

SOCIAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

ARISTIDA PV SOLAR ENERGY FACILITY

NORTH WEST PROVINCE

APRIL 2022

Prepared

By

Tony Barbour and Schalk van der Merwe

Tony Barbour

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTING

10 Firs Avenue, Claremont, 7708, South Africa

(Tel) 27-21-761 2355 - (Fax) 27-21-761 2355 - (Cell) 082 600 8266

(E-Mail) tbarbour@telkomsa.net

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

INTRODUCTION AND LOCATION

CapeEAPrac was appointed to manage the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) processes for the proposed 120 MW Aristida PV Solar Energy Facility (SEF) located approximately 5 km north west of the town of Lichtenburg in the North West Province (Figure 1.1). The Aristida PV SEF is one of two 120 MW PV SEFs (Themeda PV and Aristida PV) that make up the Elandsfontein PV Solar Energy Facility (SEF) cluster. A Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) is attached to the PV SEF. The development area is situated within the Ditsobotla Local Municipality (DLM) within the Ngaka Modiri Molema District Municipality (NMMDM).

SUMMARY OF KEY FINDINGS

The key findings of the study are summarised under the following sections:

- Fit with policy and planning.
- Construction phase impacts.
- Operational phase impacts.
- Cumulative impacts.
- Decommissioning phase impacts.
- No-development option.

POLICY AND PLANNING ISSUES

The development of renewable energy is strongly supported at a national, provincial, and local level. The development of and investment in renewable energy is supported by the National Development Plan (NDP), New Growth Path Framework and National Infrastructure Plan, which all refer to and support renewable energy. The North West Province Renewable Energy Strategy also supports the development of renewable energy. The development of the proposed PV SEF is therefore supported by key policy and planning documents.

CONSTRUCTION PHASE

The key social issues associated with the construction phase include:

Potential positive impacts

- Creation of employment and business opportunities, and the opportunity for skills development and on-site training.

The construction phase will extend over a period of approximately 14-18 months and create in the region of 350 employment opportunities. Based on information provided by the proponent, approximately 75% of the jobs will benefit low-skilled workers, 25% semi-skilled and 5% high skilled. Members from the local communities in Lichtenburg may potentially qualify for low skilled and semi-skilled employment opportunities. Most of these employment opportunities will accrue to Historically Disadvantaged (HD) members of the community. Given relatively high local unemployment levels and limited job opportunities in the area, this will represent a

significant, if localised, social benefit. The total wage bill will be in the region of R 31 million (2022 Rand values). A percentage of the wage bill will be spent in the local economy which will also create opportunities for local businesses in the local towns in the area and the DLM.

The capital expenditure associated with the construction phase will be approximately R 1.5 billion (2022 Rand value). This will create opportunities for local companies and the regional and local economy. Due the lack of diversification in the local economy the potential for local companies is likely to be limited. The majority of benefits are therefore likely to accrue to contractors and engineering companies based outside the DLM. The local service sector will also benefit from the construction phase. The potential opportunities would be linked to accommodation, catering, cleaning, transport, and security, etc. associated with the construction workers on the site.

Potential negative impacts

- Impacts associated with the presence of construction workers on local communities.
- Impacts related to the potential influx of jobseekers.
- Increased risks to livestock and farming infrastructure associated with the construction related activities and presence of construction workers on the site.
- Increased risk of grass fires associated with construction related activities.
- Nuisance impacts, such as noise, dust, and safety, associated with construction related activities and vehicles.
- Impact on productive farmland.

The findings of the SIA indicate that the significance of all the potential negative impacts with mitigation are likely to be **Low Negative**. The potential negative impacts can therefore be effectively mitigated if the recommended mitigation measures are implemented. Table 1 summarises the significance of the impacts associated with the construction phase.

Table 1: Summary of social impacts during construction phase

Impact	Significance No Mitigation/Enhancement	Significance With Mitigation/Enhancement
Creation of employment and business opportunities	Medium (Positive)	Medium (Positive)
Presence of construction workers and potential impacts on family structures and social networks	Medium (Negative)	Low (Negative)
Influx of job seekers	Low (Negative)	Low (Negative)
Safety risk, stock theft and damage to farm infrastructure associated with presence of construction workers	Medium (Negative)	Low (Negative)
Increased risk of grass fires	Medium (Negative)	Low (Negative)
Impact of heavy vehicles and construction activities	Medium (Negative)	Low (Negative)
Loss of farmland	Medium (Negative)	Low (Negative)

OPERATIONAL PHASE

The following key social issues are of relevance to the operational phase:

Potential positive impacts

- the establishment of infrastructure to improve energy security and support renewable sector.
- Creation of employment opportunities.
- Benefits for local landowners.
- Benefits associated with socio-economic contributions to community development.

The proposed project will supplement South Africa's energy and assist to improve energy security. In addition, it will also reduce the country's reliance on coal as an energy source. This represents a positive social benefit.

Potential negative impacts

- Noise impacts associated with the operation of the plant.
- Visual impacts and associated impacts on sense of place.
- Potential impact on property values.
- Potential impact on tourism.

The findings of the SIA indicate that the significance of all the potential negative impacts with mitigation are likely to be **Low Negative**. The potential negative impacts can therefore be effectively mitigated. The significance of the impacts associated with the operational phase are summarised in Table 2.

Table 2: Summary of social impacts during operational phase

Impact	Significance No Mitigation/Enhancement	Significance With Mitigation/Enhancement
Establishment of infrastructure to improve energy security and support renewable sector	High (Positive)	High (Positive)
Creation of employment and business opportunities during maintenance	Low (Positive)	Medium (Positive)
Benefits associated with socio-economic contributions to community development	Medium (Positive)	High (Positive)
Benefits for landowners	Low (Positive)	Medium (Positive)
Visual impact and impact on sense of place	Low (Negative)	Low (Negative)
Impact on property values	Low (Negative)	Low (Negative)
Impact on tourism	Low (Negative)	Low (Negative)

CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

Cumulative impact on sense of place

Although no PV SEF facilities have been constructed in the Lichtenburg area to date, approximately 11 facilities are currently being investigated, proposed, or have been (partly) approved within a 10 km radius of Lichtenburg. The potential for cumulative impacts on the areas sense of place is therefore high. The significance is rated at **Medium Negative**.

Cumulative impact on local services and accommodation

The significance of this impact with mitigation was rated as **Low Negative**.

Cumulative impact on local economy

The significance of this impact with enhancement was rated as **Medium Positive**.

NO-DEVELOPMENT OPTION

The No-Development option would represent a lost opportunity for South Africa to improve energy security and supplement its current energy needs with clean, renewable energy. Given South Africa's current energy security challenges and its position as one of the highest per capita producers of carbon emissions in the world, this would represent a significant negative social cost. The No-Development option is not supported by the findings of the SIA.

CONCLUSIONS

The findings of the SIA indicate that the proposed 120 MW Aristida PV SEF will result in several social and socio-economic benefits, including creation of employment and business opportunities during both the construction and operational phase. The project will also contribute to local economic development through socio-economic development (SED) contributions. In addition, the development will improve energy security and reduce the carbon footprint associated with energy generation. The findings of the SIA also indicate that the potential negative impacts associated with both the construction and operational phase are likely to be **Low Negative** with mitigation. The potential negative impacts can therefore be effectively mitigated if the recommended mitigation measures are implemented. The establishment of the proposed 120 MW Aristida PV SEF is therefore supported by the findings of the SIA.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	i
SECTION 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	INTRODUCTION
.....	1
1.2	PROJECT DESCRIPTION
.....	1
1.3	APPROACH TO STUDY
.....	3
1.4	ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITATIONS
.....	4
1.4.1 Assumptions.....	4
1.4.2 Limitations	4
1.5	SPECIALIST DETAILS
.....	4
1.6	DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE
.....	5
1.7	REPORT STRUCTURE
.....	5
SECTION 2: POLICY AND PLANNING ENVIRONMENT	6
2.1	INTRODUCTION
.....	6
2.2	NATIONAL POLICY ENVIRONMENT
.....	6
2.2.1 National Energy Act (Act No 34 of 2008)	6
2.2.2 White Paper on the Energy Policy of the Republic of South Africa	7
2.2.3 White Paper on Renewable Energy	7
2.2.4 Integrated Energy Plan	8
2.2.5 Integrated Resource Plan	11
2.2.6 National Development Plan	13
2.2.7 The New Growth Path Framework	13
2.2.8 National Infrastructure Plan.....	13
2.3	PROVINCIAL AND LOCAL LEVEL POLICY AND PLANNING
.....	14
2.3.1 North West Provincial Growth and Development Strategy.....	14
2.3.2 North West Provincial Renewable Energy Strategy.....	15
2.3.4 Ngaka Modiri Molema District Municipality Integrated Development Plan	16
2.3.5 Ditsobotla Municipality Integrated Development Plan.....	17
2.4	OVERVIEW RENEWABLE ENERGY SECTOR IN SOUTH AFRICA
.....	18
2.4.1 Independent Power Producers Procurement Programme (IPPPP): An Overview	18
2.4.2 Green Jobs Study.....	24
2.4.3 Powering the Future: Renewable Energy Roll-out in South Africa.....	27
2.4.4 WWF SA Renewable Energy Vision 2030	27
2.4.5 The impact of the green economy on jobs in South Africa	29
2.4.6 The potential for local community benefits from wind farms in South Africa	30

SECTION 3: OVERVIEW OF STUDY AREA	31
3.1	INTRODUCTION
.....	31
3.2	ADMINISTRATIVE CONTEXT
.....	31
3.3	DEMOGRAPHIC OVERVIEW
.....	32
3.4	MUNICIPAL SERVICES
.....	33
3.5	ECONOMIC OVERVIEW
.....	34
3.6	OVERVIEW OF STUDY AREA
.....	36
3.6.1	Introduction
	36
3.6.2	Site properties
	42
3.6.3	Adjacent properties
	45
3.6.4	Potentially sensitive social receptors
	47
3.6.5	Other renewable energy facilities
	48
SECTION 4: ASSESSMENT OF KEY SOCIAL ISSUES	52
4.1	INTRODUCTION
.....	52
4.2	ASSESSMENT OF POLICY AND PLANNING FIT
.....	52
4.3	CONSTRUCTION PHASE SOCIAL IMPACTS
.....	52
4.3.1	Creation of local employment, training, and business opportunities
	53
4.3.2	Impact of construction workers on local communities
	55
4.3.3	Influx of job seekers
	57
4.3.4	Risk to safety, livestock, and farm infrastructure
	58
4.3.5	Increased risk of grass fires
	60
4.3.6	Nuisance impacts associated with construction related activities
	61
4.3.7	Impacts associated with loss of farmland
	62
4.4	OPERATIONAL PHASE SOCIAL IMPACTS
.....	64
4.4.1	Improve energy security and support the renewable energy sector
	64
4.4.2	Creation of employment opportunities
	67
4.4.3	Generate income for affected landowners
	68
4.4.4	Benefits associated with the socio-economic development contributions
	68
4.4.5	Visual impact and impact on sense of place
	70
4.4.6	Potential impact on property values
	71
4.4.7	Potential impact on tourism
	72
4.5	CUMULATIVE IMPACT ON SENSE OF PLACE
.....	73
4.6	CUMULATIVE IMPACT ON LOCAL SERVICES AND ACCOMMODATION
.....	74
4.7	CUMULATIVE IMPACT ON LOCAL ECONOMY
.....	75
4.8	ASSESSMENT OF NO-DEVELOPMENT OPTION
.....	77
SECTION 5: KEY FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	78

5.1	INTRODUCTION	78
5.2	SUMMARY OF KEY FINDINGS	78
5.2.1	Policy and planning issues	78
5.2.2	Construction phase impacts	78
5.2.3	Operational phase impacts	79
5.2.4	Assessment of cumulative impacts	80
5.2.5	Assessment of no-development option	81
5.3	CONCLUSIONS	81
	ANNEXURE A	82
	ANNEXURE B	83
	ANNEXURE C	85
	ANNEXURE D	86

CONTENTS OF THE SPECIALIST REPORT – CHECKLIST

Regulation GNR 326 of 4 December 2014, as amended 7 April 2017, Appendix 6	Section of Report
(a) details of the specialist who prepared the report; and the expertise of that specialist to compile a specialist report including a <i>curriculum vitae</i> ;	Section 1.5, Annexure A
(b) a declaration that the specialist is independent in a form as may be specified by the competent authority;	Section 1.6, Annexure B
(c) an indication of the scope of, and the purpose for which, the report was prepared;	Section 1.1, Section 1.2
(cA) an indication of the quality and age of base data used for the specialist report;	Section 1.2, Section 3,
(cB) a description of existing impacts on the site, cumulative impacts of the proposed development and levels of acceptable change;	Section 4
(d) the duration, date and season of the site investigation and the relevance of the season to the outcome of the assessment;	Interviews in 2021 (Annexure A)
(e) a description of the methodology adopted in preparing the report or carrying out the specialised process inclusive of equipment and modelling used;	Section 1.2, Annexure B
(f) details of an assessment of the specific identified sensitivity of the site related to the proposed activity or activities and its associated structures and infrastructure, inclusive of a site plan identifying site alternatives;	Section 4, Section 5,
(g) an identification of any areas to be avoided, including buffers;	Section 4
(h) a map superimposing the activity including the associated structures and infrastructure on the environmental sensitivities of the site including areas to be avoided, including buffers;	N/A
(i) a description of any assumptions made and any uncertainties or gaps in knowledge;	Section 1.4,
(j) a description of the findings and potential implications of such findings on the impact of the proposed activity, including identified alternatives on the environment, or activities;	Section 4, Section 5
(k) any mitigation measures for inclusion in the EMPr;	Section 4
(l) any conditions for inclusion in the environmental authorisation;	Section 4, Section 5
(m) any monitoring requirements for inclusion in the EMPr or environmental authorisation;	N/A
(n) a reasoned opinion— i. as to whether the proposed activity, activities or portions thereof should be authorised; iA. Regarding the acceptability of the proposed activity or activities; and ii. if the opinion is that the proposed activity, activities or portions thereof should be authorised, any avoidance, management and mitigation measures that should be included in the EMPr or Environmental Authorization, and where applicable, the closure plan;	Section 5.3
(o) a description of any consultation process that was undertaken during the course of preparing the specialist report	Annexure A, lists key stakeholders interviewed
(p) a summary and copies of any comments received during any consultation process and where applicable all responses thereto; and	Annexure A, lists key stakeholders interviewed
(q) any other information requested by the competent authority	N/A
Where a government notice gazetted by the Minister provides for any protocol or minimum information requirement to be applied to a specialist report, the requirements as indicated in such notice will	Comply with the Assessment Protocols that were

<p>apply.</p>	<p>published on 20 March 2020, in Government Gazette 43110, GN 320. This specifically includes Part A, which provides the Site Sensitivity Verification Requirements where a Specialist Assessment is required but no Specific Assessment Protocol has been prescribed. As at September 2020, there are no sensitivity layers on the Screening Tool for Socio-economic-features. Part A has therefore not been compiled for this assessment.</p>
---------------	--

ACRONYMS

BESS	Battery Energy Storage System
DEA	Department of Environmental Affairs
DEA&DP	Department of Environmental Affairs and Development Planning
DM	District Municipality
HD	Historically Disadvantaged
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
DLM	Ubuntu Local Municipality
IDP	Integrated Development Plan
IPP	Independent Power Producer
PKSDM	Pixley Ka Seme District Municipality
kV	Kilovolts
LED	Local Economic Development
LM	Local Municipality
NC	Northern Cape
NCPPGDS	Northern Cape Province Provincial Growth and Development Strategy
NCSDF	Northern Cape Spatial Development Framework
MW	Megawatt
PGDS	Provincial Growth and Development Strategy
SDF	Spatial Development Framework
WEF	Wind Energy Facility
SEF	Solar Energy Facility
SIA	Social Impact Assessment

SECTION 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1 INTRODUCTION

CapeEAPrac was appointed to manage the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) processes for the proposed 120 MW Aristida PV Solar Energy Facility (SEF) located approximately 5 km north west of the town of Lichtenburg in the North West Province (Figure 1.1). The Aristida PV SEF is one of two 120 MW PV SEFs (Themeda PV and Aristida PV) that make up the Elandsfontein PV Solar Energy Facility (SEF) cluster. A Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) is attached to the PV SEF. The development area is situated within the Ditsobotla Local Municipality (DLM) within the Ngaka Modiri Molema District Municipality (NMMDM).

Tony Barbour Environmental Consulting was appointed to undertake a specialist Social Impact Assessment (SIA) as part of an EIA process.




Figure 1.1: Location of Elandsfontein PV Solar Energy Facility Cluster (coloured block)

1.2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The 120 MW Aristida PV is located on a site approximately 5 km north west of the town of Lichtenburg in the North West Province. The development area is situated within the DLM

within the NMMDM and is accessible via the R503, located east of the development area. The development area is located on Portion 7 of Farm Elandsfontein 34.

The infrastructure associated with the 120 MW facility includes:

- PV modules and mounting structures.
- Inverters and transformers.
- Battery Energy Storage System (BESS).
- Site and internal access roads (up to 8m wide).
- Auxiliary buildings (22kV or 33kV switch room, gatehouse and security, control centre, office, warehouse, canteen & visitors centre, staff lockers etc.).
- Temporary and permanent laydown area.
- Cabling between the panels, to be laid underground where practical.
- Grid connection solution, including Medium-voltage cabling between the project components and the facility substation; and a 132kV facility substation.

The facility will include grid connection infrastructure (MV cabling and facility substation) that will facilitate the connection of the project components to the Elandsfontein collector switching station which will be located adjacent to the Themeda facility substation.

The Elandsfontein collector switching station intends to connect the Elandsfontein cluster to the National Grid via the Watershed Main Transmission Substation (MTS) (approximately 4.5 km east of the facility), however, the connection infrastructure associated with this grid solution (i.e., between the facility substation and the MTS) is being assessed as part of a separate Environmental Application. The BESS associated with the 120 MW PV SEF will occupy approximately 1 ha. Figures 1.2 and 1.3 illustrate typical PV and BESS facilities.



Figure 1.2: Typical PV SEF facility



Figure 1.3: Example of BESS located in storage containers

1.3 APPROACH TO STUDY

The approach to the SIA study is based on the Western Cape Department of Environmental Affairs and Development Planning Guidelines for Social Impact Assessment (February 2007). These guidelines are based on international best practice. The key activities in the SIA process embodied in the guidelines include:

- Describing and obtaining an understanding of the proposed intervention (type, scale, and location), the settlements, and communities likely to be affected by the proposed project.
- Collecting baseline data on the current social and economic environment.
- Identifying the key potential social issues associated with the proposed project. This requires a site visit to the area and consultation with affected individuals and communities. As part of the process a basic information document was prepared and made available to key interested and affected parties. The aim of the document was to inform the affected parties of the nature and activities associated with the construction and operation of the proposed development to enable them to better understand and comment on the potential social issues and impacts.
- Assessing and documenting the significance of social impacts associated with the proposed intervention.
- Identifying alternatives and mitigation measures.

In this regard the study involved:

- Review of socio-economic data for the study area.
- Review of relevant planning and policy frameworks for the area.

- Review of information from similar studies, including the SIAs undertaken for other renewable energy projects.
- Site visit and interviews with key stakeholders.
- Identifying the key potential social issues associated with the proposed project.
- Assessing and assessing the significance of social impacts associated with the proposed project.
- Identification of enhancement and mitigation measures aimed at maximizing opportunities and avoiding and or reducing negative impacts.

Annexure A contains a list of the secondary information reviewed. Annexure B summarises the assessment methodology used to assign significance ratings to the assessment process.

1.4 ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITATIONS

1.4.1 Assumptions

Technical suitability

It is assumed that the development site represents a technically suitable site for the establishment of the proposed SEF and associated infrastructure.

Strategic importance of the project

The strategic importance of promoting renewable and other forms of energy is supported by the national and provincial energy policies.

Fit with planning and policy requirements

Legislation and policies reflect societal norms and values. The legislative and policy context therefore plays an important role in identifying and assessing the potential social impacts associated with a proposed development. In this regard, a key component of the SIA process is to assess the proposed development in terms of its fit with key planning and policy documents. As such, if the findings of the study indicate that the proposed development in its current format does not conform to the spatial principles and guidelines contained in the relevant legislation and planning documents, and there are no significant or unique opportunities created by the development, the development cannot be supported.

1.4.2 Limitations

Demographic data

Some of the provincial documents do not contain data from the 2011 Census and or 2016 Household Community Survey. However, where required the relevant 2011 and 2016 data has been provided.

1.5 SPECIALIST DETAILS

Tony Barbour, the lead author of this report, is an independent specialist with 28 years' experience in the field of environmental management. In terms of SIA experience Tony Barbour has undertaken in the region of 260 SIAs and is the author of the Guidelines for Social Impact Assessments for EIA's adopted by the Department of Environmental Affairs and Development Planning (DEA&DP) in the Western Cape in 2007. Annexure C contains a copy of Tony Barbour's CV.

Schalk van der Merwe, the co-author of this report, has an MPhil in Environmental Management from the University of Cape Town and has worked closely with Tony Barbour over the last seventeen years.

1.6 DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE

This confirms that Tony Barbour and Schalk van der Merwe, the specialist consultants responsible for undertaking the study and preparing the SIA Report, are independent and do not have any vested or financial interests in the proposed power line being either approved or rejected. Annexure D contains a signed declaration of independence.

1.7 REPORT STRUCTURE

The report is divided into five sections, namely:

- Section 1: Introduction
- Section 2: Summary of key policy and planning documents relating to renewable energy and the area in question
- Section 3: Overview of the study area
- Section 4: Identification and assessment of key social issues
- Section 5: Summary of key findings and recommendations.

SECTION 2: POLICY AND PLANNING ENVIRONMENT

2.1 INTRODUCTION

Legislation and policy embody and reflect key societal norms, values, and developmental goals. The legislative and policy context therefore plays an important role in identifying, assessing, and evaluating the significance of potential social impacts associated with any given proposed development. An assessment of the “policy and planning fit¹” of the proposed development therefore constitutes a key aspect of the Social Impact Assessment (SIA). In this regard, assessment of “planning fit” conforms to international best practice for conducting SIAs.

Section 2 provides an overview of the policy and planning environment affecting the proposed project. For the purposes of meeting the objectives of the SIA the following policy and planning documents were reviewed:

- National Energy Act (2008).
- White Paper on the Energy Policy of the Republic of South Africa (December 1998).
- White Paper on Renewable Energy (November 2003).
- Integrated Energy Plan (2016).
- Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) for South Africa (2010-2030).
- National Development Plan (2011).
- New Growth Path Framework.
- National Infrastructure Plan.
- North West Provincial Growth and Development Strategy (2004-2014)
- North West Provincial Renewable Energy Strategy (2012).
- Ditsobotla Municipality (DM) Integrated Development Plan (2021/22).

