and is punishable in terms of section 24F of the NEMA Act.

Signature of the Specialist

SoilZA (sole proprietor)

Name of Company:

7 April 2025

Date

# SPECIALIST DECLARATION FORM - AUGUST 2023

# 3. UNDERTAKING UNDER OATH/ AFFIRMATION

I, Johann Lanz, swear under oath that all the information submitted or to be submitted for the purposes of this application is true and correct

Signature of the \$pec SoilZA - sole proprietor Name of Company 202 Date Z 10 <Signature of the commissioner of Oaths ¢, ST/OH RORS Date I certify that the DEPONENT has acknowledged that he/she knows and understands the context of this affidavit; that he/she does not have any objection to taking the ceth, and that he/she considers it to be binding on her. Per conscionce, and which was swern to and exped being me and that the administering ceth complete with the regulations contained in Government Gazette No R 1258 of 21 July 1972, as amended.

COLIN POULTNEY COLIN POULTNEY COMMISSIONER OF DATHS IT APPOINTMENT - REPUBLIC OF SA POSTNET CONSTANTIA, SHOP 0, OLD WILLAGE S/C, MAIN ROAD, CONSTANTIA, 7906 TEL: 021 794 0447

Batho pale- putting people first

**APPENDIX 3: SACNASP REGISTRATION CERTIFICATE** 



# herewith certifies that

# Johan Lanz

Registration Number: 400268/12

# is a registered scientist

in terms of section 20(3) of the Natural Scientific Professions Act, 2003 (Act 27 of 2003) in the following field(s) of practice (Schedule 1 of the Act)

Soil Science (Professional Natural Scientist)

Effective 15 August 2012

Expires 31 March 2026



Chairperson

**Chief Executive Officer** 



To verify this certificate scan this code

#### **APPENDIX 4: SOIL DATA**

Land type	Soil series (forms)	Depth (mm)		Clay % A horizon		Clay % B horizon		Depth limiting layer	% of land type			
Fc16	Ms	100	-	200	2	-	6				ka	42,5
Fc16	R											24,3
Fc16	Vf	1000	-	1200	0	-	6	6	-	15	U	6,4
Fc16	Cv	500	-	800	0	-	6	0	-	6	R	3,9
Fc16	Ms	100	-	250	2	-	6				R	3,9
Fc16	We, Av	200	-	600	6	-	15	6	-	15	sp	3,9
Fc16	S											3,6
Fc16	Gs	150	-	300	6	-	15	10	-	20	so,R	3,3
Fc16	Oa		>	1200	6	-	15	6	-	15		2,8
Fc16	Du		>	1200	0	-	6					2,7
Fc16	Sw	200	-	400	10	-	20	35	-	55	vp	2,7