The section also provides a review of the renewable energy sector in South Africa.

2.2 NATIONAL POLICY ENVIRONMENT

2.2.1 National Energy Act (Act No 34 of 2008)

The National Energy Act was promulgated in 2008 (Act No 34 of 2008). One of the objectives of the Act was to promote diversity of supply of energy and its sources. In this regard, the preamble makes direct reference to renewable resources, including solar and wind:

“To ensure that diverse energy resources are available, in sustainable quantities, and at affordable prices, to the South African economy, in support of economic growth and poverty alleviation, taking into account environmental management requirements (...); to provide for (...) increased generation and consumption of renewable energies...”(Preamble).

¹ Planning fit” can simply be described as the extent to which any relevant development satisfies the core criteria of appropriateness, need, and desirability, as defined or circumscribed by the relevant applicable legislation and policy documents at a given time.

2.2.2 White Paper on the Energy Policy of the Republic of South Africa

Investment in renewable energy initiatives, such as the proposed SEF, is supported by the White Paper on Energy Policy for South Africa (December 1998). In this regard, the document notes:

“Government policy is based on an understanding that renewables are energy sources in their own right, are not limited to small-scale and remote applications, and have significant medium and long-term commercial potential”.

“Renewable resources generally operate from an unlimited resource base and, as such, can increasingly contribute towards a long-term sustainable energy future”.

The support for renewable energy policy is guided by a rationale that South Africa has a very attractive range of renewable resources, particularly **solar** and wind and that renewable applications are in fact the least cost energy service in many cases; more so when social and environmental costs are taken into account.

Government policy on renewable energy is thus concerned with meeting the following challenges:

- Ensuring that economically feasible technologies and applications are implemented;
- Ensuring that an equitable level of national resources is invested in renewable technologies, given their potential and compared to investments in other energy supply options; and,
- Addressing constraints on the development of the renewable industry.

The White Paper also acknowledges that South Africa has neglected the development and implementation of renewable energy applications, despite the fact that the country’s renewable energy resource base is extensive, and many appropriate applications exist.

The White Paper also notes that renewable energy applications have specific characteristics that need to be considered. Advantages include:

- Minimal environmental impacts in operation in comparison with traditional supply technologies; and
- Generally lower running costs, and high labour intensities.

Disadvantages include:

- Higher capital costs in some cases.
- Lower energy densities.
- Lower levels of availability, depending on specific conditions, especially with sun and wind-based systems.

2.2.3 White Paper on Renewable Energy

The White Paper on Renewable Energy (November 2003) (further referred to as the White Paper) supplements the *White Paper on Energy Policy*, which recognizes that the medium and long-term potential of renewable energy is significant. This Paper sets out Government’s vision, policy principles, strategic goals and objectives for promoting and implementing renewable energy in South Africa.

The White Paper notes that while South Africa is well endowed with renewable energy resources that have the potential to become sustainable alternatives to fossil fuels, these have thus far remained largely untapped. As signatory to the Kyoto Protocol², Government is determined to make good the country's commitment to reducing greenhouse gas emissions. To this purpose, Government has committed itself to the development of a framework in which a national renewable energy framework can be established and operate.

South Africa is also a signatory of the Copenhagen Accord, a document that delegates at the 15th session of the Conference of Parties (COP 15) to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change agreed to "take note of" at the final plenary on 18 December 2009. The accord endorses the continuation of the Kyoto Protocol and confirms that climate change is one of the greatest challenges facing the world. In terms of the accord South Africa committed itself to a reduction target of 34% compared to business as usual. In this regard, the IRP 2010 aims to allocate 43% of new energy generation facilities in South Africa to renewables.

Apart from the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions, the promotion of renewable energy sources is aimed at ensuring energy security through the diversification of supply (in this regard, also refer to the objectives of the National Energy Act).

Government's long-term goal is the establishment of a renewable energy industry producing modern energy carriers that will offer in future years a sustainable, fully non-subsidised alternative to fossil fuels.

2.2.4 Integrated Energy Plan

The development of a National Integrated Energy Plan (IEP) (2016) was envisaged in the White Paper on the Energy Policy of the Republic of South Africa of 1998 and, in terms of the National Energy Act, 2008 (Act No. 34 of 2008), the Minister of Energy is mandated to develop and, on an annual basis, review and publish the IEP in the Government Gazette. The purpose of the IEP is to provide a roadmap of the future energy landscape for South Africa which guides future energy infrastructure investments and policy development.

The IEP notes that South Africa needs to grow its energy supply to support economic expansion and in so doing, alleviate supply bottlenecks and supply-demand deficits. In addition, it is essential that all citizens are provided with clean and modern forms of energy at an affordable price. As part of the Integrated Energy Planning process, eight key objectives were identified, namely:

- Objective 1: Ensure security of supply.
- Objective 2: Minimise the cost of energy.
- Objective 3: Promote the creation of jobs and localisation.
- Objective 4: Minimise negative environmental impacts from the energy sector.
- Objective 5: Promote the conservation of water.

² The Kyoto Protocol is a protocol to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), aimed at fighting global warming. The UNFCCC is an international environmental treaty with the goal of achieving "stabilization of greenhouse gas concentrations in the atmosphere at a level that would prevent dangerous anthropogenic interference with the climate system". The Protocol was initially adopted on 11 December 1997 in Kyoto, Japan and entered into force on 16 February 2005. As of November 2009, 187 states have signed and ratified the protocol (Wikipedia).

- Objective 6: Diversify supply sources and primary sources of energy.
- Objective 7: Promote energy efficiency in the economy.
- Objective 8: Increase access to modern energy.

The IEP provides an assessment of current energy consumption trends within different sectors of the economy (i.e. agriculture, commerce, industry, residential and transport) and uses this information to identify future energy requirements, based on different scenarios. The scenarios are informed by different assumptions on economic development and the structure of the economy and also take into account the impact of key policies such as environmental policies, energy efficiency policies, transport policies and industrial policies, amongst others.

Based on this information the IEP then determines the optimal mix of energy sources and technologies to meet those energy needs in the most cost-effective manner for each of the scenarios. The associated environmental impacts, socio-economic benefits and macroeconomic impacts are also analysed. The IEP is therefore focused on determining the long-term energy pathway for South Africa, taking into account a multitude of factors which are embedded in the eight objectives.

As part of the analysis four key scenarios were developed, namely the Base Case, Environmental Awareness, Resource Constrained and Green Shoots scenarios:

- The Base Case Scenario assumes that existing policies are implemented and will continue to shape the energy sector landscape going forward. It assumes moderate economic growth in the medium to long term.
- The Environmental Awareness Scenario is characterised by more stringent emission limits and a more environmentally aware society, where a higher cost is placed on externalities caused by the supply of energy.
- The Resource Constrained Scenario in which global energy commodity prices (i.e. coal, crude oil and natural gas) are high due to limited supply;
- The Green Shoots Scenario describes an economy in which the targets for high economic growth and structural changes to the economy, as set out in the National Development Plan (NDP), are met.

The IEP notes that South Africa should continue to pursue a diversified energy mix which reduces reliance on a single or a few primary energy sources. In terms of renewable energy, the document refers to wind and solar energy. The document does however appear to support solar over wind noting that solar PV and CSP with storage present excellent opportunities to diversify the electricity mix, to produce distributed generation and to provide off-grid electricity. Solar technologies also present the greatest potential for job creation and localisation. Incentive programmes and special focused programmes to promote further development in the technology, as well as solar roll-out programmes, should be pursued.

In terms of existing electricity generation capacity, the IEP indicates that existing capacity starts to decline notably from 2025, with significant plant retirement occurring in 2031, 2041 and 2048. By 2050 only 20% of the current electricity generation capacity remains. As a result, large investments are required in the electricity sector in order to maintain an adequate supply in support of economic growth.

By 2020, various import options become available, and some new coal capacity is added along with new wind, solar and gas capacity. The mix of generation capacity technologies by 2050 is considerably more diverse than the current energy mix, across all scenarios. The

main differentiating factors between the scenarios are the level of demand, constraints on emission limits and the carbon dioxide externality costs.

In all scenarios the energy mix for electricity generation becomes more diverse over the period to 2050, with coal reducing its share from about 85% in 2015 to 15–20% in 2050 (depending on the scenario). Solar, wind, nuclear, gas and electricity imports increase their share. The Environmental Awareness and Green Shoots scenarios take on higher levels of renewable energy.

An assessment of each scenario against the eight objectives with reference to renewable energy notes while all scenarios seek to ensure that costs are minimised within the constraints and parameters of each scenario, the Base Case Scenario presents the least cost followed by the Environmental Awareness, Resource Constrained and Green Shoots scenarios respectively when total energy system costs are considered.

In terms of promoting job creation and localisation potential, the Base Case Scenario presents the greatest job creation potential, followed by the Resource Constrained, Environmental Awareness and Green Shoots scenarios respectively. In all scenarios, approximately 85% of total jobs are localisable. For electricity generation, most jobs result from solar technologies followed by nuclear and wind, with natural gas and coal making a smaller contribution.

The Environmental Awareness Scenario, due to its stringent emission constraints, shows the lowest level of total emissions over the planning horizon. This is followed by the Green Shoots, Resource Constrained and Base Case scenarios. These trends are similar when emissions are considered cumulatively and individually by type.

The IEP notes that a diversified energy mix with a reduced reliance on a single or a few primary energy sources should be pursued. In terms of renewable energy, wind and solar are identified as the key options.

Wind

Wind energy should continue to play a role in the generation of electricity. Allocations to ensure the development of wind energy projects aligned with the IRP2010 should continue to be pursued.

Solar

- Solar should play a much more significant role in the electricity generation mix than it has done historically and constitutes the greatest share of primary energy (in terms of total installed capacity) by 2050. The contribution of solar in the energy mix comprises both CSP and solar PV.
- Investments should be made to upgrade the grid in order to accommodate increasing solar and other renewable energy contributions.

With reference to the Renewable Energy Independent Power Producer (REIPP) Procurement Programme, the IEP notes:

- The REIPP Procurement Programme should be extended, and new capacity should be allocated through additional bidding windows in order ensure the ongoing deployment of renewable energy technologies;
- Experience and insights gained from the current procurement process should be used to streamline and simplify the process.

- The implementation of REIPP projects in subsequent cycles of the programme should be aligned with the spatial priorities of provincial and local government structures in the regions that are selected for implementation, in line with the Spatial Development Frameworks. This will ensure that there is long-term, sustainable infrastructure investment in the areas where REIPP projects are located. Such infrastructure includes bulk infrastructure and associated social infrastructure (e.g. education and health systems). This alignment will further assist in supporting the sustainable development objectives of provincial and local government by benefiting local communities.

The IEP indicates that Renewable Energy Development Zones (REDZs) have been identified and describe geographical areas:

- In which clusters (several projects) of wind and solar PV development will have the lowest negative impact on the environment while yielding the highest possible social and economic benefit to the country.
- That are widely agreed to have strategic importance for wind and solar PV development.
- Where the environmental and other authorisation processes have been aligned and streamlined based on scoping level pre-assessments and clear development requirements.
- Where proactive and socialised investment can be made to provide time-efficient infrastructure access.

2.2.5 Integrated Resource Plan

The integrated resource plan (IRP) is an electricity capacity plan which aims to provide an indication of the country's electricity demand, how this demand will be supplied and what it will cost. On 6 May 2011, the Department of Energy (DoE) released the Integrated Resource Plan 2010-2030 (IRP 2010) in respect of South Africa's forecast energy demand for the 20-year period from 2010 to 2030. The IRP 2010 was intended to be a 'living plan' that would be periodically revised by the DoE. However, this was never done and resulted in an energy mix that failed to adequately meet the constantly changing supply and demand scenarios in South Africa, nor did it reflect global technological advancements in the efficient and responsible generation of energy.

On 27 August 2018, the then Minister of Energy published a draft IRP which was issued for public comment (Draft IRP). Following a lengthy public participation and consultation process the Integrated Resource Plan 2019 (IRP 2019) was gazetted by the Minister of Mineral Resources and Energy, Gwede Mantashe, on 18 October 2019, updating the energy forecast for South Africa from the current period to the year 2030. The IRP is an electricity capacity plan which aims to provide an indication of the country's electricity demand, how this demand will be supplied and what it will cost.

Since the promulgated IRP 2010, the following capacity developments have taken place. A total 6 422MW under the government led Renewable Energy Independent Power Producers Programme (RE IPP Procurement Programme) has been procured, with 3 876MW currently operational and made available to the grid. In addition, IPPs have commissioned 1 005MW from two Open Cycle Gas Turbine (OCGT) peaking plants. Under the Eskom build programme, the following capacity has been commissioned: 1 332MW of Ingula pumped storage, 1 588MW of Medupi, 800MW of Kusile and 120MW of Sere Wind Farm. In total, 18 000MW of new generation capacity has been committed to.

Provision has been made for the following new additional capacity by 2030:

- 1 500MW of coal.
- 2 500MW of hydro.
- 6 000MW of solar PV.
- 14 400MW of wind.
- 1 860MW of nuclear.
- 2 088MW for storage.
- 3 000MW of gas/diesel.
- 4 000MW from other distributed generation, co-generation, biomass and landfill technologies.

Figure 2.1 provides a summary of the allocations and commitments between the various energy sectors.

	Coal	Coal (Decommissioning)	Nuclear	Hydro	Storage	PV	Wind	CSP	Gas & Diesel	Other (Distributed Generation, CoGen, Biomass, Landfill)
Current Base	37,149		1 860	2,100	2 912	1 474	1 980	300	3 830	499
2019	2,155	-2,373					244	300		Allocation to the extent of the short term capacity and energy gap.
2020	1,433	-557				114	300			
2021	1,433	-1403				300	818			
2022	711	-844			513	400	1,000	1,600		
2023	750	-555				1000	1,600		500	
2024			1,860				1,600	1000	500	
2025						1000	1,600		500	
2026		-1,219					1,600		500	
2027	750	-847					1,600	2000	500	
2028		-475				1000	1,600		500	
2029		-1,694			1575	1000	1,600		500	
2030		-1,050		2,500		1000	1,600		500	
TOTAL INSTALLED CAPACITY by 2030 (MW)	33,364		1,860	4,600	5,000	8,288	17,742	600	6,380	
% Total Installed Capacity (% of MW)	43		2.36	5.84	6.35	10.52	22.53	0.76	8.1	
% Annual Energy Contribution (% of MWh)	58.8		4.5	8.4	1.2*	6.3	17.8	0.6	1.3	

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Installed Capacity Committed/Already Contracted Capacity Capacity Decommissioned New Additional Capacity Extension of Koeberg Plant Design Life Includes Distributed Generation Capacity for own use 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2030 Coal Installed Capacity is less capacity decommissioned between years 2020 and 2030. Koeberg power station rated/installed capacity will revert to 1,926MW (original design capacity) following design life extension work. Other/ Distributed generation includes all generation facilities in circumstances in which the facility is operated solely to supply electricity to an end-use customer within the same property with the facility. Short term capacity gap is estimated at 2,000MW.
---	--

Figure 2.1: Summary of energy allocations and commitments

As indicated above, the changes from the Draft IRP capacity allocations see an increase in solar PV and wind, and a significant decrease in gas and diesel; and new inclusions include nuclear and storage.

In terms of renewable energy four bidding rounds have been completed for renewable energy projects under the RE IPP Procurement Programme. The most dominant technology in the IRP2019 is renewable energy from wind and solar PV technologies, with wind being identified as the stronger of the two technologies. There is a consistent annual allocation of 1 600MW for wind technology commencing in the year 2022 up to 2030. The solar PV allocation of 1 000MWs per year is incremental over the period up to 2030, with no

allocation in the years 2024 (being the year the Koeberg nuclear extension is expected to be commissioned) and the years 2026 and 2027 (presumably since 2 000MW of gas is expected in the year 2027). The IRP 2019 states that although there are annual build limits, in the long run such limits will be reviewed to take into account demand and supply requirements.

2.2.6 National Development Plan

The National Development Plan (NDP) contains a plan aimed at eliminating poverty and reducing inequality by 2030. The NDP identifies 9 key challenges and associated remedial plans. Managing the transition towards a low carbon national economy is identified as one of the 9 key national challenges. Expansion and acceleration of commercial renewable energy is identified as a key intervention strategy.

2.2.7 The New Growth Path Framework

The aim of the New Economic Growth Path Framework is to enhance growth, employment creation and equity. Central to the New Growth Path is a massive investment in infrastructure as a critical driver of jobs across the economy. In this regard, the framework identifies investments in five key areas namely: energy, transport, communication, water and housing.

The New Growth Path also identifies five other priority areas as part of the programme, through a series of partnerships between the State and the private sector. The Green Economy as one of the five priority areas to create jobs, including expansions in construction and the production of technologies for solar, wind and biofuels. In this regard, clean manufacturing and environmental services are projected to create 300 000 jobs over the next decade.

2.2.8 National Infrastructure Plan

The South African Government adopted a National Infrastructure Plan in 2012. The aim of the plan is to transform the economic landscape while simultaneously creating significant numbers of new jobs and strengthening the delivery of basic services. The plan also supports the integration of African economies. In terms of the plan, Government will invest R827 billion over the next three years to build new and upgrade existing infrastructure. The aim of the investments is to improve access by South Africans to healthcare facilities, schools, water, sanitation, housing and electrification. The plan also notes that investment in the construction of ports, roads, railway systems, **electricity plants**, hospitals, schools and dams will contribute to improved economic growth.

As part of the National Infrastructure Plan, Cabinet established the Presidential Infrastructure Coordinating Committee (PICC). The Committee identified and developed 18 strategic integrated projects (SIPs). The SIPs cover social and economic infrastructure across all nine provinces (with an emphasis on lagging regions) and consist of:

- Five geographically focussed SIPs.
- Three spatial SIPs.
- Three energy SIPs.
- Three social infrastructure SIPs.
- Two knowledge SIPs.
- One regional integration SIP.
- One water and sanitation SIP.

The three energy SIPS are SIP 8, 9 and 10.

SIP 8: Green energy in support of the South African economy

- Support sustainable green energy initiatives on a national scale through a diverse range of clean energy options as envisaged in the Integrated Resource Plan (IRP 2010).
- Support bio-fuel production facilities.

SIP 9: Electricity generation to support socio-economic development

- Accelerate the construction of new electricity generation capacity in accordance with the IRP 2010 to meet the needs of the economy and address historical imbalances.
- Monitor implementation of major projects such as new power stations: Medupi, Kusile and Ingula.

SIP 10: Electricity transmission and distribution for all

- Expand the transmission and distribution network to address historical imbalances, provide access to electricity for all and support economic development.
- Align the 10-year transmission plan, the services backlog, the national broadband roll-out and the freight rail line development to leverage off regulatory approvals, supply chain and project development capacity.

2.3 PROVINCIAL AND LOCAL LEVEL POLICY AND PLANNING

2.3.1 North West Provincial Growth and Development Strategy³

The NWP Provincial Growth and Development Strategy (PGDS) was drafted in 2004 and aims to provide a framework for the 10-year period up to 2014. The PGDS is aligned with amongst others, the United Nations endorsed Millennium Development Goals and Objectives 2015, and the 2003 National Spatial Perspective. The PGDS largely relies on Census 2001 for demographic and other statistical data and is therefore dated. An up-dated version does not appear to be available.

The PGDS notes that the NWP is a medium-size province, covering ~10% of the total national surface area, accounting for ~ 8% of the national population, and contributing ~ 7% to the national economy. Except for the mining sector (~23.5% of provincial GDP in 2002), private sector activity in the NWP is very modest. Other development challenges include low population densities (largely rural province); inadequate infrastructure, and enormous service delivery backlogs; a predominantly poor population with high levels of illiteracy and dependency; great inequalities between rich and poor, and disparities between urban and rural; and the HIV/Aids pandemic.

Both the primary immediate and long term objectives of the PGDS are therefore to address poverty and unemployment, while simultaneously improving the low level of expertise and skills.

The following cross-supporting economic development pillars support the NWP's economic growth and development strategy up to 2014:

- Growth and Investment.
- Agricultural and Rural Development.

³ The Provincial Growth and Development Strategy (2004-2014) and Renewable Energy Strategy (2012) are both dated. However, it would appear that these documents have not been updated.

- Mining and Energy.
- Manufacturing.
- Tourism.
- Construction and Infrastructure.
- SMMEs.
- Training and Skills Development.

The mining and energy pillar focuses mainly on beneficiation, Mining Charter compliance, small-scale mining opportunities and addressing mine decommissioning impacts. Renewable energy and solar energy facilities are not addressed under this pillar or within the PGDS. In terms of the tourism pillar, the PGDS notes that the province faces a host of challenges, including infrastructural and transport connectivity. According to the PGDS, provincial government's objectives are to diversify its tourism industry through promoting cultural tourism and the entertainment and hospitality industries, to build human capital amongst tour operators, and to promote heritage sites as international tourism destinations. Sectoral growth targets, aimed at directing investment in the NWP while fostering employment creation, are outlined in the PGDS. The Transport and communication sector (seen as key to unlocking other sectors) is specifically singled out for growth. Deliberate provision is made for a more diversified future economy, in which tourism and manufacturing would play an increasingly important role.

SMME development is identified as key vehicle for meeting the dual challenges of growth and equitability, with an envisaged added potential for job creation, albeit currently often in the informal sector. The PGDS envisages that 60-80% of all future economic activities in provincial agriculture, mining, manufacturing, trade, and tourism should be SMME focused, but indicates that policy would ultimately be aligned with evolving national policy.

Skills development and training are identified as key enabling factors for labour market access. It is envisaged that skills development should constitute part of a broader, integrated effort at promoting job creation, and that the focus should be on growing skills and vocational training, mainly in the services and financial sectors. Companies would be encouraged to promote employee development through on-the-job learning and learner ships. The development of a focused Adult Basic Education and Training (ABET) strategy is envisaged to address high illiteracy levels, and to facilitate further education and training (FET).

2.3.2 North West Provincial Renewable Energy Strategy

The Renewable Energy Strategy (RES) (2012) notes that the North West Province is the fourth largest electricity consuming province in South Africa (12%). The bulk of electricity is currently obtained from conventional coal-fired plants in Mpumalanga. Approximately 63% of the electricity supplied to the NWP is consumed in its mining sector. Many rural communities within the NWP are affected by energy poverty – a legacy of historic neglect and underdevelopment – and make use of wood fuel, with impacts on the environment and health. At the same time, the emerging renewables sector holds potential for employment creation, green manufacturing, and commercial energy generation (linked to the IPP). The key objectives of the RES are therefore to:

- Reduce the North West Province's contribution to climate change;
- alleviate energy poverty; and
- Promote economic development and job creation in the province by developing a green economy.

Various renewable energy source options were investigated in the RES. Solar (photovoltaic as well as solar water heaters), Municipal Solid Waste, hydrogen and fuel cell technologies, biomass, and energy efficiency were identified as sub-sectors/ sources which hold the greatest competitive potential in the NWP.

With regard to solar, the RES notes that the NWP has a very good potential with daily average solar radiation rates of greater than 8 000 MJ/m². Only the Northern Cape Province (NCP) receives more radiation than the NWP.

During the status quo assessment no barriers to the generation and use of solar PV systems within the NWP were identified, except for the only slightly lower levels of solar irradiation levels compared to the NCP and parts of Limpopo. The RES notes that this could potentially be offset by sufficient economies of scale. The NWP has sufficient land area available, and the electricity grid infrastructure is good in the areas of high economic activity and in the proximity of the numerous mines and related large industries concentrated in certain areas of the NWP. The infrastructure in the NWP is also generally good in the same areas. This implies that, although the NWP is not a preferred destination for Solar PV projects, it can be made one if some of the general barriers are removed for project developers by the Province.

Based on the above, for following key actions are proposed for the NWP with regard to Solar PV:

- Identify a suitable entity linked to the NWPG to drive the opportunities associated with solar PV projects under the RE IPP.
- The NWP should initiate a project as part of the implementation plan to identify suitable areas within the NWP which complies with the following requirements:
 - Suitable and proven measured levels of solar irradiation.
 - Long-term lease or option agreements possible.
 - Good grid infrastructure in close proximity.
 - Suitable connection point into the electricity grid.
 - Low impact on agriculture and environment.
 - Suitable access to and around site for effective execution.
 - In close proximity to communities that could benefit from local economic development and job creation.
- The NWPG should also explore the possibility of packaging the most suitable and viable land areas for solar PV project developers to attract them to the NWP.
- The NWP should focus on developing the local content of components for the PV industry.

2.3.4 Ngaka Modiri Molema District Municipality Integrated Development Plan

The vision for the Ngaka Modiri Molema District Municipality (NMMDM) as set out in the IDP (2021/2022) is "Leaders in integrated municipal governance". The mission statement that underpins the vision is "To provide a developmental municipal governance system for a better life for all."

The strategic development objectives listed in the IDP are aligned to the National KPA's for Local Government. These include:

- Institutional Transformation and Organisational Development.
- Provision of Infrastructure for Basic Service Delivery.
- To promote Infrastructure Development and Maintenance.

- Economic Development.
- Financial Viability.
- Good Governance.

Economic Development is relevant to the development. The IDP notes that the objective is to facilitate economic development by:

- Creating a conducive environment for business development.
- Unlocking opportunities to increase participation amongst all sectors of society in the mainstream economy to ultimately create decent job opportunities.
- Promoting Local Economic Development.
- Enhancing rural development and agriculture.
- Expanding the Public Works Programme

A District Growth and Development Strategy aimed at improving the livelihood and economic growth of the Ngaka Modiri Molema community has been developed. The key pillars of the strategy that are relevant to the project include:

- Economic development.
- Job creation.
- Skills development.
- Manufacturing and Small Business Development.
- Investment Promotion.

2.3.5 Ditsobotla Municipality Integrated Development Plan

The vision for the Ditsobotla Municipality (DM) as set out in the IDP (2021/2022) is "A developmental municipality dedicated to the social and economic upliftment of its communities." The mission statement that underpins the vision is "Sustainable service delivery through transparent administration; dedicated staff; implementation of municipal programmes; and consultation with communities."

The IDP identifies a number of key challenges facing the Municipality, including poverty, high levels of unemployment and skills shortages. In order to address these challenges, the DM is committed to creating an environment that is conducive to economic growth, sustainable employment opportunities and growth in personal income levels of communities.

Section E of the IDP lists the strategic objectives, key performance indicators, targets and projects. The key performance areas include:

- Municipal Transformation and Organisational Development.
- Municipal Financial Viability and Management.
- Local Economic Development.
- Basic Services and Infrastructure Development.
- Good Governance and Public Participation.

Local economic development is relevant to the project. In this regard the development has the potential to support private sector investment and create employment and skills development opportunities. These issues can be addressed by SED and ED spend linked to the project.

2.4 OVERVIEW RENEWABLE ENERGY SECTOR IN SOUTH AFRICA

The section below provides an overview of the potential benefits associated with the renewable energy sector in South Africa. Given that South Africa supports the development of renewable energy at national level, the intention is not to provide a critical review of renewable energy. The focus is therefore on the contribution of renewable energy, specifically in terms of supporting economic development.

The following documents were reviewed:

- Independent Power Producers Procurement Programme (IPPPP): An Overview (June 2020), Department of Energy, National Treasury and DBSA.
- Green Jobs Study (2011), IDC, DBSA Ltd and TIPS.
- Powering the Future: Renewable Energy Roll-out in South Africa (2013), Greenpeace South Africa.
- WWF SA, Renewable Energy Vision 2030, South Africa, 2014.
- Jacqueline M. Borel-Saladin, Ivan N. Turok, (2013). The impact of the green economy on jobs in South Africa), South African Journal of Science, *Volume 109 /Number 9/10, September/October 2013.*
- The potential for local community benefits from wind farms in South Africa, Louise Tait (2012), Master's Thesis, Energy Research Centre University of Cape Town.

2.4.1 Independent Power Producers Procurement Programme (IPPPP): An Overview

The document presents an overview of the Renewable Energy Independent Power Producer Procurement Programme (REIPPPP) undertaken by the Department of Energy, National Treasury and the Development Bank of South Africa in June 2020. The programme's primary mandate is to secure electrical energy from the private sector for renewable and non-renewable energy sources. With regard to renewables, the programme is designed to reduce the country's reliance on fossil fuels, stimulate an indigenous renewable energy industry and contribute to socio-economic development and environmentally sustainable growth. The IPPPP has been designed not only to procure energy but has also been structured to contribute to the broader national development objectives of job creation, social upliftment and broadening of economic ownership.

Energy supply

By the end of June 2020, the REIPPPP had made the following significant impacts.

- 6 422MW of electricity had been procured from 112 RE Independent Power Producers (IPPs) in seven bid rounds.
- 4 276 MW of electricity generation capacity from 68 IPP projects has been connected to the national grid.
- 49 461GWh of energy has been generated by renewable energy sources procured under the REIPPPP since the first project became operational in November 2013.

Renewable energy IPPs have proved to be very reliable. Of the 68 projects that have reached COD, 64 projects have been operational for longer than a year. The energy generated over the past 12-month period for these 64 projects is 11 079GWh, which is 93% of their annual energy contribution projections (P50) of 11 882GWh over a 12-month

delivery period. Twenty-eight (24) of the 64 projects (38%) have individually exceeded their P50 projections.

Energy costs

In line with international experience, the price of renewable energy is increasingly cost competitive when compared with conventional power sources. The REIPPPP has effectively captured this global downward trend with prices decreasing in every bid window. Energy procured by the REIPPPP is progressively more cost effective and has approached a point where the wholesale pricing for new coal-and renewable-generated energy intersect.

Through the competitive bidding process, the IPPPP effectively leveraged rapid, global technology developments and price trends, buying clean energy at lower and lower rates with every bid cycle, resulting in SA getting the benefit of renewable energy at some of the lowest tariffs in the world. The price for wind power has dropped by 50% to R0.91/kWh, with the BW4 price directly comparable with the per kWh price of new coal generation. Solar PV has dropped most significantly with a price decrease of 75% to R1.10/kWh between BW1 and BW4.

This compares with the industry estimates in April 2020 of R1.45/kWh for Medupi. Considering the on-going delays incomplection, indications are that these costs may even be significantly higher.

Investment

The document notes that the REIPPPP has attracted significant investment in the development of the REIPPs into the country. The total investment (total project costs⁴), including interest during construction, of projects under construction and projects in the process of closure is R209.7 billion (this includes total debt and equity of R209.2 billion, as well as early revenue and VAT facility of R0.5 billion).

The REIPPPP has attracted R41.8 billion in foreign investment and financing in the seven bid windows (BW1 – BW4, 1S2 and 2S2). This is almost double the inward FDI attracted into South Africa during 2015 (R22.6 billion). The document notes that the share of foreign investment and equity showed an increase in the most recent bid window (2S2), suggesting that the REIPPPP continued to generate investor confidence despite the poor economic conditions in South Africa in recent years.

South African citizen shareholding

The importance of retaining local shareholding in IPPs is key condition of the procurement requirements. The RFP notes that bidders are required to have South African Equity Participation of 40% in order to be evaluated. In terms of local equity shareholding, 52% (R31.5 billion) of the total equity shareholding (R61.0 billion) was held by South African's across BW1 to BW4, 1S2 and 2S2. This equates to substantially more than the 40% requirement. Foreign equity amounts to R29.5 billion and contributes 48% of total equity.

The REIPPPP also contributes to Broad Based Black Economic Empowerment and the creation of black industrialists. In this regard, Black South Africans own, on average, 33% of projects that have reached financial close (BW1-BW4), which is 3% higher than the 30% target. This includes black people in local communities that have ownership in the IPP

⁴ Total project costs means the total capital expenditure to be incurred up to the commercial operations date in the design, construction, development, installation, and or commissioning of the project)

projects that operate in or near their communities and represents the majority share of total South African Entity Participation.

On average, black local communities own 9% of projects that have reached financial close. This is well above the 5% target. In addition, an average of 21% shareholding by black people in engineering, procurement, and construction (EPC) contractors has been attained for projects that have reached financial closure. This is higher than 20% target. The shareholding by black people in operating companies of IPPs has averaged 24% (against the targeted 20%) for the 68 projects in operation (i.e. in BW1–4).

The target for shareholding by black people in top management has been set at 40%, with an average 67% achieved to date. The target has therefore been significantly exceeded.

Community shareholding and community trusts

The regulations require a minimum ownership of 2.5% by local communities in IPP projects as a procurement condition. This is to ensure that a substantial portion of the investments has been structured and secured as local community equity. An individual community's dividends earned will depend on the terms of each transaction corresponding with the relevant equity share. To date all shareholding for local communities have been structured through the establishment of community trusts. For projects in BW1 to BW4, 1S2 and 2S2, qualifying communities will receive R26.9 billion net income over the life of the projects (20 years). The report notes that the bulk of the money will however only start flowing into the communities from 2028 due to repayment obligations in the preceding years (repayment obligations are mostly to development funding institutions). However, despite the delay this represents a significant injection of capital into mainly rural areas of South Africa. If the net projected income for the first seven bid windows (BW1-BW4, 1S2 and 2S2) was structured as equal payments overtime, it would represent an annual net income of R1.34 billion per year.

Income to all shareholders only commences with operation of the facility. Revenue generated to date by the 68 operational IPPs amounts to R105 billion.

Procurement spend

In addition to the financial investments into the economy and favourable equity structures aimed at supporting BEE, the REIPPPP also targets broader economic and socio-economic investment. This is through procurement spend and local content.

The total projected procurement spend for BW1 to BW4, 1S2 and 2S2 during the construction phase was R73.1 billion, while the projected operations procurement spend over the 20 years operational life is estimated at 76.8 billion. The combined (construction and operations) procurement value is projected as R149.9 billion of which R81 billion has been spent to date. For construction, of the R70.2 billion already spent to date, R57.7 billion is from the 68 projects which have already been completed. These 68 projects had planned to spend R52.9 billion. The actual procurement construction costs have therefore exceeded the planned costs by 9% for completed projects.

Preferential procurement

The share of procurement that is sourced from Broad Based Black Economic Empowered (BBBEE) suppliers, Qualifying Small Enterprises (QSE), Exempted Micro Enterprises (EME) and women owned vendors are tracked against commitments and targeted percentages. The IA target requirement for BBBEE is 60% of total procurement spend. However, the actual share of procurement spend by IPPs from BBBEE suppliers for construction and operations combined is currently reported as 83%, which is significantly higher than the

target of 60%, but also the 71% that had been committed by IPPs. BBEE, as a share of procurement spend for projects in construction, is also reported as 84% with operations slightly lower at 74%. However, these figures have not been verified and the report notes that they are reported with caution.

The majority of the procurement spend to date has been for construction purposes. Of the R70.2 billion spent on procurement during construction, R59 billion has reportedly been procured from BBEE suppliers, achieving 87% of total procured. Actual BBEE spend during construction for BW1 and BW2 alone was R25.5 billion, 81% more than the 14.1 billion planned by the IPPs. The R59 billion spent on BBEE during construction is 15% more than the R51.1 billion that had originally been anticipated by all IPPs procured.

Total procurement spend by IPPs from QSE and EMEs has amounted to R24.7 billion (construction and operations) to date, which exceeds commitments by 96% and is 30% of total procurement spend to date (while the required target is 10%). QSE and EME's procurement spend for construction was R 22 billion, which is 4.4 times the targeted spend for construction of R4.9 billion during this procurement phase.

In terms of procurement from women-owned vendors to date, 5% of total construction procurement spend has been from woman-owned vendors (against a targeted 5%), and 6% of operational procurement spend has been realised from woman-owned vendors to date, thereby exceeding the targeted 5%. In terms of construction spend, R 3.2 billion was undertaken by women-owned vendors, which is almost double the R 1.9 billion estimated for the construction of projects that have reached financial close.

The REIPPPP has therefore created significant employment opportunities for black South African citizens and local communities beyond planned targets. This highlights the importance of the programme in terms of employment equity and the creation of more equal societies.

Local Content⁵

The report notes that the REIPPPP programme represents the country's most comprehensive strategy to date in achieving the transition to a greener economy. Local content minimum thresholds and targets were set higher for each subsequent bid window. The report notes that for a programme of this magnitude, with construction procurement spend alone estimated at R73.1 billion, the result is a substantial stimulus for establishing local manufacturing capacity. The local content strategy has created the required incentives for a number of international technology and component manufactures to establish local manufacturing facilities.

The documents notes that for the portfolio as a whole, the expectation would reasonably be for local content spend to fall between 25% and 65% of the total project value (considering the range of targets and minimum requirements). Local content commitments by IPPs amount to R67.6 billion or 45% of total project value (R151.1 billion for all bid windows).

Actual local content spend reported for IPPs that have started construction amounts to R57.6 billion against a corresponding project value (as realised to date) of R114 billion. This means that 50% of the project value has been locally procured, exceeding the 45% commitment from IPPs and the thresholds for BW1 – BW4 (25-45%).

⁵ Local content is expressed as a % of the total project value and not procurement or total project costs.

To date, the R57.6 billion local content spend reported by active IPPs is already 87% of the R66 billion local content expected. This is with 23 projects still in construction, and 68 of the 91 active projects having reached COD (i.e. 75% of the active portfolio complete). For the 68 projects that have reached COD, local content spend has been R 46.96 billion of a committed R46.55 billion, which is 0.9 more than the planned local spend.

Leveraging employment opportunities

To date, a total of 52 603 job years⁶ have been created for South African citizens, of which 42 355 job years were in construction and 10 248 in operations. These job years should rise further past the planned target as more projects enter the construction phase. Employment opportunities across all five active bid windows are 126% of the planned number during the construction phase (i.e. 33 707 job years), with 23 projects still in construction and employing people. The number of employment opportunities is therefore likely to continue to grow beyond the original expectations. By the end of June 2020, 68 projects had successfully completed construction and moved into operation. These projects created 33 449 job years of employment, compared to the anticipated 23 619. This was 42% more than planned.

The report notes that employment thresholds and targets were consistently exceeded across the entire portfolio. The average share of South African citizens of total South Africa based employees for BW1 – BW4 was 91% during construction (against a target of 80%), while it was 91% during operations for BW1 – BW4 (against a target of 80%). The report notes that the construction phase offers a high number of opportunities over shorter durations, while the operations phase requires fewer people, but over an extended operating period.

To date, 42 355 job years for SA citizens were achieved during construction, which is 26% above the planned 33 707 job years for active projects. These job years are expected to rise further since 23 BW4 projects are still in or entering, construction.

In terms of benefits for local communities, significantly more people from local communities were employed during construction than was initially planned. For active projects, the expectation for local community participation was 13 284 job years. To date 22 935 job years have been realised (i.e. 73% more than initially planned), with 23 projects still in, or entering, construction. The number of black SA citizens employed during construction also exceeded the planned numbers by 53%.

Black South African citizens, youths and rural or local communities have been the major beneficiaries during the construction phases, as they respectively represent 81%, 43% and 49% of total job opportunities created by IPPs to date. However, woman and disabled people could still be significantly empowered as they represent a mere 10% and 0.4% of total jobs created to date, respectively. Nonetheless, the fact that the REIPPPP has raised employment opportunities for black South African citizens and local communities beyond planned targets, indicates the importance of the programme to employment equity and the drive towards more equal societies.

The share of black citizens employed during construction (81%) and the early stages of operations (84%) has significantly exceeded the 50% target and the 30% minimum threshold. Likewise, the share of skilled black citizens (as a percentage of skilled

⁶ The equivalent of a full-time employment opportunity for one person for one year

employees) for both construction (69%) and operations (80%) has also exceeded the 30% target and minimum threshold of 18%. The share of local community members as a share of SA-based employees was 49% and 68% for construction and operations respectively – exceeding the minimum threshold of 12% and the target of 20%.

Socio-economic development (SED) contributions

An important focus of the REIPPPP is to ensure that the build programme secures sustainable value for the country and enables local communities to benefit directly from the investments attracted into the area. In this regard, IPPs are required to contribute a percentage of projected revenues accrued over the 20-year project operational life toward SED initiatives. These contributions accrue over the 20-year project operation life and are used to invest in housing and infrastructure as well as healthcare, education, and skills development.

The minimum compliance threshold for SED contributions is 1% of the revenue with 1.5% the targeted level over the 20-year project operational life. For the current portfolio of projects, the average commitment level is 2.2%, which is 125% higher than the minimum threshold level. To date (across seven bid windows) a total contribution of R23.1 billion has been committed to SED initiatives. Assuming an even, annual revenue spread, the average contribution per year would be R1.2 billion. Of the total commitment, R18.8 billion is specifically allocated for local communities where the IPPs operate. With every new IPP on the grid, revenues and the respective SED contributions will increase.

As a percentage of revenue, SED obligations become effective only when operations commence, and revenue is generated. Of the 91 IPPs that have reached financial close (BW1–BW41), 68 are operational. The SED contributions associated with these 68 projects has amounted to R 1.2 billion to date.

In terms of ED and SED spend, education, social welfare, and health care initiatives have a SED focus. SED spend on education has been almost double the expenditure on enterprise development. This is despite enterprise development being a stand-alone commitment category in terms of the IA. This is, in part, due to the fact that some early childhood development programmes have also been incorporated in educational programmes. IPPs have supported 1 123 education institutions with a total of R312 million in contributions, from 2015 to the end of June 2020. A total of 1 142 bursaries, amounting to R183.8 million, have been awarded by 55 IPPs from 2015 until the end of June 2020. The largest portion of the bursaries were awarded to African and Coloured students (97%), with women and girls receiving 56% of total bursaries. The Northern Cape province benefitted most from the bursaries awarded, with 61%, followed by the Eastern Cape (18%) and Western Cape (14%). Enterprise development and social welfare are the focus areas that have received the second highest share of the contributions to date.

Enterprise development contributions

The target for IPPs to spend on enterprise development is 0.6% of revenues over the 20-year project operational life. However, for the current portfolio, IPPs have committed an average of 0.63% or 0.03% more than the target. Enterprise development contributions committed for BW1 to BW4, 1S2 and 2S2 amount to R7.2 billion. Assuming an equal distribution of revenue over the 20-year project operational life, enterprise development contributions would be R360 million per annum. Of the total commitment, R5.6 billion is specifically committed directly within the local communities where the IPPs operate, contributing significantly to local enterprise development. Up until the end of June 2020 a total of R 384.2 million had already been made to the local communities located in the

vicinity of the 68 operating IPPs. This represents 93% of the total R384.2 million enterprise development contributions made to date.

Contribution to cleaner energy and water savings

As part of the global commitment, South Africa is targeting an emissions trajectory that peaks at 34% below a “business as usual” case in 2020, 42% below in 2025 and from 2035 declines in absolute terms. These commitments are incorporated into the National Development Plan in Outcome 10 and sub-outcome 3. The REIPPPP contributes constructively to economic stability, energy security and environmental sustainability.

The emission reductions for the programme during the preceding 12 months (June 2019-June 2020) is calculated as 11.5 million tonnes CO₂ (MtonCO₂) based on the 1 1313 GWh energy that has been generated and supplied to the grid over this period. This represents 56% of the total projected annual emission reductions (20.5MtonCO₂) achieved with only partial operations. A total of 50.2 Mton CO₂ equivalent reduction has been realised from programme inception to date.

The March 2019 Report also notes that since operation, the IPPs have saved 42.8 million kilolitres of water related to fossil fuel power generation. This saving will have increased with the increase in energy generated by renewable energy since 2019. The REIPPPP therefore contributes significantly towards meeting South Africa’s GHG emission targets and, at the same time, supporting energy security, economic stability and environmental sustainability.

2.4.2 Green Jobs Study

The study notes that South Africa has one of the most carbon-intensive economies in the world, therefore making the greening of the electricity mix a national imperative. Within this context the study notes that the green economy could be an extremely important trigger and lever for enhancing a country’s growth potential and redirecting its development trajectory in the 21st century. The attractiveness of wind and solar technologies is not only supported by local conditions, but also by the relatively mature stage of their technological development.

The aim of the Green Jobs study was to provide information on the net direct job creation anticipated to emerge in the formal economy across a wide range of technologies/activities that may be classified as green or contributing to the greening of the economy. The study looked at the employment potential for a number of green sectors, including power generation, over three consecutive timeframes, namely, the short term (2011 – 12), medium term (2013 – 17) and long term (2018 – 25). The analysis attempts to estimate the employment potential associated with: building, construction and installation activities; operations and maintenance services; as well as the possible localisation spin-offs for the manufacturing sector as the domestic production of equipment, parts and components benefits from preferential local procurement.

It is also worth noting that the study only considered direct jobs in the formal economy. Multiplier effects were not taken into account. As a result, the analysis only captures a portion of the potential employment impact of a greening economy. International studies have indicated that there are considerable backward and forward linkages through various value chains of production, as well as of indirect and induced employment effects. The employment figures can therefore be regarded as conservative.

The analysis reveals the potential of an unfolding green economy to lead to the creation of approximately 98 000 new direct jobs, on average, in the short term, almost 255 000 in the medium term and around 462 000 employment opportunities in the formal economy in the long term. The number of jobs linked to the power generation was estimated to be ~ 12 500 in the short term, 57 500 in the medium term and 130 000 in the long term. Power generation jobs therefore account for 28% of the employment opportunities created in the long term. However, the report notes that the contribution made by a progressively expanding green energy generation segment increases from 14% of the total in the short term, or just over 13 500 jobs, to more than 28% in the long term (166 400) (Table 2.3). The study also found that energy generation is expected to become an increasingly important contributor to green job creation over time, as projects are constructed or commissioned.

Table 2.3: Net direct employment potential estimated for the four broad types of activity and their respective segments in the long term, and an indication of the roll-out over the three timeframes

Broad green economy category		Segment	Technology/product	Total net direct employment potential in the long-term	Net direct manufacturing employment potential in the long-term	Total net direct employment potential (ST, MT, LT)	Net direct manufacturing employment potential (ST, MT, LT)
ENERGY GENERATION	Renewable (non-fuel) electricity	Wind power	Onshore wind power	5 156	2 105	VL, L, M	L, M, H
			Offshore wind power				
		Solar power	Concentrated solar power	3 014	608	N, VL, M	N, VL, M
			Photovoltaic power	13 541	8 463	M, H, H	H, VH, VH
		Marine power	Marine power	197	0	N, N, VL	N, N, N
		Hydro power	Large hydro power	272	111	VL, VL, VL	VL, M, VL
			Micro-/small-hydro power	100	0	VL, VL, VL	N, N, N
		Fuel-based renewable electricity	Waste-to-energy	Landfills	1 178	180	VL, VL, L
	Biomass combustion			37 270	154	VL, H, VH	VL, VL, L
	Anaerobic digestion			1 429	591	VL, VL, L	VL, L, M
	Pyrolysis/Gasification			4 348	2 663	VL, L, M	VL, H, H
	Liquid fuel	Bio-fuels	Co-generation	10 789	1 050	L, M, H	M, H, H
			Bio-ethanol	52 729	6 641	M, H, VH	L, H, VH
	Bio-diesel						
ENERGY GENERATION SUB-TOTAL				130 023	22 566		
ENERGY & RESOURCE EFFICIENCY		Green buildings	Insulation, lighting, windows	7 340	838	L, M, M	L, M, M
			Solar water heaters	17 621	1 225	L, H, H	L, M, H
			Rain water harvesting	1 275	181	VL, VL, L	VL, VL, L
		Transportation	Bus Rapid Transport	41 641	350	VH, VH, VH	H, M, L
			Energy efficient motors	-566	4	VL, VL, VL	VL, VL, VL
		Industrial	Mechanical insulation	666	89	VL, VL, VL	VL, VL, VL
ENERGY & RESOURCE EFFICIENCY SUB-TOTAL				67 977	2 686		
EMMISSIONS AND POLLUTION MITIGATION		Pollution control	Air pollution control	900	166	N, VL, VL	N, L, L
			Electrical vehicles	11 428	10 642	VL, L, H	N, H, VH
			Clean stoves	2 783	973	VL, VL, L	VL, L, M
			Acid mine water treatment	361	0	VL, VL, VL	N, N, N
		Carbon Capture and Storage		251	0	N, VL, VL	N, N, N
		Recycling		15 918	9 016	M, H, H	H, VH, VH
EMMISSIONS AND POLLUTION MITIGATION SUB-TOTAL				31 641	20 797		
NATURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT		Biodiversity conservation & eco-system restoration		121 553	0	H, VH, VH	N, N, N
		Soil & land management		111 373	0	VH, VH, VH	N, N, N
NATURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT SUB-TOTAL				232 926	0		
TOTAL				462 567	46 049		

(Source: Green Jobs Study, 2011)

Notes:

- VH = very high (total employment potential > 20 000 direct jobs; manufacturing employment potential > 3 000 direct jobs);
- H = high (total employment potential > 8 000 but < 20 000; manufacturing employment potential > 1 000 but < 3 000);
- M = medium (total employment potential > 3 000 but < 8 000; manufacturing employment potential > 500 but < 1 000);
- L = low (total employment potential > 1 000 but < 3 000; manufacturing employment potential > 150 but < 500);
- VL = very low (total employment potential > 0 but < 1 000; manufacturing employment potential > 0 but < 150);
- N = negligible/none (total employment potential = 0; manufacturing employment potential = 0).

Of relevance the study also notes that the largest gains are likely to be associated with operations and maintenance (O&M) activities, particularly those involved in the various natural resource management initiatives. In this regard, operations and maintenance employment linked to renewable energy generation plants will also be substantial in the longer term. The employment growth momentum related to building, construction and installation activities peaks in the medium term, largely propelled by mass transportation infrastructure, stabilising thereafter as green building methods become progressively entrenched.

In addition, as projects related to a greening economy are progressively commissioned, the potential for local manufacturing also become increasingly viable. Employment gains in manufacturing are also expected to be relatively more stable than construction activities, since the sector should continue exhibiting growth potential as new and replacement components are produced, as additional markets are penetrated and as new green technologies are introduced. Manufacturing segments with high employment potential in the long term would include suppliers of components for wind and solar farms. The study does note that a shortage of skills in certain professional fields pertinent to renewable energy generation presents a challenge that must be overcome.

The study also identifies a number of advantages associated with renewable energy with a large 'technical' generation potential. In this regard, renewable energy, such as solar and wind, does not emit carbon dioxide (CO₂) in generating electricity and is associated with exceptionally low lifecycle emissions. The construction period for renewable energy projects are much shorter than those of conventional power stations, while an income stream may, in certain instances, be provided to local communities through employment and land rental. The study also notes that the greenhouse gases (GHG) associated with the construction phase are offset within a short period of time compared with the project's lifespan. Renewable power therefore provides an ideal means for reaching emission reduction targets in a relatively easy manner. In addition, and of specific relevance to South Africa, renewable energy source is not dependent on water (as compared to the massive water requirements of conventional power stations), has a limited footprint and therefore does not impact on large tracts of land, poses limited pollution and health risks, specifically when compared to coal and nuclear energy plants.

Of relevance, the study also notes that renewable energy projects in rural areas create an opportunity to benefit the local and regional economy through the creation of jobs and tax revenues.

2.4.3 Powering the Future: Renewable Energy Roll-out in South Africa

The study notes that South Africa has higher CO₂ emissions per GDPppp (2002 figures) from energy and cement production than China or the USA (Letete, T et al). Energy accounts for 83% of the total GHG emissions (excluding land use, land use change and forestry) with fuel combustion in the energy industry accounting for 65% of the energy emissions of South Africa (DEA, 2011).

Within a broader context of climate change, coal energy does not only have environmental impacts, it also has socio-economic impacts. Acid mine drainage from abandoned mines in South Africa impacts on water quality and poses the biggest threat to the country's limited water resources. Huge volumes of water are also required to wash coal and cool operating power stations. Eskom uses an estimated 10 000 litres of water per second due to its dependency on coal (Greenpeace, 2012).

The report notes that the concerns relating to whether South Africa can afford renewable energy arise out of the perception that renewable energy (RE) is expensive while fossil and nuclear technologies are cheap. The premise also ignores life cycle costing of the technologies which is favourable to renewable technologies where the sources of fuel are free or cheap.

2.4.4 WWF SA Renewable Energy Vision 2030

In its vision the WWF motivated for a more ambitious plan, suggesting that the IRP should provide for an 11-19% share of electricity capacity by 2030, depending on the country's growth rate over the next fifteen years. The vision is to increase renewable energy at the expense of new coal-fired and nuclear capacity. The report notes that in addition to the obvious environmental benefits of this scenario, it will enable South Africa to add flexibility to energy supply capacity on an on-demand basis.

The report notes that Renewable Energy Independent Power Producer Procurement Programme (REIPPPP) introduced in 2011, has by all accounts been highly successful in quickly and efficiently delivering clean energy to the grid. Increasingly competitive bidding rounds have led to substantial price reductions. In this regard, the study indicates that in three years, wind and solar PV have reached pricing parity with supply from new coal-fired power stations from a levelised cost of electricity (LCOE) perspective.

In bidding window 3 of August 2013, the average tariffs bid for wind and solar PV were R0,66/kWh and R0.88/kWh respectively, well below the recent estimates of R1.05/kWh for supply from the coal-fired Medupi and Kusile power stations (Papapetrou 2014).

The report also notes that the REIPPPP has several contracting rounds for new renewables supply. A robust procurement process, extension of a 20-year sovereign guarantee on the power purchase agreement (PPA) and, especially, ideal solar power conditions, have driven the investment case for RE in South Africa. In this regard, South Africa has been identified as one of the worlds' leading clean energy investment destinations (Figure 2.1).




Figure 2.1: South Africa leads as a clean energy investment destination

With regard to local economic development, the REIPPPP sets out various local economic development requirements with stipulated minimum threshold and aspirational targeted levels, which each bidder must comply with. Based on the Broad-Based Black Economic Empowerment Codes, this requirement comprises the following components which make up a scorecard:

- Ownership by black people and local communities.
- Job creation.
- Local content.
- Management control.
- Preferential procurement.
- Enterprise development.
- Socio-economic development.

The final award is based on a combined evaluation in which price determines 70% of the ranking and performance on the local economic development scorecard the remaining 30%. This gives non-price criteria a much heavier weighting than they would normally enjoy under Government's preferential procurement policy.

Job creation, local content and preferential procurement accounted for the bulk of possible points on the scorecard in REIPPPP Round 3. Consequently, a requirement to source goods and services locally is considered to be the central driver of project costs associated with

local economic development. In terms of local content, the definition of local content is quite broad, being the value of sales less the costs associated with imports. However, through successive bidding rounds, the definition has become subject to more detailed definition, with an expanding list of exclusions and increased targeting in terms of key components identified by the Department of Trade and Industry for local manufacturing. This has benefitted local manufacturers and suppliers.

The WWF study considers a low and high growth renewable energy scenario. The capital requirements for the low growth scenario are estimated at R474 billion over the period 2014-2030 (2014 Rand value), rising to R1.084 trillion in the high-growth scenario, in which 35 GW of capacity is built. Each annual round of purchasing 2 200 MW of RE capacity would cost approximately R77 billion in 2014 Rand value terms. In relative economic terms, this equates to 2% of the GDP per annum or approximately one quarter of Government's planned annual investment in infrastructure over the medium term. In the low economic growth scenario, which is arguably the more realistic one, the average annual new liability over the period is approximately R40 billion.

The study also points out that infrastructure spend is more beneficial than other government expenditure due to the infrastructure multiplier effect. This refers to the beneficial impact of infrastructure on economic growth in both the short term, resulting from expansion in aggregate demand, as well as in the longer term (six to eight years) due to enhanced productive capacity in the economy. A recent USA study on highway expenditure revealed the infrastructure multiplier to be a factor of two on average, and greater during economic downturns (Leduc & Wilson 2013). This means that one dollar spent on infrastructure raises GDP by two dollars. If the same were to hold true, as similar analysis suggests it would (Kumo 2012, Ngandu et al 2010), this indicates that the construction of renewable energy plants could be a valuable economic growth driver at a time when fears of recession abound.

The report concludes that the WWF is optimistic that South Africa can achieve a much more promising clean energy future than current plans allow for. With an excellent solar resource and several good wind-producing pockets, the country is an ideal candidate for a renewable energy revolution.

The report indicates that the levelised cost of producing renewable energy already competes favourably with the three main alternatives, namely coal, gas and nuclear. In addition, renewable energy would contribute to a more climate-resilient future and insulate South Africa from dependence on expensive and unreliable fuel sources priced in dollars. Critical from a planning perspective, the report notes that renewable energy can also provide added flexibility on an 'as needed' basis, as electricity demand grows. This is vital in a highly uncertain environment.

2.4.5 The impact of the green economy on jobs in South Africa

The paper notes that greening the economy is particularly important in South Africa for two basic reasons: (1) the exceptional level of unemployment that the country is experiencing and (2) the high carbon impact of the economy.

In terms of employment, the paper refers to the IDC *Green Jobs Report* (2011). In summary, the short-term (next 2 years) estimate of total net employment potential is 98 000 jobs, and the long-term (next 8 years) employment potential is 462 567 jobs. Natural resource management is predicted to lead to the greatest number of these at 232 926 long-

term jobs. Green energy generation is estimated to produce 130 023 long-term jobs, with energy and resource efficiency measures adding another 67 977 long-term jobs.

The paper notes that the Green Jobs Report was prepared by seventeen primary researchers from three prominent organisations, namely the IDC, the Development Bank of South Africa, and Trade and Industrial Policy Strategies. Many role players from other organisations were also consulted, including the World Wide Fund for Nature, the Green Building Council, the Economic Development Department and private companies involved in green industries.

Despite questions surrounding the employment estimates contained in the Green Jobs Report, green economic activity does appear to generate more local jobs than fossil-fuel-based industries. Some of the estimates also indicate the potential for significant employment. The paper concludes that the figures represent a promising starting point that warrants further research and policy involvement in greening the economy in South Africa.

2.4.6 The potential for local community benefits from wind farms in South Africa⁷

In her thesis, Tait⁸ notes that the distributed nature of renewable energy generation can induce a more geographically dispersed pattern of development. As a result, RE sites can be highly suited to rural locations with otherwise poor potential to attract local inward investment therefore enabling to target particularly vulnerable areas.

In her conclusion, Tait notes that the thesis has found positive evidence for the establishment of community benefit schemes in the wind sector in South Africa. These benefits would also apply to solar projects. The BBBEE requirements for developers as set out in the DoE's IPPPP for renewables is the primary driver for such schemes. The procurement programme, in keeping with the objective of maximising the economic development potential from this new sector, includes a specific focus on local communities in which wind farms are located.

The procurement programme, typical of all Government tendering processes, includes a BBBEE scorecard on which renewable energy projects are evaluated. However, the renewables scorecard appears to play an important part in a renewed focus on the broad-based Aspects of the legislation, as enforced by a recent national review of the BBBEE Act. In this regard, the renewables scorecard includes specifications for local communities in respect of broad-based ownership schemes, socio-economic development and enterprise development contributions. This approach to legislating social responsibilities of business in all sectors definitely has a South African flavour, borne out of the political history of the country and the imperatives for social transformation laid out in the constitution.

While Tait notes that it is still early days for the development of this sector and one cannot determine the impact that such benefit schemes may have, it is clear though that targeted development expenditure will be directed to multiple rural communities and there seems to be a strong potential to deliver socio-economic benefits.

⁷ Similar benefits are also likely to be associated with solar energy projects.

⁸ The potential for local community benefits from wind farms in South Africa, Louise Tait (2012), Master's Thesis, Energy Research Centre University of Cape Town

SECTION 3: OVERVIEW OF STUDY AREA

3.1 INTRODUCTION

Section 3 provides a baseline description of the study area with regard to:

- The administrative context.
- Provincial context.
- Overview of district and local municipalities.
- Site and the surrounding land uses.

3.2 ADMINISTRATIVE CONTEXT

The study area is located within the Ditsobotla Local Municipality (DLM), which forms part of the Ngaka Modiri Molema District Municipality (NMMDM). The NMMDM is made up of five Local Municipalities (LMs) namely, Ditsobotla LM, Mahikeng LM, Ramotshere Moiloa LM, Ratlou LM and Tswaing LM (Figure 3.1). The town of Lichtenburg is the administrative seat of the DLM. The project area is located in Ward 16 of the DLM.




Figure 3.1: Location of Ngaka Modiri Molema District Municipality and Ditsobotla Local Municipality

3.3 DEMOGRAPHIC OVERVIEW

Population

The population of the DLM in 2016 was 181 866 (Community Household Survey 2016). Of this total, 36.1% were under the age of 18, 59.2% were between 18 and 64, and the remaining 4.8% were 65 and older. The population of Ward 16 in 2011 was 8 374. Of this total, 39.2% were under the age of 18, 55.1% were between 18 and 64, and the remaining 5.4% were 65 and older. The figures for the economically active age group of 18-65 for the NMMDM and North West were 54.5% and 59.4% respectively.

The dependency ratio is the ratio of non-economically active dependents (usually people younger than 15 or older than 64) to the working age population group (15-64). The higher the dependency ratio the larger the percentage of the population dependent on the economically active age group. This in turn translates reduced revenue for local authorities to meet the growing demand for services. The national dependency ratio in 2011 was 52.7%, while the North West Province was 54.5%. The traditional approach is based people younger than 15 or older than 64. The information provided provides information for the age group under 18. The total number of people falling within this age group will therefore be higher than the 0-15 age group. However, most people between the age of 15 and 17 are not economically active (i.e. they are likely to be at school).

Using information on people under the age of 18 is therefore likely to represent a more accurate reflection of the dependency ratio. Based on these figures, the dependency ratios for the DLM (2016) and Ward 16 (2011) were 69% and 81% respectively. The high dependency ratios reflect the limited employment and economic opportunities in the area.

In terms of race groups, Black Africans made up 91.1% of the population on the DLM, followed by Whites, 6.7% and Coloureds, 1.7%. In Ward 16, Black Africans made up 88.2% of the population, followed by Whites, 8.1% and Coloureds, 2.9%. The main first language spoken in both the DLM and Ward 16 was Setswana, 83.7% and 83.3% respectively followed by Afrikaans.

Households and house types

There were a total number of 54 154 (2016) and 2 408 (2011) households in the DLM and Ward 16 respectively. Of these 68.4% (DLM) and 60.4% (Ward 16) were formal houses. 10.1% of the structures in the DLM and 28.9% in Ward 16 were shacks. A high percentage of the dwellings in Ward 16 are therefore informal structures. The majority of the formal structures in the DLM (58.7%) and Ward 16 (60.9%) were owned and fully paid off. 19.3% of the structures in Ward 16 were occupied rent free. This figure reflects the rural nature of Ward 16 and the rent-free status of farm workers. Approximately 33.5% of the households in the DLM and 27.7% of the households in Ward 16 were headed by women. These figures are lower than the rate for the NMMDM (42.6%) and North West (36.4%). Despite the figures for the DLM being lower than the district and provincial averages, women headed households tend to be more vulnerable.

Household income

Based on the data from the 2011 Census, 12.8% of the households in the DLM had no formal income, 4.2% earned less than R 4 800, 8.5% earned between R 5 000 and R 10 000 per annum, 22.3% between R 10 000 and R 20 000 per annum and 24.2% between R 20 000 and 40 000 per annum (2016). For Ward 16, 15.8% of the households had no formal income, 5.3% earned less than R 4 800, 9.9% earned between R 5 000 and R 10 000 per annum, 28.5% between R 10 000 and 20 000 per annum and 24.9% between R 20 000 and 40 000 per annum (Census 2011).

The poverty gap indicator produced by the World Bank Development Research Group measures poverty using information from household per capita income/consumption. This indicator illustrates the average shortfall of the total population from the poverty line. This measurement is used to reflect the intensity of poverty, which is based on living on less than R3 200 per month for an average sized household (~ 40 000 per annum). Based on this measure, in the region of 72% of the households in the DLM and 84.4% in Ward 16 live close to or below the poverty line. The low-income levels reflect the rural nature of the local economy and the limited formal employment opportunities outside in the area. The low-income levels are a major concern given that an increasing number of individuals and households are likely to be dependent on social grants. The low-income levels also result in reduced spending in the local economy and less tax and rates revenue for the DLM. This in turn impacts on the ability of the DLM to maintain and provide services.

Household income levels are likely to have been impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic. The number of households in the DLM and Ward 16 that live close to or below the poverty line is likely to have increased over the last 18 months. This, coupled with the high dependency ratio, is a major cause of concern for the area.

Employment

The official unemployment rate in the DLM in 2016 was 14.3%, while 35.5% were employed, and 43.2% were regarded as not economically active. The figures for Ward 16 in 2011 were 11.7% unemployed, 37.9% employed and 40.5% not economically active. The unemployment rates for the DLM and Ward 16 are lower than the Provincial rate of 17.1% and the District rate of 14.8%. However, the COVID-19 pandemic is likely to have resulted in an increase in unemployment rates in both the DLM and Ward 16. Recent figures released by Stats South Africa also indicate that South Africa's unemployment rate is in the region of 36%, the highest formal unemployment rate in the world.

Education

In terms of education levels, the percentage of the population over 20 years of age in the DLM and Ward 16 with no schooling was 8.9% (2016) and 21.7% (2011) respectively, compared to 8.7% and 11.5% for the North West Province in 2016 and 2011 respectively. The percentage of the population over the age of 20 with matric was in the DLM and Ward 16 was 27% and 11.8% respectively, compared to 31% (2016) and 27.6% (2011) for the North West. The lower education levels are likely to be linked to rural nature of the area despite the proximity to Lichtenburg.

3.4 MUNICIPAL SERVICES

Electricity

Based on 2016 survey, 91.9% of households in the DLM had access to, while 8.1% had no access to electricity. No data was on electricity access was available for Ward 16.

Access to water

Based on the 2016 survey information, 80.8% of households in the DLM were supplied by a service provider, while 17% relied on their own sources. For Ward 16, only 4.6% were supplied by the local service provider, while 72.6% of households relied on boreholes and 14.8% were supplied by tanker (2011). This high reliance on boreholes reflects the rural nature on Ward 16.

Sanitation

55.6% of the households in the DLM had access to flush toilets (2016), while 38.6% relied on pit toilets and 3% did not have access to formal sanitation. In Ward 16, only 16.1% of the households had access to flush toilets, while 55.8% relied on pit latrines and 23.4% had no form of formal sanitation (2011). The high percentage of households with no formal form of sanitation reflects the high percentage of shacks (28.9%) in Ward 16.

Refuse collection

Only 35.3% of the households in the DLM had access to regular refuse removal service, while 47% disposed of their waste at their own dump and 5.9% had not access to refuse services (2016). In Ward 16, 85.8% of households disposed of their waste at their own dump, 4.4% used communal dumps and 6.3% had no access to refuse removal services (2011). None of the households in Ward 16 had access to refuse removal services. This reflects the rural nature of the area and the difficulty of providing municipal services to areas located at a distance from the main towns in the area.

3.5 ECONOMIC OVERVIEW

The DLM consists of two main towns, namely Lichtenburg and Coligny, and four semi-urban areas (townships) of Itsoseng, Tlhabologang, Itekeng and Boikhutso. The majority of the area consists of rural areas (villages) including commercial farming areas. The most important economic sectors in terms of GDP in 2013 were Community Services (25%), followed by Manufacturing (17%), Mining (13%) and Finance (13%). As indicated in Table 3.1, Community Services, including government, was also the most important economic sector in the NMMDM and North West Province. This highlights the importance of the government sector. However, it also highlights the reliance on the sector.

Table 3.1: Economic sector contribution to GDP

Sector	North West		Ngaka Modiri Molema		Ditsobotla Local Municipality	
	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012
Agriculture	8%	8%	5%	6%	9%	10%
Mining	15%	16%	4%	4%	13%	13%
Manufacturing	11%	13%	6%	6%	17%	17%
Electricity and Water	2%	2%	3%	3%	0%	0%
Construction	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%
Trade	10%	10%	10%	10%	11%	11%
Transport	9%	8%	7%	7%	8%	8%
Finance	13%	12%	16%	14%	15%	13%
Community Service (including government)	30%	29%	48%	49%	24%	25%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Source: IHS Global Insight 2013

Table 3.2 indicates the contribution made by each municipality to the district GVA and each of its sectors and highlights the dominant role played by the DLM within the NMMDM. In this

regard the DLM makes up 63% of the GVA mining sector in NMMDM, 53.7% of manufacturing, 33.4% of agriculture, 21.1% of the wholesale and trade and Transport sector, 20.9% of the construction sector and 17.7% of the finance sector.

Table 3.2: Percentage contribution of local municipalities to sectoral Gross Value Add of Ngaka Modiri Molema DM (2012)

	Ditsobotla	Mahikeng	Ramotshere	Ratlou	Tswaing	NMMDM
Agriculture	33.4%	15.8%	9.0%	20.7%	21.0%	100%
Mining	63.0%	16.8%	11.3%	2.3%	6.5%	100%
Manufacturing	53.7%	21.8%	16.6%	3.4%	4.5%	100%
Electricity & water	2.1%	71.1%	17.5%	9.0%	0.2%	100%
Construction	20.9%	55.2%	11.0%	9.7%	3.3%	100%
Wholesale and trade	21.1%	59.9%	17.4%	7.1%	2.5%	100%
Transport	21.1%	58.3%	8.2%	9.7%	2.6%	100%
Finance	17.7%	50.3%	13.5%	11.4%	7.1%	100%
Community services (incl. Government)	9.6%	66.1%	10.8%	10.9%	2.7%	100%

Source: IHS Global Insight 2013

In terms of employment, the most important sector was the community services sector which accounted for 26% of the formal employment opportunities in the DLM, followed by agriculture (18%), and trade (14%) (Figure 3.2). The IDP notes that although the agriculture sector is a large employment creator its contribution to GDP is low (10%).




Figure 3.2: Contribution to employment of economic sectors

3.6 OVERVIEW OF STUDY AREA

3.6.1 Introduction

The Themeda PV SEF site is located approximately 5.5 km to the west-north-west of the town of Lichtenburg in the north-central part of the North West Province (Figure 3.2). Lichtenburg is the administrative seat of the Ditsobotla Local Municipality, one of five local municipalities comprising the Ngaka Modiri Molema District Municipality seated in the provincial capital Mahikeng.




Figure 3.2: Aristida PV site (pink fill) indicated in relation to Elandsfontein PV cluster development area (dark blue) and Elandsfontein 34/7 site property (yellow). Also indicated are the Elandsfontein smallholdings (light blue fill), Lichtenburg Game Breeding Centre (green), local roads (red), Eskom lines (orange) and railway lines (black).

Lichtenburg is located at the intersection of a number of regional roads. Access to the area west of Lichtenburg is off the R505 (Zeerust Road), R503 (Mahikeng Road) and P183-1 (Deelpaan Road). All the roads are tarred but are considered to be in a poor state of maintenance by local road users. Access to the study area properties is off the R503 and R505, either directly, or via connecting public and private/ servitude gravel roads (Photograph 3.1). Public gravel roads in the study area include De la Rey St which provides access to the south-westernmost portion of the Elandsfontein smallholdings to the west of Lichtenburg from the R503, and the Elandsfontein road, which provides access to the Talene subdivisions north-west of the town from the Zeerust Road (Photograph 3.2). Access to private roads is typically restricted by means of locked gates. A number of properties form part of larger farming operations and are only accessible via internal roads.



Photograph 3.1: Looking south down the R503 (Mahikeng Rd), from the access Road to Elandsfontein farmstead.



Photograph 3.2: De la Rey St (Elandsfontein smallholdings) seen from the Mahikeng Road

Lichtenburg serves as regional service centre for the DLM and surrounding area. The CBD is concentrated along the main road, Dr Nelson Mandela Drive, and includes the new Lichtenburg Mall which opened in 2015. Suburban extensions are located to the south of the town. These include Boikhutso to the south-west, Shukran and Blydeville to the south, and Kieserville to the south-east. The area directly to the west of Lichtenburg is occupied by the Elandsfontein smallholding area, while that to the north is largely occupied by the grounds of the Lichtenburg Game Breeding Centre.

Portions of the subdivided farms Elandsfontein 34 and Talene 25 constitute what is locally referred to as the Elandsfontein smallholdings. The relevant properties range in size from a few hectares to over a hundred. Most of the properties are used for residential purposes, with the settlement pattern concentrated along tarred roads and public gravel roads. A number of dwellings are located on many properties. This includes occupation by extended families as well as rental accommodation. Labourer's accommodation appears to be limited to a few operations (Photograph 3.3).



Photograph 3.3: Farm workers' housing on Elandsfontein 34/19 (Elandsfontein Brick and Pave)

The balance of the larger smallholding properties is typically used as grazing, either by the owners, or leased out to local farmers. One poultry farming operation is located on the property immediately to the south of Watershed MTS (Priem 30). Other land uses in the small holding area include manufacturing (Steel Contours), processing/ manufacture of building materials (Woema Crushers, Elandsfontein Brick and Pave), a livestock auctioneer, an agricultural implements and tractors trader, and a fuel station complex along the Mahikeng Rd, two guest accommodation facilities (Elandsrus, Savannah) off De la Rey Rd, and Tambotiehof lion farm along the Zeerust Rd (Photographs 3.4-3.5). Clover's dairy processing plant along the Zeerust Road has been mothballed as a result of ongoing service delivery issues. A number of smallholdings have been affected by historic mining activities.



Photograph 3.4: Woema Crushers plant in the Elandsfontein smallholdings located directly to the east of Elandsfontein 34/7



Photograph 3.5: Entrance to Clover factory in the smallholding area portion adjacent to the west of the Zeerust Road

The Lichtenburg local economy is largely anchored in agriculture and mining, and associated processing and supporting services (e.g., logistics, engineering, auctioneers). Lichtenburg is a major producer of summer-rainfall staple crops and livestock and the area is located on the northern fringes of an intensively cropped area which occupies most of the DLM to the west, south and east of Lichtenburg, and beyond. The area to the north of town supports limited cropping and is mainly used as rangeland. This is linked to more rocky soils ('klipveld') and decreasing rainfall. Essentially all higher-potential soils around Lichtenburg have already been converted into fields.

Farming operations are typically mixed. Key crops grown are maize, soy, sunflower, sorghum, and wheat (Photograph 3.6). Crops are grown under both dryland conditions and centre-pivot irrigation. Livestock farming is largely focused on beef cattle (Photograph 3.7). Due to chronic stock theft, sheep farming has been phased out on most operations in proximity to town. In as far as could be established, only one major game farming operation is located in the immediate vicinity of the study area, namely that of Mr Jan Steinman. In addition to his own properties, Mr Steinman also makes use of the grounds of the Lichtenburg Game Breeding Centre which he leases from the Ditsobotla Municipality (see further below).



Photograph 3.6: Irrigated maize in the study area



Photograph 3.7: Cattle grazing in the study area

The grazing resource consists of grass veld, with a typical year-round carrying capacity of 1 Large Stock Unit (LSU) to 6-7 ha. Many operations effectively increase their veld carrying capacity to 1 LSU: 3 ha by utilizing harvest stubble during the winter months. The area is considered extremely fire prone, with veld fires very common in winter, especially in August (prevailing winds). The grazing resource typically takes more than one season to return to full productivity. Around 1200 ha is considered the minimum size of a viable farming operation in the area. Farming operations typically consist of a number of properties, often but not necessarily contiguous. Larger operations often lease additional land. There is a tendency towards larger operations in order to maintain a viable economy of scale in the face of continuously rising input costs.

The settlement pattern in the Lichtenburg farming area is concentrated on cropped properties in proximity to tarred or public gravel roads. Owners typically reside on one of their properties, with additional dwellings on the same or adjacent properties often inhabited by management staff. Labourers' houses are located on a number of properties. Some operations make use of tenured staff, while others only provide accommodation to single workers transported in weekly from urban areas in the broader region (e.g., Itsoseng, Deelpan). Most employment opportunities are associated with cropping activities. Large operations, such as Wessels Boerdery, provides permanent employment to more than 30 workers. Tracts of rangeland are typically overseen by small contingents of caretaker staff. Seasonal opportunities are limited, and mainly associated with crop weeding activities in summer.

The smallholding and farming area is considered relatively safe, although interviewees indicated that theft of infrastructure (pumps, cables, solar panels, etc) and small numbers of livestock is chronic in the area. Most operations have responded with restricting access to controllable main access points and cutting off through traffic on private and servitude roads, phasing out small stock farming, minimizing exposure of herds to public roads, doing daily stock counts and patrolling their properties at night.

The area to the west of Lichtenburg is historically mined for limestone, dolomite and building materials. A number of closed diggings are located on portions of the old Elandsfontein 34 farm, including the smallholdings immediately to the west and north of Lichtenburg. The operational Dudfield mine (limestone) is located 15.5 km west of Lichtenburg, and at least one aggregate/ sand mining operation (Woema crushers) is located in the Elandsfontein smallholdings 3 km west of the town. Three large cement

factories are located in and around Lichtenburg, including Afrisam's Dudfield plant at the Dudfield mine, and Lafarge's plant on the north-eastern outskirts of town.

Very limited dedicated tourism flows are associated with Lichtenburg town and immediate surrounds. A number of tourist accommodation facilities are located in the town and on its outskirts, but essentially cater to overnight accommodation for travellers and business-related visitors (Photograph 3.8). No major tourism anchors are located in the area. Due to the relatively flat landscape and the predominance of extensively cropped areas, the scenic resource is limited. The flat terrain also limits viewing distances onto properties from public roads.



Photograph 3.8: Elandsrust guest accommodation facility along De la Rey St in the Elandsfontein smallholdings approximately 2.5 km SE of the Themeda site

Existing major service industrial infrastructure in the study area includes a number of Eskom lines feeding into and out of Eskom's large Watershed MTS north of the town (Photograph 3.9). This includes 5 lines (3 corridors) feeding in from the west (i.e., via the study area) (Photograph 3.10). Four lines currently converge just to the north of the dwelling in Talene (25/RE) and continue in a single corridor through the Talene subdivisions ('Elandsfontein smallholdings') north-west towards Watershed. These four and a more northerly fifth, traverse the Zeerust Road within a 1 km distance approximately 650 m to the west of Watershed. All five lines provide power to limestone mining operations.



Photograph 3.9: Watershed MTS east of the Zeerust Road



Photograph 3.10: 88 kV lines traversing the R305 on Elandsfontein 34/7.

Rail infrastructure is essentially associated with mining activities. This includes a line from Lafarge's Tswana Lime mine ~33 km NW of Lichtenburg (Bodibe) to its plant in Lichtenburg. Portions of the line are aligned immediately parallel to portions of the Mahikeng Road and Deelpan Road at the southern and western peripheries of the study area

3.6.2 Site properties

The Aristida PV site is located on a portion of the farm Elandsfontein 34/7 and is one of two PV SEFs currently proposed within the larger Elandsfontein PV Cluster assessment area. The Themeda PV site is proposed immediately to the east of the Aristida site. The specifications for the two projects are identical and they share an access road (directly off the Mahikeng Road). Power from the facilities would be fed into Watershed MTS via a collector substation and a shared overhead 132 kV line (separate application). A third facility, Searsia PV, may be developed on the balance of the development area west of Aristida if later deemed feasible.

The entire Elandsfontein PV Cluster assessment area is located on the farm Elandsfontein 34/7 (Figure 3.3). The 1900 ha property is roughly divided into two portions by the NW-SE aligned Mahikeng Road and is effectively the remainder of the historic Elandsfontein farm which owned by the Boer general Koos de la Rey. The property is currently owned by his great-great-grandson Mr Louis Pretorius. Mr Pretorius and his family resides on the property. The farmstead complex (including sheds and workshops) is located approximately 250 m to the south of the Mahikeng Road. Labourer's housing, a disused church and disused youth camping facility (Polly de la Rey) are located just to the north of the Mahikeng Road (Photographs 3.11-3.14).




Figure 3.3: Aristida PV site (pink fill) indicated in relation to Elandsfontein PV cluster development area (dark blue), the proposed Elandsfontein cluster 132 kV line (separate application) and Elandsfontein 34/7 site property (yellow). Also indicated are local roads (red), Eskom lines (orange) and railway lines (black).



Photograph 3.11: Elandsfontein farmstead located just to the south of the Mahikeng road.



Photograph 3.12: Farm buildings on Elandsfontein yard.



Photograph 3.13: Labourer's house on Elandsfontein 34/7 just to the north of the Mahikeng Road



Photograph 3.14: Entrance to Polly de la Rey facility on Elandsfontein 34/7 seen from the R503

Elandsfontein 34/7 is the base property of a larger operation (Agripret) which includes additional adjacent properties. The mixed operation is based on dryland cropping of maize, soy and sorghum (total cropped area around 1600 ha) and the raising of beef cattle. Sheep farming is only for own use. No game has been introduced onto the property. The bulk of Elandsfontein 34/7 consists of rangeland ('klipveld'), with cropping activities limited to the western perimeter of the property along the railway line south of the Mahikeng Road. The farming operation provides 25 permanent employment opportunities. Most of the workers live on the property with their families. The Polly de la Rey site was historically used as a

Christian youth camping terrain, but such use has discontinued. The facility (including a hall) may be repurposed as a wedding venue, but no concrete arrangements have been made. Disused limestone diggings are located to the west and south-east of the farmstead complex.

With the exception of three 88 kV lines which traverse the NW-most portion of the property, service industrial infrastructure is currently largely aligned along the cadastral boundaries of Elandsfontein 34/7. This includes the railway line to the west, three 88 kV lines to the north, and one 88 kV line to the east. The Elandsfontein PV assessment area occupies around 650 ha (split roughly three ways between the potential development areas). The proposed development area affects the northernmost portion of the property. The relevant portion of the property consists exclusively of rangeland and is not inhabited. The owner considers the grazing resource on the relevant portion of the property dispensable/ replaceable within his broader farming operation, and sufficiently distant from dwellings and the Polly de la Rey facility (Pretorius, pers. comm).

3.6.3 Adjacent properties

A total of fourteen properties border onto the subject property Elandsfontein 34/7, of which 12 border onto the Elandsfontein PV Cluster development area (Figure 3.4). The area immediately to the south of Elandsfontein 34/7 is largely occupied by disused limestone diggings and is not considered within significant proximity.




Figure 3.4: Aristida PV site (pink fill) indicated in relation to Elandsfontein PV cluster (blue), adjacent properties (yellow), study area road network and existing Eskom lines (orange)

Properties located directly to the west and north of the development area largely consist of portions of larger farming operations, such as those belonging to Mr Botha, Mr Steinman, Mr Wessels and Mr Kruger. The relevant portions of these properties consist of rangeland used for raising beef cattle in mixed operations. With the exception of Mr Kruger (main farm near Deelpan), the relevant owners are based in and around Lichtenburg. As indicated, Mr Steinman also owns a major game farming operation. The operation is based on high-end trophy hunting on portions of a number of properties effectively constituting three hunting areas. None of the hunting areas nor the lodge are located in significant proximity to the Themeda site (Steinman, pers. comm). PV SEFs are currently proposed on site-adjacent and near-adjacent portions of Houthaalboomen owned by respectively Mr Kruger (Houthaalboomen South Cluster) and Mr Wessels (Houthaalboomen North Cluster) (see further below for further discussion).

Table 3.3: Overview of properties adjacent to Themeda PV site property

	PROPERTY	OWNER	LAND USES
1	Talene 25	Ms Beatrix Odendaal	Residential
2	Elandsfontein 34/20	Mr Boeta Kraft	Residential (owner and staff), cattle farming, Elandsfontein Brick and Pave cement brick manufacturing
3	Elandsfontein 34/21		
4	Elandsfontein 34/22	???	No structures, likely used for grazing
5	Elandsfontein 34/23	???	Residential, balance likely used for grazing
6	Elandsfontein 34/24	???	Residential, balance likely used for grazing
7	Elandsfontein 34/90	Mr Gert Grobbelaar	Woema Crushers sand& aggregate mining
8	Elandsfontein 34/28/RE	???	GM Meyer livestock auctioneer; Residential (likely linked to auctioneering)
9	Hendriksrust 480/01	Mr Jan Steinman	Part of 4000 ha operation; Dryland cropping and cattle farming; Farmstead inhabited by farm manager; No game or hunting on this property or adjacent portions of Steinman properties
10	Dudfield 35/42	Mr Thinus Botha	Base farm of larger TLG Boerdery; Owner and labourers reside on property (along Mahikeng Rd); Dryland and irrigated cropping and cattle farming
11	Scherppunt 32	Mr Wessie Wessels	Dryland and irrigated cropping and cattle farming; Farmstead complex used as Wessels Boerdery (2000 ha) offices, workshops, sheds, etc; Owner resides on Houthaalboomen 31/8; Portions of Wessels Boerdery currently proposed to accommodate Houthaalboomen North PV Cluster
12	Houthaalboomen 31/1	Mr Heinrich Kruger	Part of larger mixed farming operation based near Deelpan ~55 km west of Lichtenburg; Houthaalboomen 31/1 is one of 4 adjacent properties owned by Mr Kruger; Used exclusively for cattle grazing; One caretaker worker resident (moves with herd); Part of Houthaalboomen South PV Cluster (proposed)

The balance of adjacent properties is associated with the smallholding area, i.e., Talene 25/RE and seven of the Elandsfontein smallholdings, viz. 34/20-24, 34/28/RE and 34/90. With the exception of Talene 25/RE, the portions of these properties bordering onto Elandsfontein consist of veld. Apart from 34/22, dwellings are located on all the adjacent Elandsfontein smallholdings. Other land uses include sand and aggregate quarrying (Woema crushers on 34/28/RE), cement brick manufacturing (Elandsfontein Brick and Pave on 34/20), and cattle farming on 34/19-21.

The dwelling on Talene 25/RE is located immediately adjacent to the development area boundary. The small triangular property is too small for farming purposes and appears to be used exclusively for residential purposes (Photograph 3.15). The property also provides access via a servitude road to portions of Houthaalboomen 31 farmed by Mr Heinrich Kruger. None of the relevant smallholding area properties are currently affected by Eskom lines (although a corridor is located across the Talene subdivisions to the north-east of Elandsfontein 34/7 (Photograph 31.6).



Photograph 31.5: Dwelling and outbuildings on Talene 25/RE



Photograph 3.16: Existing power line corridor across the Talene subdivisions (Elandsfontein smallholdings) to the west of the Zeerust Road

3.6.4 Potentially sensitive social receptors

Receptors located within a 5 km radius of the Themeda site consists of land used for commercial farming purposes with some also accommodating residential uses (south, west

and north), and most of the Elandsfontein smallholding area (east) (Figure 3.5). The Themeda site is located on a relatively isolated portion of Elandsfontein 34/7 and is largely surrounded by range land used for cattle grazing on this and adjacent properties.




Figure 3.5: Receptors located within 5 km radius (light blue circle, for purposes of scale) of the outer corners of the Aristida PV site (pink fill). Also indicated are the Elandsfontein PV cluster development area (dark blue), the Elandsfontein/ Talene smallholdings (light pink), Lichtenburg Game Breeding Centre (green), roads (red), Eskom lines (orange) and railway lines (black).

Residential structures on Elandsfontein 34/7 are located 2.4 km and further from the site (Table 3.4). Residential structures on adjacent commercial farms such as Dudfield (1.5 km, staff) and Hendriksdal 480/1 (1.7 km) are located near existing infrastructure such as the Mahikeng Rd, the railway line and 88 kV lines (Hendriksrust) (Photograph 3.17). The Wessels farmstead on Houthaalboomen is located equidistant (2.2 km) from the site and the Houthaalboomen North Cluster development area on other portions of the Wessels property. Three PV SEFs are currently proposed on the portions of Houthaalboomen (Kruger) immediately to the north of the site (300 m from the Wessels farmstead).

The settlement pattern in the smallholding area accessed via De la Rey St to the east of the site is essentially concentrated near De la Rey St, i.e., the property portions furthest away from the Elandsfontein PV Cluster development area (>3 km). The only exception to is Elandsfontein 34/28/RE. The property is used for livestock auctioneering, with dwellings located in the central portion of the property, approximately 2.4 km from the Aristida site. The auctioning infrastructure is located along the Mahikeng Rd (Photograph 3.18). Woema crushers and a few smaller quarries ((e.g., on 34/19) are located in this area, but the relevant smallholdings are currently skirted by Eskom lines.

The settlement pattern in the northern smallholdings area (Talene subdivisions) north-east of the site is concentrated along the spine of the Elandsfontein public gravel road off the Zeerust Rd (R505). Residential structures are located >1.8 km from the site, of which the nearest that on Talene 25/RE. Four power lines (three alignments) currently converge just to the west of Talene 25/RE, and continue in a single corridor via the smallholding area towards Watershed MTS. Some of these properties are also located in meaningful proximity

to four other proposed PV sites (Themeda, Barleria, Dicoma and Setaria – see further below) and three proposed Tx lines from 3 proposed collector substations.

As indicated, the study area is affected by historic and current mining activities. No scenic resources are located in the area. Due to the generally flat terrain and often intervening vegetation or crops, sighting distances in the study area are limited. The site is unlikely to be prominently visible from any dwellings in the area. The site would be most visible from the Mahikeng Road immediately to its south. Proposed site access is directly off the Elandsfontein Road, i.e., would not affect access roads to other properties. In conclusion, no significant impacts on dwellings or existing land uses are associated with the Aristida site.

Table 3.4: Overview of site in relation to local receptors

PROPERTY	ACCESS	DWELLINGS	COMMENT
Elandsfontein 34/7 (Site property)	Mahikeng Rd	2.9 km	Elandsfontein farmstead
	Mahikeng Rd	2.4 km	Labourers' houses
	Mahikeng Rd	2 km	Polly de la Rey
Talene 25	Elandsfontein Rd	1.8 km	Potential cumulative impacts from existing Eskom corridor to north of property plus proposed 5 PV REFs and associated Tx lines
Elandsfontein 34/20	De la Rey St	3.5 km	Dwellings near De la Rey St
Elandsfontein 34/21	De la Rey St	3.1 km	Dwellings near De la Rey St
Elandsfontein 34/22	De la Rey St	n.a.	No structures on property
Elandsfontein 34/23	De la Rey St	3.8 km	Dwellings near De la Rey St
Elandsfontein 34/24	De la Rey St	3.6 km	Dwellings near De la Rey St
Elandsfontein 34/90	De la Rey St	n.a.	Dwellings near De la Rey St
Elandsfontein 34/28/RE	De la Rey St	2.4 km	Accommodates mining activities
Hendriksrust 480/01	Mahikeng Rd	1.7 km	Affected by existing 3 x 88 kV lines
Dudfield 35/42	Mahikeng Rd	1.5 km	Farmstead and labourers' houses located immediately N of R303/ railway line corridor
Scherppunt 32	Mahikeng Rd	4 km	Affected by 1 x 88 kV line; Houthaalboomen North PV Cluster (proposed) on larger Wessels property Scherppunt owner residence on Houthaalbomen 2.2 km N of site
Houthaalboomen 31/1	Elandsfontein Rd via Talene 25/RE	n.a.	Houthaalboomen South PV Cluster (proposed)



Photograph 3.17: Farm yard on Dudfield, seen from the R503.



Photograph 3.18: Meyer livestock auctioneers along R503 east of Elandsfontein 34/7

3.6.5 Other renewable energy facilities

The study area does not fall within a REDZ. No operational REFs are currently located within a 35 km radius of the Aristida PV site. The nearest operational PV SEF is the 75 MW Zeerust Solar SEF located 60 km to the north. The DFF&E's Renewable Energy website indicates that historic applications in the Lichtenburg area date back to 2011. Excluding Aristida, a total of 13 REF projects have historically been proposed or are currently proposed (Figure 3.6). All proposals call for PV SEFs.




Figure 3.6: Aristida site (pink outline) in relation to other historic and current REF applications within a 35 km radius of the site. Dark blue outlines indicate parallel current applications not yet reflected on the map above.⁹ Eskom lines.¹⁰

⁹ https://egis.environment.gov.za/renewable_energy (Updated February 2022).

¹⁰ https://egis.environment.gov.za/renewable_energy (Updated February 2022).

As indicated, the Aristida PV SEF forms part of the Elandsfontein PV Cluster. A second PV SEF, Themeda, is currently proposed (parallel application process), while a third (Searsia PV SEF) may follow. Three PV SEFs – Barleria, Dicoma and Setaria – are currently proposed as part of the Houthaalboomen South Cluster on four portions of Houthaalboomen 31 (Kruger) located immediately to the north of the Themeda site.¹¹ The site was previously proposed to accommodate the 75 MW Watershed PV facility. Further to the north, the Houthaalboomen North PV cluster is currently proposed on three different portions of Houthaalboomen 31 (Wessels). Three 120 MW-sized PV SEFs, Euphorbia, Hillardia and Verbena are proposed. Amendment applications for three more projects, Lichtenburg PV 1-3, were submitted in 2020. The applications sought to increase the combined output to 500 MW and to add BESS infrastructure¹². The outcome is unknown.

Table 3.5: Historic and current REF applications within 35 km of the Astrida SEF site

	PROJECT	TYPE	MW	APPLICANT	STATUS
1	Euphorbia	PV SEF	120	Euphorbia PV	EIA in process 2022
2	Hillardia	PV SEF	120	Hillardia PV	EIA in process 2022
3	Verbena	PV SEF	120	Verbena PV	EIA in process 2022
4	Lichtenburg 2	PV SEF	>100	ABO Wind	Amendment application 2020
5	Tlisitseng 1	PV SEF	75	BioTherm Energy	EIA submitted 2017
6	Tlisitseng 2	PV SEF	75	BioTherm Energy	EIA submitted 2017
7	Barleria	PV SEF	75	Barleria PV	EIA in process 2022
8	Dicoma	PV SEF	75	Dicoma PV	EIA in process 2022
9	Setaria	PV SEF	75	Setaria PV	EIA in process 2022
10	Aristida	PV SEF	120	Aristida PV	EIA in process 2022
11	Hibernia	PV SEF	???	Mainstream SA	EIA submitted 2014
12	Lichtenburg 1	PV SEF	>100	ABO Wind	Amendment application 2020
13	Lichtenburg 3	PV SEF	>100	ABO Wind	Amendment application 2020
14	[Unnamed]	PV SEF	10	???	???
15	[Unnamed]	PV SEF	3	ACSA	BAR submitted 2011

In conclusion, while no PV SEF facilities have been constructed in the Lichtenburg area to date, approximately 11 facilities are currently being investigated, proposed, or have been (partly) approved within a 10 km radius of Lichtenburg. All the relevant sites are located to the west and north of the town in relatively close proximity to the Aristida PV SEF site.

¹¹ <https://savannahsa.com/public-documents/energy-generation/houthaalbomen-pv-cluster/>

¹² <https://savannahsa.com/public-documents/energy-generation/lichtenburg-1-2-and-3-pv-facilities/>

SECTION 4: ASSESSMENT OF KEY SOCIAL ISSUES

4.1 INTRODUCTION

Section 4 provides an assessment of the key social issues identified during the study. The identification of key issues was based on:

- Review of project related information, including other specialist studies.
- Site visit and interviews with key interested and affected parties.
- Experience/ familiarity of the author with the area and local conditions.
- Experience with similar projects.

The assessment section is divided into the following sections:

- Assessment of compatibility with relevant policy and planning context (“planning fit”).
- Assessment of social issues associated with the construction phase.
- Assessment of social issues associated with the operational phase.
- Assessment of social issues associated with the decommissioning phase.
- Assessment of the “no development” alternative.
- Assessment of cumulative impacts.

4.2 ASSESSMENT OF POLICY AND PLANNING FIT

The development of renewable energy is strongly supported at a national, provincial, and local level. The development of and investment in renewable energy is supported by the National Development Plan (NDP), New Growth Path Framework and National Infrastructure Plan, which all refer to and support renewable energy. The North West Province Renewable Energy Strategy also supports the development of renewable energy. The development of the proposed PV SEF is therefore supported by key policy and planning documents.

4.3 CONSTRUCTION PHASE SOCIAL IMPACTS

Potential positive impacts

- Creation of employment and business opportunities, and opportunity for skills development and on-site training.

Potential negative impacts

- Impacts associated with the presence of construction workers on local communities.
- Impacts related to the potential influx of jobseekers.
- Increased risks to livestock and farming infrastructure associated with the construction related activities and presence of construction workers on the site.
- Increased risk of grass fires associated with construction related activities.
- Nuisance impacts, such as noise, dust, and safety, associated with construction related activities and vehicles.
- Impact on productive farmland.

4.3.1 Creation of local employment, training, and business opportunities

The construction phase of 120 MW SEF will extend over a period of approximately 18-24 months and create in the region of 350 employment opportunities. Based on information provided by the proponent, approximately 75% of the jobs will benefit low-skilled workers, 25% semi-skilled and 5% high skilled. Members from the local communities in the area, specifically Lichtenburg, would be in a position to qualify for most of the low skilled and semi-skilled employment opportunities. Most of these employment opportunities will accrue to Historically Disadvantaged (HD) members of the community. Based on information from similar projects the total wage bill will be in the region of R 31 million (2021 Rand values). A percentage of the wage bill will be spent in the local economy which will also create opportunities for local businesses in the local towns in the area.

Given relatively high local unemployment levels and limited job opportunities in the area, this will represent a significant, if localised, social benefit. However, in the absence of specific commitments from the developer to maximise local employment targets the potential opportunities for local employment may be reduced. In addition, the low education and skills levels in the area may hamper potential opportunities for local communities. Where feasible the implementation of a training and skills development programme prior to the commencement of construction would also increase the potential to employ local community members. The number of low skilled and semi-skilled positions taken up by members from the local community will depend on the effective implementation of these enhancement measures by the proponent in consultation with the DLM. Due to the small size of the local towns in the area the ability to find suitably qualified and educated local workers may however be limited.

The capital expenditure associated with the construction phase will be approximately R 1.5 billion (2021 Rand value). Due the lack of diversification in the local economy the potential for local companies is likely to be limited. The majority of benefits are therefore likely to accrue to contractors and engineering companies based outside the DLM. Implementing the enhancement measures listed below can create potential opportunities for potentially qualified local companies.

The local service sector will also benefit from the construction phase. The potential opportunities would be linked to accommodation, catering, cleaning, transport, and security, etc. associated with the construction workers on the site. The hospitality industry in the area will also benefit from the provision of accommodation and meals for professionals (engineers, quantity surveyors, project managers, product representatives etc.) and other (non-construction) personnel involved on the project. Experience from other construction projects indicates that the potential opportunities are not limited to on-site construction workers but also to consultants and product representatives associated with the project.

Table 4.1: Impact assessment of employment and business creation opportunities during the construction phase

Nature: Creation of employment and business opportunities during the construction phase		
	Without Mitigation	With Enhancement
Extent	Local – Regional (2)	Local – Regional (3)
Duration	Short term (2)	Short term (2)
Magnitude	Moderate (6)	Moderate (6)
Probability	Highly probable (4)	Highly probable (4)
Significance	Medium (40)	Medium (44)
Status	Positive	Positive
Reversibility	N/A	N/A
Irreplaceable loss of resources?	N/A	N/A
Can impact be enhanced?	Yes	
Enhancement: See below		
Residual impacts: Improved pool of skills and experience in the local area.		

Assessment of No-Go option

There is no impact, as the current status quo will be maintained.

Recommended enhancement measures

In order to enhance local employment and business opportunities associated with the construction phase the following measures should be implemented:

Employment

- Where reasonable and practical, the proponent should appoint local contractors and implement a 'locals first' policy, especially for semi and low-skilled job categories. However, due to the low skills levels in the area, the majority of skilled posts are likely to be filled by people from outside the area.
- Where feasible, efforts should be made to employ local contractors that are compliant with Broad Based Black Economic Empowerment (BBBEE) criteria.
- Before the construction phase commences the proponent should meet with representatives from the DLM to establish the existence of a skills database for the area. If such a database exists it should be made available to the contractors appointed for the construction phase.
- The local authorities, community representatives, and organisations on the interested and affected party database should be informed of the final decision regarding the project and the potential job opportunities for locals and the employment procedures that the proponent intends following for the construction phase of the project.
- Where feasible, training and skills development programmes for locals should be initiated prior to the initiation of the construction phase.
- The recruitment selection process should seek to promote gender equality and the employment of women wherever possible.

Business

- The proponent should liaise with the DLM with regards the establishment of a database of local companies, specifically BBEE companies, which qualify as potential service providers (e.g., construction companies, catering companies, waste collection companies, security companies etc.) prior to the commencement of the tender process for construction contractors. These companies should be notified of the tender process and invited to bid for project-related work.
- Where possible, the proponent should assist local BBEE companies to complete and submit the required tender forms and associated information.
- The DLM, in conjunction with the local business sector and representatives from the local hospitality industry, should identify strategies aimed at maximising the potential benefits associated with the project.

Note that while preference to local employees and companies is recommended, it is recognised that a competitive tender process may not guarantee the employment of local labour for the construction phase.

4.3.2 Impact of construction workers on local communities

The presence of construction workers poses a potential risk to family structures and social networks. While the presence of construction workers does not in itself constitute a social impact, the manner in which construction workers conduct themselves can impact on local communities. The most significant negative impact is associated with the disruption of existing family structures and social networks. This risk is linked to potentially risky behaviour, mainly of male construction workers, including:

- An increase in alcohol and drug use.
- An increase in crime levels.
- The loss of girlfriends and/or wives to construction workers.
- An increase in teenage and unwanted pregnancies.
- An increase in prostitution.
- An increase in sexually transmitted diseases (STDs), including HIV.

The proponent has indicated that workers will be accommodated on site.

As indicated above, the objective will be to source as many of the low and semi-skilled workers locally. These workers will be from the local community and form part of the local family and social networks. This will reduce the risk and mitigate the potential impacts on the local community. The potential impact on the local community will therefore be negligible. The balance of semi-skilled and skilled workers will be accommodated in the nearby town of Lichtenburg.

While the risks associated with construction workers at a community level will be low, at an individual and family level they may be significant, especially in the case of contracting a sexually transmitted disease or an unplanned pregnancy. However, given the nature of construction projects it is not possible to totally avoid these potential impacts at an individual or family level.

Table 4.2: Assessment of impact of the presence of construction workers in the area on local communities

Nature: Potential impacts on family structures and social networks associated with the presence of construction workers		
	Without Mitigation	With Mitigation
Extent	Local (2)	Local (1)
Duration	Short term for community as a whole (2)	Short term for community as a whole (2)
Magnitude	Moderate for the community as a whole (6)	Low for community as a whole (4)
Probability	Probable (3)	Probable (3)
Significance	Medium for the community as a whole (30)	Low for the community as a whole (21)
Status	Negative	Negative
Reversibility	No in case of HIV and AIDS	No in case of HIV and AIDS
Irreplaceable loss of resources?	Yes, if people contract HIV/AIDS. Human capital plays a critical role in communities that rely on farming for their livelihoods	
Can impact be mitigated?	Yes, to some degree. However, the risk cannot be eliminated	
Mitigation: See below		
Residual impacts: Impacts on family and community relations that may, in some cases, persist for a long period of time. Also, in cases where unplanned / unwanted pregnancies occur or members of the community are infected by an STD, specifically HIV and or AIDS, the impacts may be permanent and have long term to permanent cumulative impacts on the affected individuals and/or their families and the community.		

Assessment of No-Go option

There is no impact as the current status quo would be maintained.

Recommended mitigation measures

The potential risks associated with construction workers can be mitigated. The detailed mitigation measures should be outlined in the Environmental Management Plan (EMP) for the Construction Phase. Aspects that should be covered include:

- Where possible, the proponent should make it a requirement for contractors to implement a 'locals first' policy for construction jobs, specifically for semi and low-skilled job categories.
- The proponent should consider the option of establishing a Monitoring Forum (MF) in order to monitor the construction phase and the implementation of the recommended mitigation measures. The MF should be established before the construction phase commences, and should include key stakeholders, including representatives from DLM, farmers, and the contractor(s). The MF should also be briefed on the potential risks to the local community associated with construction workers.
- The proponent and the contractor(s) should, in consultation with representatives from the MF, develop a code of conduct for the construction phase. The code should identify

which types of behaviour and activities are not acceptable. Construction workers in breach of the code should be dismissed. All dismissals must comply with the South African labour legislation.

- The proponent and the contractor should implement an HIV/AIDS awareness programme for all construction workers at the outset of the construction phase.
- The construction area should be fenced off before construction commences and no workers should be permitted to leave the fenced off area.
- The contractor should provide transport for workers to and from the site on a daily basis. This will enable the contractor to effectively manage and monitor the movement of construction workers on and off the site.
- The contractor must ensure that all construction workers from outside the area are transported back to their place of residence within 2 days of their contract coming to an end.
- It is recommended that no construction workers, except for security personnel, should be permitted to stay over-night on the site. However, as indicated above, due to the location of the site, on-site accommodation for workers may need to be provided.

4.3.3 Influx of job seekers

Large construction projects tend to attract people to the area in the hope that they will secure a job, even if it is a temporary job. These job seekers can in turn become “economically stranded” in the area or decide to stay on irrespective of finding a job or not. While the proposed project on its own does not constitute a large construction project, the establishment of a number of renewable energy projects in the area may attract job seekers to the area. As in the case of construction workers employed on the project, the actual presence of job seekers in the area does not in itself constitute a social impact. However, the way in which they conduct themselves can impact on the local community. The main areas of concern associated with the influx of job seekers include:

- Impacts on existing social networks and community structures.
- Competition for housing, specifically low-cost housing.
- Competition for scarce jobs.
- Increase in incidences of crime.

These issues are similar to the concerns associated with the presence of construction workers and are discussed in Section 4.4.2. The findings of the SIA indicate that the potential for economically motivated in-migration and subsequent labour stranding is likely to be negligible. This is due to the limited economic and employment opportunities in Lichtenburg and the DLM. The risks associated with the influx of job seekers are therefore likely to be low.

Table 4.3: Assessment of impact of job seekers on local communities

Nature: Potential impacts on family structures, social networks and community services associated with the influx of job seekers		
	Without Mitigation	With Mitigation
Extent	Local (2)	Local (1)
Duration	Permanent (5) (For job seekers that stay on in the area)	Permanent (5) (For job seekers that stay on in the area)
Magnitude	Minor (2)	Minor (2)
Probability	Probable (3)	Probable (3)
Significance	Low (27)	Low (24)
Status	Negative	Negative
Reversibility	No in case of HIV and AIDS	No in case of HIV and AIDS
Irreplaceable loss of resources?	Yes, if people contract HIV/AIDS. Human capital plays a critical role in communities that rely on farming for their livelihoods	
Can impact be mitigated?	Yes, to some degree. However, the risk cannot be eliminated	
Mitigation: See below		
Residual impacts: Impacts on family and community relations that may, in some cases, persist for a long period of time. Also, in cases where unplanned / unwanted pregnancies occur or members of the community are infected by an STD, specifically HIV and or AIDS, the impacts may be permanent and have long term to permanent cumulative impacts on the affected individuals and/or their families and the community.		

Assessment of No-Go option

There is no impact as the current status quo would be maintained.

Recommended mitigation measures

It is impossible to stop people from coming to the area in search of employment. However, as indicated above, the proponent should ensure that the employment criteria favour residents from the area. In addition:

- The proponent, in consultation with the DLM, should investigate the option of establishing a MF to monitor and identify potential problems that may arise due to the influx of job seekers to the area. The MF should also include the other proponents of solar energy projects in the area.
- The proponent should implement a “locals first” policy, specifically with regard to unskilled and low skilled opportunities.
- The proponent should implement a policy that no employment will be available at the gate.

4.3.4 Risk to safety, livestock, and farm infrastructure

The presence on and movement of construction workers on and off the site poses a potential safety threat to local famers and farm workers in the vicinity of the site and was

identified as a key risk by local landowners. In addition, farm infrastructure, such as fences and gates, may be damaged and stock losses may also result from gates being left open and/or fences being damaged, or stock theft linked either directly or indirectly to the presence of farm workers on the site. The potential risks (safety, livestock, and farm infrastructure) can be effectively mitigated by careful planning and managing the movement of construction on and off the site workers during the construction phase. Mitigation measures to address these risks are outlined below.

Table 4.4: Assessment of risk to safety, livestock, and damage to farm infrastructure

Nature: Potential risk to safety of scholars, farmers and farm workers, livestock and damage to farm infrastructure associated with the presence of construction workers on site		
	Without Mitigation	With Mitigation
Extent	Local (3)	Local (2)
Duration	Short term (2)	Short term (2)
Magnitude	Medium (6)	Low (4)
Probability	Probable (3)	Probable (3)
Significance	Medium (33)	Low (24)
Status	Negative	Negative
Reversibility	Yes, compensation paid for stock losses and damage to farm infrastructure etc.	Yes, compensation paid for stock losses and damage to farm infrastructure etc.
Irreplaceable loss of resources?	No	No
Can impact be mitigated?	Yes	Yes
Mitigation: See below		
Residual impacts No, provided losses are compensated for.		

Assessment of No-Go option

There is no impact as it maintains the current status quo.

Recommended mitigation measures

Key mitigation measures include:

- The proponent should prepare a Stakeholder Engagement Plan (SEP) and Community Health, Safety and Security Plan (CHSSP) prior to commencement of the construction phase.
- The proponent should enter into an agreement with local farmers in the area whereby damages to farm property etc. during the construction phase will be compensated for. The agreement should be signed before the construction phase commences.
- Traffic movement and construction related activities should be contained within clearly designated areas.
- Strict traffic speed limits must be enforced.
- All farm gates must be closed after passing through.

- Contractors appointed by the proponent should provide daily transport for construction workers to and from the site. This would reduce the potential risk of trespassing on the remainder of the farm and adjacent properties.
- The proponent should consider the option of establishing a MF (see above) that includes local farmers and develop a Code of Conduct for construction workers. This committee should be established prior to commencement of the construction phase. The Code of Conduct should be signed by the proponent and the contractors before the contractors move onto site.
- The proponent should hold contractors liable for compensating farmers in full for any stock losses and/or damage to farm infrastructure that can be linked to construction related activities and or workers. This should be contained in the Code of Conduct to be signed between the proponent, the contractors, and neighbouring landowners. The agreement should also cover loses and costs associated with fires caused by construction workers or construction related activities (see below).
- The Environmental Management Plan (EMP) must outline procedures for managing and storing waste on site, specifically plastic waste that poses a threat to livestock if ingested.
- Contractors appointed by the proponent must ensure that all workers are informed at the outset of the construction phase of the conditions contained on the Code of Conduct, specifically consequences of stock theft and trespassing on adjacent farms.
- Contractors appointed by the proponent must ensure that construction workers found guilty of stealing livestock and/or damaging farm infrastructure are dismissed and charged. This should be contained in the Code of Conduct. All dismissals must be in accordance with South African labour legislation.
- No construction workers, with the exception of security personnel, should be permitted to stay over-night on the site.

4.3.5 Increased risk of grass fires

The presence of construction workers and construction-related activities on the site poses an increased risk of grass fires that could, in turn pose, a threat to livestock, crops, wildlife and farm infrastructure. The potential risk of grass fires was identified as a key risk by local landowners, specifically during the dry, windy winter months from May to October. In terms of potential mitigation measures the option of constructing a firebreak around the perimeter of the site prior to the commencement of the construction phase should be investigated. In addition, fire-fighting equipment must be provided on site.

Table 4.5: Assessment of impact of increased risk of grass fires

Nature: Potential loss of livestock, crops and houses, damage to farm infrastructure and threat to human life associated with increased incidence of grass fires		
	Without Mitigation	With Mitigation
Extent	Local (4)	Local (2)
Duration	Short term (2)	short term (2)
Magnitude	Moderate due to reliance on agriculture for maintaining livelihoods (6)	Low (4)
Probability	Probable (3)	Probable (3)
Significance	Medium (36)	Low (24)

Status	Negative	Negative
Reversibility	Yes, compensation paid for stock and crop losses etc.	
Irreplaceable loss of resources?	No	No
Can impact be mitigated?	Yes	
Mitigation: See below		
Residual impacts: No, provided losses are compensated for.		

Assessment of No-Go option

There is no impact as it maintains the current status quo.

Recommended mitigation measures

The mitigation measures include:

- The proponent should enter into an agreement with the local farmers in the area whereby damages to farm property etc., during the construction phase will be compensated for. The agreement should be signed before the construction phase commences.
- Contractor should ensure that open fires on the site for cooking or heating are not allowed except in designated areas.
- Smoking on site should be confined to designated areas.
- Contractor should ensure that construction related activities that pose a potential fire risk, such as welding, are properly managed and are confined to areas where the risk of fires has been reduced. Measures to reduce the risk of fires include avoiding working in high wind conditions when the risk of fires is greater. In this regard special care should be taken during the high-risk dry, windy winter months.
- Contractor should provide adequate fire-fighting equipment on-site, including a fire fighting vehicle.
- Contractor should provide fire-fighting training to selected construction staff.
- No construction staff, with the exception of security staff, to be accommodated on site overnight.
- As per the conditions of the Code of Conduct, in the advent of a fire being caused by construction workers and or construction activities, the appointed contractors must compensate farmers for any damage caused to their farms. The contractor should also compensate the fire-fighting costs borne by farmers and local authorities.

4.3.6 Nuisance impacts associated with construction related activities

Construction related activities, including the movement of heavy construction vehicles of and on the site, has the potential to create dust, noise and safety impacts and damage roads. The impacts will be largely local and can be effectively mitigated.

Table 4.6: Assessment of the impacts associated with construction related activities

Nature: Potential noise, dust and safety impacts associated with construction related activities		
	Without Mitigation	With Mitigation
Extent	Local (2)	Local (1)
Duration	Short Term (2)	Short Term (2)
Magnitude	Medium (6)	Minor (2)
Probability	Probable (3)	Probable (3)
Significance	Medium (30)	Low (15)
Status	Negative	Negative
Reversibility	Yes	
Irreplaceable loss of resources?	No	No
Can impact be mitigated?	Yes	
Mitigation: See below		
Residual impacts If damage to local farm roads is not repaired then this will affect the farming activities in the area and result in higher maintenance costs for vehicles of local farmers and other road users. The costs will be borne by road users who were no responsible for the damage.		

Assessment of No-Go option

There is no impact as it maintains the current status quo.

Recommended mitigation measures

The potential impacts associated with heavy vehicles can be effectively mitigated. The mitigation measures include:

- The movement of construction vehicles on the site should be confined to agreed access road/s.
- The movement of heavy vehicles associated with the construction phase should be timed to avoid times days of the week, such as weekends, when the volume of traffic travelling along the access roads may be higher.
- Dust suppression measures should be implemented, such as wetting on a regular basis and ensuring that vehicles used to transport sand and building materials are fitted with tarpaulins or covers.
- All vehicles must be road worthy, and drivers must be qualified and made aware of the potential road safety issues and need for strict speed limits.

4.3.7 Impacts associated with loss of farmland

The activities associated with the construction phase and establishment of the proposed project and associated infrastructure will result in the disturbance and loss of land available for grazing. The impact on farmland associated with the construction phase can be mitigated by minimising the footprint of the construction related activities and ensuring that disturbed areas are fully rehabilitated on completion of the construction phase. Existing internal roads should be used where possible. This this requires careful site planning and management of operations. In the event that new roads are required, these roads should be

rehabilitated on the completion of the construction phase. In addition, the landowners will be compensated for the loss of land.

Table 4.7: Assessment of impact on farmland due to construction related activities

Nature: The activities associated with the construction phase, such as establishment of access roads and the construction camp, movement of heavy vehicles and preparation of foundations for the project etc. will damage farmlands and result in a loss of farmlands for grazing.		
	Without Mitigation	With Mitigation
Extent	Local (1)	Local (1)
Duration	Long term-permanent if disturbed areas are not effectively rehabilitated (5)	Short term if damaged areas are rehabilitated (2)
Magnitude	Medium (6)	Minor (2)
Probability	Probable (3)	Highly Probable (4)
Significance	Medium (36)	Low (20)
Status	Negative	Negative
Reversibility	Yes, disturbed areas can be rehabilitated	Yes, disturbed areas can be rehabilitated
Irreplaceable loss of resources?	Yes, loss of farmland. However, disturbed areas can be rehabilitated	Yes, loss of farmland. However, disturbed areas can be rehabilitated
Can impact be mitigated?	Yes, however, loss of farmland cannot be avoided	Yes, however, loss of farmland cannot be avoided
Mitigation: See below		
Residual impacts: Overall loss of farmland could affect the livelihoods of the affected farmers, their families, and the workers on the farms and their families. However, disturbed areas can be rehabilitated.		

Assessment of No-Go option

There is no impact as it maintains the current status quo.

Recommended mitigation measures

The potential impacts associated with damage to and loss of farmland can be effectively mitigated. The aspects that should be covered include:

- An Environmental Control Officer (ECO) should be appointed to monitor the construction phase.
- Existing internal roads should be used where possible. In the event that new roads are required, these roads should be rehabilitated on the completion of the construction phase.
- The footprint associated with the construction related activities (access roads, construction camps, workshop etc.) should be minimised.
- All areas disturbed by construction related activities, such as access roads on the site, construction camps etc., should be rehabilitated at the end of the construction phase.
- The implementation of a rehabilitation programme should be included in the terms of reference for the contractor/s appointed. The specifications for the rehabilitation programme should be included in the EMP.
- The implementation of the Rehabilitation Programme should be monitored by the ECO.

4.4 OPERATIONAL PHASE SOCIAL IMPACTS

The following key social issues are of relevance to the operational phase:

Potential positive impacts

- The establishment of infrastructure to improve energy security and support renewable sector.
- Creation of employment opportunities.
- Benefits to the affected landowners.
- Benefits associated with the socio-economic contributions to community development.

Potential negative impacts

- Visual impacts and associated impacts on sense of place.
- Impact on property values.
- Impact on tourism.

4.4.1 Improve energy security and support the renewable energy sector

The primary goal of the proposed project is to improve energy security in South Africa by generating additional energy. The proposed SEF also reduces the carbon footprint associated with energy generation. The project should therefore be viewed within the context of the South Africa's current reliance on coal powered energy to meet the majority of its energy needs, and secondly, within the context of the success of the REIPPPP.

Improved energy security

South Africa's energy crisis, which started in 2007 and is ongoing, has resulted in widespread rolling blackouts (referred to as load shedding) due to supply shortfalls. The load shedding has had a significant impact on all sectors of the economy and on investor confidence. The mining and manufacturing sector have been severely impacted and will continue to be impacted until such time as there is a reliable supply to energy. Load shedding in the first six months of 2015 was estimated to have cost South African businesses R13.72 billion in lost revenue with an additional R716 million was spent by businesses on backup generators¹³. A survey of 3 984 small business owners found that 44% said that they had been severely affected by load shedding with 85% stating that it had reduced their revenue, with 40% of small businesses losing 20% or more of revenue during due to load shedding period¹⁴.

Impact of a coal powered economy

The Green Jobs study (2011) notes that South Africa has one of the most carbon-intensive economies in the world, thus making the greening of the electricity mix a national imperative. The study notes that renewable energy provides an ideal means for reaching emission reduction targets in a relatively easy manner. In addition, and of specific relevance to South Africa renewable energy is not as dependent on water compared to the massive water requirements of conventional power stations, has a limited footprint and therefore does not impact on large tracts of land, poses limited pollution and health risks, specifically when compared to coal and nuclear energy plants.

¹³ Goldberg, Ariel (9 November 2015). "The economic impact of load shedding: The case of South African retailers" (PDF). Gordon Institute of Business Science. p. 109

¹⁴ "How does load shedding affect small business in SA?". *The Yoco Small Business Pulse (3: Q1 2019)*: 3

The Greenpeace Report (powering the future: Renewable Energy Roll-out in South Africa, 2013), also notes that within a broader context of climate change, coal energy does not only have environmental impacts, it also has socio-economic impacts. These include acid mine drainage from abandoned mines in South Africa and the risk this poses on the country's limited water resources.

Benefits associated with REIPPPP

The overview of the IPPPP (June 2020) indicates that the REIPPPP has attracted R41.8 billion in foreign investment and financing in the seven bid windows (BW1 – BW4, 1S2 and IS2). This is almost double the inward FDI attracted into South Africa during 2015 (R22.6 billion). In terms of local equity shareholding, 52% (R31.5 billion) of the total equity shareholding (R61 billion) was held by South African's across BW1 to BW4, 1S2 and IS2. This equates to substantially more than the 40% requirement. Foreign equity amounts to R 29.5 billion and contributes 49% to total equity. As far as Broad Based Black Economic Empowerment is concerned, Black South Africans own, on average, 33% of projects that have reached financial close, which is slightly above the 30% target.

On average, black local communities own 9% of projects that have reached financial close. This is well above the 5% target. In addition, an average of 21% shareholding by black people in engineering, procurement, and construction (EPC) contractors has been attained for projects that have reached financial closure. This is higher than 20% target. The shareholding by black people in operating companies of IPPs has averaged 24% (against the targeted 20%) for the 68 projects in operation (i.e. in BW1–4). The target for shareholding by black people in top management has been set at 40%, with an average 67% achieved to date. The target has therefore been significantly exceeded.

The total projected procurement spend for during the construction phase was R73.1 billion, while the proposed operations procurement spend over 20 years operational life is estimated at 76.8 billion. The combined (construction and operations) procurement value is projected as R149.9 billion, of which R81 billion has been spent to date. For construction, of the R70.2 billion already spent to date, R57.7 billion is from the 68 projects which have already been completed. These 68 projects had planned to spend R52.9 billion. The actual procurement construction costs have therefore exceeded the planned costs by 9% for completed projects.

Of the R70.2 billion spent on procurement during construction, R59 billion has reportedly been procured from BBBEE suppliers, achieving 87% of total procured. Actual BBBEE spend during construction for BW1 and BW2 alone was R25.5 billion. The R59 billion spent on BBBEE during construction is 15% more than the R 51.1 billion that had originally been anticipated by all IPPs.

Total procurement spend by IPPs from Qualifying Small Enterprises (QSE) and Exempted Micro Enterprises (EME) has amounted to R24.7 billion (construction and operations) to date, which exceeds commitments by 96% and is 30% of total procurement spend to date (while the required target is 10%). QSE and EME's procurement spend for construction was R 22 billion, which is 4.4 times the targeted spend for construction of R4.9 billion during this procurement phase.

In terms of procurement from women-owned vendors to date, 5% of total construction procurement spend has been from woman-owned vendors (against a targeted 5%), and 6% of operational procurement spend has been realised from woman-owned vendors to date, thereby exceeding the targeted 5%. In terms of construction spend, R 3.2 billion was

undertaken by women-owned vendors, which is almost double the R 1.9 billion estimated for the construction of projects that have reached financial close.

The REIPPPP has therefore created significant employment opportunities for black South African citizens and local communities beyond planned targets. This highlights the importance of the programme in terms of employment equity and the creation of more equal societies.

In terms of employment, to date, a total of 52 603 job years¹⁵ have been created for South African citizens, of which 42 355 job years were in construction and 10 248 in operations. 81%, 43% and 49% of total job opportunities created by IPPs to date. However, woman and disabled people could still be significantly empowered as they represent a mere 10% and 0.4% of total jobs created to date, respectively. Nonetheless, the fact that the REIPPPP has raised employment opportunities for black South African citizens and local communities beyond planned targets, indicates the importance of the programme to employment equity and the drive towards more equal societies. These job years should rise further past the planned target as more projects enter the construction phase. The REIPPPP has also ensured that black people in local communities have ownership in the IPP projects that operate in or nearby their vicinities. The establishment of renewable energy facilities therefore not only address environmental issues associated with climate change and consumption of scarce water resources, but also create significant socio-economic opportunities and benefits, specifically for historically disadvantaged, rural communities.

Table 4.8: Improve energy security and support renewable sector

Nature: Development of infrastructure to improve energy security and support renewable sector		
	Without Mitigation	With Mitigation
Extent	Local, Regional and National (4)	Local, Regional and National (5)
Duration	Long term (4)	Long term (4)
Magnitude	High (8)	High (8)
Probability	Highly Probable (4)	Definite (5)
Significance	High (64)	High (85)
Status	Positive	Positive
Reversibility	Yes	
Irreplaceable loss of resources?	Yes, impact of climate change on ecosystems	Reduced CO ₂ emissions and impact on climate change
Can impact be mitigated?	Yes	
Enhancement: See below		
Residual impacts: Overall reduction in CO ₂ emission, reduction in water consumption for energy generation, contribution to establishing an economically viable commercial renewables generation		

¹⁵ The equivalent of a full-time employment opportunity for one person for one year

sector in the Northern Cape and South Africa.

Assessment of No-Go option

The No-Development option would represent a lost opportunity for South Africa to supplement its current energy needs with clean, renewable energy.

Recommended mitigation measures

Should the project be approved the proponent should:

- Implement a skills development and training programme aimed at maximizing the number of employment opportunities for local community members.
- Maximise opportunities for local content, procurement, and community shareholding.

4.4.2 Creation of employment opportunities

The proposed development will create in the region of 20-30 full time employment opportunities during the operational phase, of which 70% will be unskilled, 25% semi-skilled 25%, and 5% skilled 5%. Based on similar projects the annual operating budget will be in the region of R 24 million (2022 Rand values), including wages.

Table 4.9: Assessment of employment and business creation opportunities

Nature: Creation of employment and business opportunities associated with the operational phase		
	Without Mitigation	With Enhancement
Extent	Local and Regional (1)	Local and Regional (2)
Duration	Long term (4)	Long term (4)
Magnitude	Minor (2)	Low (4)
Probability	Highly Probable (4)	Highly Probable (4)
Significance	Low (28)	Medium (40)
Status	Positive	Positive
Reversibility	N/A	
Irreplaceable loss of resources?	No	
Can impact be enhanced?	Yes	
Enhancement: See below		
Residual impacts: Creation of permanent employment and skills and development opportunities for members from the local community and creation of additional business and economic opportunities in the area		

Assessment of No-Go option

There is no impact as it maintains the current status quo.

Recommended enhancement measures

The enhancement measures listed in Section 4.4.1, i.e. to enhance local employment and business opportunities during the construction phase, also apply to the operational phase.

4.4.3 Generate income for affected landowners

The proponent will enter into rental agreements with the affected landowners for the use of the land for the establishment of the proposed SEF. In terms of the rental agreement the affected landowner will be paid an annual amount dependent upon the number of wind turbines located on the property. The additional income will reduce the risk to his livelihoods posed by droughts and fluctuating market prices for sheep and farming inputs, such as fuel, feed etc. Given the low carrying capacity of the veld the additional income represents a significant benefit for the affected landowners.

The benefits are also not only limited to the affected landowners. In this regard the landowners interviewed indicated that farm owners that were scoped out during the EIA phase will still receive some financial compensation.

Table 4.10: Assessment of benefits associated with income generated for the affected farmer(s)

Nature: The generation of additional income represents a significant benefit for the local affected farmer(s) and reduces the risks to their livelihoods posed by droughts and fluctuating market prices for sheep and farming inputs, such as feed etc.		
	Without Mitigation	With Enhancement
Extent	Local (1)	Local (3)
Duration	Long term (4)	Long term (4)
Intensity	Low (4)	Moderate (6)
Likelihood	Probable (3)	Definite (5)
Significance	Low (27)	Medium (53)
Status	Positive	Positive
Reversibility	Yes	Yes
Can impact be enhanced?	Yes	
Enhancement: See below		
Residual impacts: Support for local agricultural sector and farming		

Assessment of No-Go option

There is no impact as it maintains the current status quo.

Recommended enhancement measures

Implement agreements with affected landowner.

4.4.4 Benefits associated with the socio-economic development contributions

The REIPPPP has been designed not only to procure energy but has also been structured to contribute to the broader national development objectives of job creation, social upliftment and broadening of economic ownership. Socio-economic development (SED) contributions are an important focus of the REIPPPP and are aimed at ensuring that local communities benefit directly from the investments attracted into the area. These contributions are linked to Community Trusts and accrue over the project operation life and, in so doing, create an opportunity to generate a steady revenue stream over an extended period. This revenue

can be used to fund development initiatives in the area and support the local community. The long-term duration of the revenue stream also allows local municipalities and communities to undertake long term planning for the area. The revenue from the proposed SEF can be used to support a number of social and economic initiatives in the area, including:

- Creation of jobs.
- Education.
- Support for and provision of basic services.
- School feeding schemes.
- Training and skills development.
- Support for SMME's.

The minimum compliance threshold for SED contributions is 1% of the revenue with 1.5% the targeted level over the 20-year project operational life. For the current portfolio of projects, the average commitment level is 2.2%, which is 125% higher than the minimum threshold level. To date (across seven bid windows) a total contribution of R23.1 billion has been committed to SED initiatives. Assuming an even, annual revenue spread, the average contribution per year would be R1.2 billion. Of the total commitment, R18.8 billion is specifically allocated for local communities where the IPPs operate. With every new IPP on the grid, revenues and the respective SED contributions will increase.

As a percentage of revenue, SED obligations become effective only when operations commence, and revenue is generated. Of the 91 IPPs that have reached financial close (BW1–BW41), 68 are operational. The SED contributions associated with these 68 projects has amounted to R 1.2 billion to date.

In terms of ED and SED spend, education, social welfare, and health care initiatives have a SED focus. SED spend on education has been almost double the expenditure on enterprise development. In this regard IPPs have supported 1 123 education institutions with a total of R312 million in contributions, from 2015 to the end of June 2020. A total of 1 142 bursaries, amounting to R183.8 million, have been awarded by 55 IPPs from 2015 until the end of June 2020. The largest portion of the bursaries were awarded to African and Coloured students (97%), with women and girls receiving 56% of total bursaries. The Northern Cape province benefitted most from the bursaries awarded, with 61%, followed by the Eastern Cape (18%) and Western Cape (14%). Enterprise development and social welfare are the focus areas that have received the second highest share of the contributions to date.

The Green Jobs study (2011) found that the case for renewable energy is enhanced by the positive effect on rural or regional development. Renewable energy facilities located in rural areas create an opportunity to benefit the local and regional economy through the creation of jobs and tax revenues.

The establishment of Community Trusts projects do therefore create significant benefits for local rural communities. However, Community Trusts can also be mismanaged. This is an issue that will need to be addressed when setting up the trust.

Table 4.11: Assessment of benefits associated with socio-economic development contributions

Nature: Benefits associated with support for local community's form SED contributions		
	Without Mitigation	With Enhancement¹⁶
Extent	Local and Regional (2)	Local and Regional (3)
Duration	Long term (4)	Long term (4)
Intensity	Low (4)	Moderate (6)
Likelihood	Probable (3)	Definite (5)
Significance	Medium (30)	High (65)
Status	Positive	Positive
Reversibility	Yes	Yes
Can impact be enhanced?	Yes	
Enhancement: See below		
Residual impacts: Promotion of social and economic development and improvement in the overall well-being of the community		

Assessment of No-Go option

There is no impact as it maintains the current status quo. However, the potential opportunity costs in terms of the supporting the social and economic development in the area would be lost. This would also represent a negative impact.

Recommended enhancement measures

To maximise the benefits and minimise the potential for corruption and misappropriation of funds the following measures should be implemented:

- The proponents should liaise with the DLM to identify projects that can be supported by SED contributions.
- Clear criteria for identifying and funding community projects and initiatives in the area should be identified. The criteria should be aimed at maximising the benefits for the community as a whole and not individuals within the community.
- Strict financial management controls, including annual audits, should be instituted to manage the SED contributions.

4.4.5 Visual impact and impact on sense of place

The proposed SEF has the potential to impact on the areas existing rural sense of place. Based on an initial assessment of the location the potential impact on the areas sense of place is likely to be limited. In addition, none of the local landowners interviewed raised concerns regarding the potential impact on the areas sense of place associated with the proposed SEF. The areas sense of place has also been impacted by existing transmission lines associated with the Watershed Substation.

¹⁶ Enhancement assumes effective management of the community trust

Table 4.12: Visual impact and impact on sense of place

Nature: Visual impact associated with the proposed facility and associated infrastructure and the potential impact on the areas rural sense of place.		
	Without Mitigation	With Mitigation
Extent	Local (2)	Local (1)
Duration	Long term (4)	Long term (4)
Magnitude	Minor (2)	Minor (2)
Probability	Probable (3)	Probable (3)
Significance	Low (24)	Low (21)
Status	Negative	Negative
Reversibility	Yes, SEF components and other infrastructure can be removed.	
Irreplaceable loss of resources?	No	
Can impact be mitigated?	Yes	
Mitigation: See below		
Residual impacts: Potential impact on current rural sense of place		

Assessment of No-Go option

There is no impact as it maintains the current status quo.

Recommended mitigation measures

The recommendations contained in the VIA should be implemented.

4.4.6 Potential impact on property values

Based on the findings of a literature review for wind farms the potential impact on property values associated with SEFs is likely to be low. The literature review did not constitute a property evaluation study, but merely sought on comment on the potential impact of wind farms on property values based on the findings of studies undertaken overseas. The findings are also likely to be relevant to SEFs. In total five articles were identified and reviewed. The most relevant is likely to be the study by Urbis (2016), Review of the Impact of Wind Farms on Property Values, Urbis Pty Ltd (2016), commissioned by the Office of Environment and Heritage, NSW, Australia, which focused on rural properties in Australia.

Based on the outcome of the study the authors were of the opinion that wind farms may not significantly impact rural properties used for agricultural purposes. However, the study found that there is limited available sales data to make a conclusive finding relating to value impacts on residential or lifestyle properties located close to wind farm turbines, noting that wind farms in NSW have been constructed in predominantly rural areas. In conclusion, the authors of the Urbis study found:

- Appropriately located wind farms within rural areas, removed from higher density residential areas, are unlikely to have a measurable negative impact on surrounding land values.

- There is limited available sales data to make a conclusive finding relating to value impacts on residential or lifestyle properties located close to wind farm turbines, noting that wind farms in NSW have been constructed in predominantly rural areas.

Based on the findings of the literature review the potential impact of WEFs on rural property values is likely to be low. This finding is also likely to apply to SEFs. This was confirmed by the feedback from the local landowners interviewed, none of whom raised concerns about the potential impact on property values.

Table 4.13: Assessment of potential impact on property values and operations

Nature: Potential impact of the SEF on property values		
	Without Mitigation	With Enhancement / Mitigation
Extent	Local (2)	Local (2)
Duration	Long term (4)	Long term (4)
Magnitude	Minor (2)	Minor (2)
Probability	Probable (3)	Probable (3)
Significance	Low (24)	Low (24)
Status	Negative	Negative
Reversibility	Yes	Yes
Irreplaceable loss of resources?	No	No
Can impact be enhanced?	Yes	
Enhancement: See below		
Residual impacts: Linked to visual impact on sense of place.		

Assessment of No-Go option

There is no impact as it maintains the current status quo.

Recommended mitigation measures

- The recommendations contained in the VIA should be implemented.

4.4.7 Potential impact on tourism

Based on the findings of a literature review for wind farms the potential impact of WEFs on tourism is likely to be low. Three articles were reviewed, namely:

- Atchison, (April 2012). Tourism Impact of Wind Farms: Submitted to Renewables Inquiry Scottish Government. University of Edinburgh.
- Glasgow Caledonian University (2008). The economic impacts of wind farms on Scottish tourism. A report prepared for the Scottish Government.
- Regeneris Consulting (2014). Study into the Potential Economic Impact of Wind Farms and Associated Grid Infrastructure on the Welsh Tourism Sector.

Based on the findings of the literature review there is limited evidence to suggest that the proposed SEF would impact on the tourism in the DLM at a local and regional level. The

findings also indicate that wind farms do not impact on tourist routes. The same is also likely to apply to SEFs. This was confirmed by the feedback from the local landowners interviewed, none of whom raised concerns about the potential impact on tourism facilities in the area.

Table 4.14: Impact on tourism in the region

Nature: Potential impact of the SEF on local tourism		
	Without Mitigation	With Enhancement / Mitigation
Extent	Local (2)	Local (2)
Duration	Long term (4)	Long term (4)
Magnitude	Minor (2)	Minor (2)
Probability	Probable (3)	Probable (3)
Significance	Low (24)	Low (24)
Status	Negative	Negative
Reversibility	Yes	Yes
Irreplaceable loss of resources?	No	No
Can impact be enhanced?	Yes	
Enhancement: See below		
Residual impacts: Linked to visual impact on sense of place.		

Assessment of No-Go option

There is no impact as it maintains the current status quo.

Recommended mitigation measures

- The recommendations contained in the VIA should be implemented.

4.5 CUMULATIVE IMPACT ON SENSE OF PLACE

The potential cumulative impacts on the areas sense of place will be largely linked to potential visual impacts. In this regard the Scottish Natural Heritage (2005) describes a range of potential cumulative landscape impacts associated with wind farms on landscapes. These issues are also likely to be relevant to solar facilities and associated infrastructure, including the proposed SEF. The relevant issues identified by Scottish Natural Heritage study include:

- Combined visibility (whether two or more wind farms will be visible from one location).
- Sequential visibility (e.g., the effect of seeing two or more wind farms along a single journey, e.g., road or walking trail).
- The visual compatibility of different wind farms in the same vicinity.
- Perceived or actual change in land use across a character type or region.
- Loss of a characteristic element (e.g., viewing type or feature) across a character type caused by developments across that character type.

The guidelines also note that cumulative impacts need to be considered in relation to dynamic as well as static viewpoints. The experience of driving along a tourist road, for example, needs to be considered as a dynamic sequence of views and visual impacts, not just as the cumulative impact of several developments on one location. The viewer may only see one renewable energy facility and the associated infrastructure at a time, but if each successive stretch of the road is dominated by views of renewable energy facilities, then that can be argued to be a cumulative visual impact (National Wind Farm Development Guidelines, DRAFT - July 2010).

As indicated above, although no PV SEF facilities have been constructed in the Lichtenburg area to date, approximately 11 facilities are currently being investigated, proposed, or have been (partly) approved within a 10 km radius of Lichtenburg. All the relevant sites are located to the west and north of the town in relatively close proximity to the proposed PV SEF site. The potential for cumulative impacts on the areas sense of place is therefore high.

Table 4.15: Cumulative impacts on sense of place and the landscape

Nature: Visual impacts associated with the establishment of more than one REF and the potential impact on the area’s rural sense of place and character of the landscape.		
	Overall impact of the proposed project considered in isolation	Cumulative impact of the project and other projects in the area
Extent	Local (1)	Local and regional (2)
Duration	Long term (4)	Long term (4)
Magnitude	Low (4)	Moderate (6)
Probability	Probable (3)	Probable (3)
Significance	Low (27)	Medium (36)
Status (positive/negative)	Negative	Negative
Reversibility	Yes. REF components and other infrastructure can be removed.	
Loss of resources?	No	No
Can impacts be mitigated?	Yes	
Confidence in findings: High.		
Mitigation: See below		

Assessment of No-Go option

There is no impact as it maintains the current status quo.

Recommended mitigation measures

The recommendations of the VIA should be implemented.

4.6 CUMULATIVE IMPACT ON LOCAL SERVICES AND ACCOMMODATION

The objective will be to source as many low and semi-skilled workers for the construction phase from the DLM. This will reduce the pressure on local services and accommodation in Lichtenburg. This will assist to reduce the potential impact on local services and accommodation.

The potential impact should also be viewed within the context of the potential positive cumulative impacts for the local economy associated with the establishment of the proposed facility and associated renewable energy projects in the DLM. These benefits will create

opportunities for investment in the DLM, including the opportunity to up-grade and expand existing services and the construction of new houses. Socio-economic development (SED) contributions also represent an important focus of the REIPPPP and is aimed at ensuring that the build programme secures sustainable value for the country and enables local communities to benefit directly from the investments attracted into the area. The proposed SEF is also required to contribute a percentage of projected revenues accrued over the 20-year period to SED. This will provide revenue that can be used by the DLM to invest in up-grading local services where required. It should also be noted that it is the function of national, provincial, and local government to address the needs created by development and provide the required services. The additional demand for services and accommodation created by the establishment of development renewable energy projects should therefore be addressed in the Integrated Development Planning process undertaken by the DLM.

Table 4.16: Cumulative impacts on local services

Nature: The establishment of a number of renewable energy facilities and associated projects, such as the proposed SEF, in the DLM has the potential to place pressure on local services, specifically medical, education and accommodation.		
	Overall impact of the proposed project considered in isolation	Cumulative impact of the project and other projects in the area
Extent	Local (1)	Local and regional (2)
Duration	Long term (4)	Long term (4)
Magnitude	Low (4)	Low (4)
Probability	Probable (3)	Probable (3)
Significance	Low (27)	Medium (30) ¹⁷
Status (positive/negative)	Negative	Negative
Reversibility	Yes. REF components and other infrastructure can be removed.	
Loss of resources?	No	No
Can impacts be mitigated?	Yes	
Confidence in findings: High.		
Mitigation: See below		

Assessment on No-Go option

There is no impact as it maintains the current status quo.

Recommended mitigation measures

The proponent should liaise with the DLM to address potential impacts on local services.

4.7 CUMULATIVE IMPACT ON LOCAL ECONOMY

In addition to the potential negative impacts, the establishment of renewable energy facilities and associated infrastructure, including the proposed SEF, will also create several socio-economic opportunities for the DLM. The positive cumulative opportunities include creation of employment, skills development and training opportunities, and downstream business opportunities.

¹⁷ With effective mitigation and planning the significance will be Low Negative.

The review of the REIPPPP (June 2020) indicates that the SED contributions associated with 68 operational projects has amounted to R 1.2 billion to date. In terms of Enterprise Development (ED), R 7.2 billion has been committed for BW1 to BW4, 1S2 and 2S2. Assuming an equal distribution of revenue over the 20-year project operational life, enterprise development contributions would be R360 million per annum. Of the total commitment, R5.6 billion is specifically committed directly within the local communities where the IPPs operate, contributing significantly to local enterprise development. Up until the end of June 2020 a total of R 384.2 million had already been made to the local communities located in the vicinity of the 68 operating IPPs. This represents 93% of the total R384.2 million enterprise development contributions made to date). The potential cumulative benefits for the local and regional economy are therefore associated with both the construction and operational phase of renewable energy projects and associated infrastructure and extend over a period of 20-25 years. However, steps must be taken to maximise employment opportunities for members from the local communities in the area and support skills development and training programmes.

Table 4.17: Cumulative impacts on local economy

Nature: The establishment of renewable energy facilities and associated projects, such as the SEF, in the DLM will create employment, skills development and training opportunities, creation of downstream business opportunities.		
	Overall impact of the proposed project considered in isolation	Cumulative impact of the project and other projects in the area
Extent	Local (1)	Local and regional (3)
Duration	Long term (4)	Long term (4)
Magnitude	Low (4)	Moderate (6)
Probability	Probable (3)	Highly Probable (4)
Significance	Low (27)	Medium (52) ¹⁸
Status (positive/negative)	Positive	Positive
Reversibility	Yes. REF components and other infrastructure can be removed.	
Loss of resources?	No	No
Can impacts be mitigated?	Yes	
Confidence in findings: High.		
Mitigation: See below		

Assessment of No-Go option

There is no impact as it maintains the current status quo. This would represent a lost socio-economic opportunity for the DLM.

Recommended mitigation measures

The proposed establishment of suitably sited renewable energy facilities and associated projects, such as the proposed SEF, within the DLM and NCP should be supported.

¹⁸ With effective mitigation and planning the significance will be Medium Positive.

4.8 ASSESSMENT OF NO-DEVELOPMENT OPTION

The primary goal of the project is to assist in providing additional capacity to Eskom to assist in addressing the current energy supply constraints. The project also aims to reduce the carbon footprint associated with energy generation. As indicated above, energy supply constraints and the associated load shedding have had a significant impact on the economic development of the South African economy. South Africa also relies on coal-powered energy to meet more than 90% of its energy needs. South Africa is therefore one of the highest per capita producers of carbon emissions in the world and Eskom, as an energy utility, has been identified as the world's second largest producer carbon emissions.

The No-Development option would represent a lost opportunity for South Africa to improve energy security and supplement its current energy needs with clean, renewable energy. Given South Africa's current energy security challenges and its position as one of the highest per capita producers of carbon emissions in the world, this would represent a significant negative social cost.

Table 4.18: Assessment of no-development option

Nature: The no-development option would result in the lost opportunity for South Africa to improve energy security and assist to support with the development of clean, renewable energy		
	Without Mitigation¹⁹	With Mitigation²⁰
Extent	Local-International (4)	Local-International (4)
Duration	Long term (4)	Long term (4)
Magnitude	Moderate (6)	Moderate (6)
Probability	Highly Probable (4)	Highly Probable (4)
Significance	Moderate (56)	Moderate (56)
Status	Negative	Positive
Reversibility	Yes	
Irreplaceable loss of resources?	Yes, impact of climate change on ecosystems	
Can impact be mitigated?	Yes	
Enhancement: See below		
Residual impacts: Reduce carbon emissions via the use of renewable energy and associated benefits in terms of global warming and climate change.		

Recommended enhancement measures

The proposed SEF should be developed, and the mitigation and enhancement measures identified in the SIA and other specialist studies should be implemented.

¹⁹ Assumes project is not developed

²⁰ Assumes project is developed

SECTION 5: KEY FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 INTRODUCTION

Section 5 lists the key findings of the study and recommendations. These findings are based on:

- A review of key planning and policy documents pertaining to the area.
- A review of social and economic issues associated with similar developments.
- Site visit and interviews with key stakeholders.
- A review of relevant literature on social and economic impacts.
- The experience of the authors with SIAs for renewable energy projects

5.2 SUMMARY OF KEY FINDINGS

The key findings of the study are summarised under the following sections:

- Fit with policy and planning.
- Construction phase impacts.
- Operational phase impacts.
- Cumulative impacts.
- Decommissioning phase impacts.
- No-development option.

5.2.1 Policy and planning issues

The development of renewable energy is strongly supported at a national, provincial, and local level. The development of and investment in renewable energy is supported by the National Development Plan (NDP), New Growth Path Framework and National Infrastructure Plan, which all refer to and support renewable energy. The North West Province Renewable Energy Strategy also supports the development of renewable energy. The development of the proposed PV SEF is therefore supported by key policy and planning documents.

5.2.2 Construction phase impacts

The key social issues associated with the construction phase include:

Potential positive impacts

- Creation of employment and business opportunities, and the opportunity for skills development and on-site training.

The construction phase will extend over a period of approximately 18 months and create in the region of 350 employment opportunities. Based on information provided by the proponent, approximately 75% of the jobs will benefit low-skilled workers, 25% semi-skilled and 5% high skilled. Members from the local communities in Lichtenburg may potentially qualify for low skilled and semi-skilled employment opportunities. Most of these employment opportunities will accrue to Historically Disadvantaged (HD) members of the community. Given relatively high local unemployment levels and limited job opportunities in the area, this will represent a significant, if localised, social benefit. The total wage bill will

be in the region of R 31 million (2021 Rand values). A percentage of the wage bill will be spent in the local economy which will also create opportunities for local businesses in the local towns in the area and the DLM.

The capital expenditure associated with the construction phase will be approximately R 1.5 billion (2022 Rand value). This will create opportunities for local companies and the regional and local economy. Due the lack of diversification in the local economy the potential for local companies is likely to be limited. The majority of benefits are therefore likely to accrue to contractors and engineering companies based outside the DLM. The local service sector will also benefit from the construction phase. The potential opportunities would be linked to accommodation, catering, cleaning, transport, and security, etc. associated with the construction workers on the site.

Potential negative impacts

- Impacts associated with the presence of construction workers on local communities.
- Impacts related to the potential influx of jobseekers.
- Increased risks to livestock and farming infrastructure associated with the construction related activities and presence of construction workers on the site.
- Increased risk of grass fires associated with construction related activities.
- Nuisance impacts, such as noise, dust, and safety, associated with construction related activities and vehicles.
- Impact on productive farmland.

The findings of the SIA indicate that the significance of all the potential negative impacts with mitigation are likely to be **Low Negative**. The potential negative impacts can therefore be effectively mitigated if the recommended mitigation measures are implemented. Table 5.1 summarises the significance of the impacts associated with the construction phase.

Table 5.1: Summary of social impacts during construction phase

Impact	Significance No Mitigation/Enhancement	Significance With Mitigation/Enhancement
Creation of employment and business opportunities	Medium (Positive)	Medium (Positive)
Presence of construction workers and potential impacts on family structures and social networks	Medium (Negative)	Low (Negative)
Influx of job seekers	Low (Negative)	Low (Negative)
Safety risk, stock theft and damage to farm infrastructure associated with presence of construction workers	Medium (Negative)	Low (Negative)
Increased risk of grass fires	Medium (Negative)	Low (Negative)
Impact of heavy vehicles and construction activities	Medium (Negative)	Low (Negative)
Loss of farmland	Medium (Negative)	Low (Negative)

5.2.3 Operational phase impacts

The following key social issues are of relevance to the operational phase:

Potential positive impacts

- The establishment of infrastructure to improve energy security and support renewable sector.
- Creation of employment opportunities.
- Benefits for local landowners.
- Benefits associated with socio-economic contributions to community development.

The proposed project will supplement South Africa's energy and assist to improve energy security. In addition, it will also reduce the country's reliance on coal as an energy source. This represents a positive social benefit.

Potential negative impacts

- Noise impacts associated with the operation of the plant.
- Visual impacts and associated impacts on sense of place.
- Potential impact on property values.
- Potential impact on tourism.

The findings of the SIA indicate that the significance of all the potential negative impacts with mitigation are likely to be **Low Negative**. The potential negative impacts can therefore be effectively mitigated. The significance of the impacts associated with the operational phase are summarised in Table 5.2.

Table 5.2: Summary of social impacts during operational phase

Impact	Significance No Mitigation/Enhancement	Significance With Mitigation/Enhancement
Establishment of infrastructure to improve energy security and support renewable sector	High (Positive)	High (Positive)
Creation of employment and business opportunities during maintenance	Low (Positive)	Medium (Positive)
Benefits associated with socio-economic contributions to community development	Medium (Positive)	High (Positive)
Benefits for landowners	Low (Positive)	Medium (Positive)
Visual impact and impact on sense of place	Low (Negative)	Low (Negative)
Impact on property values	Low (Negative)	Low (Negative)
Impact on tourism	Low (Negative)	Low (Negative)

5.2.4 Assessment of cumulative impacts

Cumulative impact on sense of place

Although no PV SEF facilities have been constructed in the Lichtenburg area to date, approximately 11 facilities are currently being investigated, proposed, or have been (partly) approved within a 10 km radius of Lichtenburg. The potential for cumulative impacts on the areas sense of place is therefore high. The significance is rated at **Medium Negative**.

Cumulative impact on local services and accommodation

The significance of this impact with mitigation was rated as **Low Negative**.

Cumulative impact on local economy

The significance of this impact with enhancement was rated as **Medium Positive**.

5.2.5 Assessment of no-development option

The No-Development option would represent a lost opportunity for South Africa to improve energy security and supplement its current energy needs with clean, renewable energy. Given South Africa's current energy security challenges and its position as one of the highest per capita producers of carbon emissions in the world, this would represent a significant negative social cost. The No-Development option is not supported by the findings of the SIA.

5.3 CONCLUSIONS

The findings of the SIA indicate that the proposed 120 MW Aristida PV SEF will result in several social and socio-economic benefits, including creation of employment and business opportunities during both the construction and operational phase. The project will also contribute to local economic development through socio-economic development (SED) contributions. In addition, the development will improve energy security and reduce the carbon footprint associated with energy generation. The findings of the SIA also indicate that the potential negative impacts associated with both the construction and operational phase are likely to be **Low Negative** with mitigation. The potential negative impacts can therefore be effectively mitigated if the recommended mitigation measures are implemented. The establishment of the proposed 120 MW Aristida PV SEF is therefore supported by the findings of the SIA.

ANNEXURE A

INTERVIEWS

- Cairncross, Ms Elize (2022-03-21). Elandsfontein 34/93.
- Cairncross, Mr Thys (2022-03-21). Elandsfontein 34/93.
- Coetzee, Mr Org (2022-03-21). Greeflaagte farm.
- De Visser, Mr Lardus (2022-03-21). Elandsfontein 34/39.
- Grobbelaar, Mr Gert (telephonic 2022-04-05). Houthaalboomen 31/25, Woema Crushers.
- Kraft, Mr Boeta (2022-03-21). Elandsfontein 34/19, 34/20, 34/21.
- Kruger, Mr Heinrich (2022-03-22). Houthaalboomen 31/1, 31/9, 31/10, 31/18.
- Steinman, Mr Jan (2022-03-21). Hendriksrust 480/1; leases Lichtenburg Game Breeding Centre from Ditsobotla Municipality.
- Pretorius, Mr Louis (2022-03-21). Elandsfontein 34/7.
- Viljoen, Mr Darius (2022-03-21). Elandsfontein 34/41.
- Wessels, Mr Wessie (2022-03-21). Houthaalboomen 31 portions 2-8, Scherppunt 32.

REFERENCES

- National Energy Act (2008).
- White Paper on the Energy Policy of the Republic of South Africa (December 1998).
- White Paper on Renewable Energy (November 2003).
- Integrated Energy Plan (2016).
- Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) for South Africa (2010-2030).
- National Development Plan (2011).
- New Growth Path Framework.
- National Infrastructure Plan.
- North West Provincial Growth and Development Strategy (2004-2014)
- North West Provincial Renewable Energy Strategy (2012).
- Ditsobotla Municipality (DM) Integrated Development Plan (2021/22).

INTERNET

- https://egis.environment.gov.za/renewable_energy
- <https://savannahsa.com/public-documents/energy-generation/houthaalbomen-pv-cluster/>
- <https://savannahsa.com/public-documents/energy-generation/lichtenburg-1-2-and-3-pv-facilities/>

ANNEXURE B

METHODOLOGY FOR THE ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS

Direct, indirect and cumulative impacts of the above issues, as well as all other issues identified will be assessed in terms of the following criteria:

- The **nature**, which shall include a description of what causes the effect, what will be affected and how it will be affected.
- The **extent**, where it will be indicated whether the impact will be local (limited to the immediate area or site of development), regional, national or international. A score between 1 and 5 will be assigned as appropriate (with a score of 1 being low and a score of 5 being high).
- The **duration**, where it will be indicated whether:
 - * the lifetime of the impact will be of a very short duration (0–1 years) – assigned a score of 1;
 - * the lifetime of the impact will be of a short duration (2-5 years) - assigned a score of 2;
 - * medium-term (5–15 years) – assigned a score of 3;
 - * long term (> 15 years) - assigned a score of 4; or
 - * permanent - assigned a score of 5.
- The **magnitude**, quantified on a scale from 0-10, where a score is assigned:
 - * 0 is small and will have no effect on the environment;
 - * 2 is minor and will not result in an impact on processes;
 - * 4 is low and will cause a slight impact on processes;
 - * 6 is moderate and will result in processes continuing but in a modified way;
 - * 8 is high (processes are altered to the extent that they temporarily cease); and
 - * 10 is very high and results in complete destruction of patterns and permanent cessation of processes.
- The **probability of occurrence**, which shall describe the likelihood of the impact actually occurring. Probability will be estimated on a scale, and a score assigned:
 - * Assigned a score of 1–5, where 1 is very improbable (probably will not happen);
 - * Assigned a score of 2 is improbable (some possibility, but low likelihood);
 - * Assigned a score of 3 is probable (distinct possibility);
 - * Assigned a score of 4 is highly probable (most likely); and
 - * Assigned a score of 5 is definite (impact will occur regardless of any prevention measures).
- The **significance**, which shall be determined through a synthesis of the characteristics described above (refer formula below) and can be assessed as low, medium or high.
- The **status**, which will be described as either positive, negative or neutral.
- The *degree* to which the impact can be *reversed*.
- The *degree* to which the impact may cause *irreplaceable loss of resources*.
- The *degree* to which the impact can be *mitigated*.

The **significance** is determined by combining the criteria in the following formula:

$S=(E+D+M)P$; where

S = Significance weighting

E = Extent

D = Duration

M = Magnitude
P = Probability

The **significance weightings** for each potential impact are as follows:

- < 30 points: Low (i.e. where this impact would not have a direct influence on the decision to develop in the area),
- 30-60 points: Medium (i.e. where the impact could influence the decision to develop in the area unless it is effectively mitigated),
- > 60 points: High (i.e. where the impact must have an influence on the decision process to develop in the area).

ANNEXURE C

Tony Barbour

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTING AND RESEARCH

10 Firs Avenue, Claremont, 7708, South Africa
(Tel) 27-21-761 2355 - (Fax) 27-21-761 2355 - (Cell) 082 600 8266
(E-Mail) tbarbour@telkomsa.net

Tony Barbour's has 26 years' experience in the field of environmental consulting and management. His experience includes working for ten years as a consultant in the private sector followed by four years at the University of Cape Town's Environmental Evaluation Unit. He has worked as an independent consultant since 2004, with a key focus on Social Impact Assessment. His other areas of interest include Strategic Environmental Assessment and review work.

EDUCATION

- BSc (Geology and Economics) Rhodes (1984);
- B Economics (Honours) Rhodes (1985);
- MSc (Environmental Science), University of Cape Town (1992)

EMPLOYMENT RECORD

- Independent Consultant: November 2004 – current;
- University of Cape Town: August 1996-October 2004: Environmental Evaluation Unit (EEU), University of Cape Town. Senior Environmental Consultant and Researcher;
- Private sector: 1991-August 2000: 1991-1996: Ninham Shand Consulting (Now Aurecon, Cape Town). Senior Environmental Scientist; 1996-August 2000: Steffen, Robertson and Kirsten (SRK Consulting) – Associate Director, Manager Environmental Section, SRK Cape Town.

LECTURING

- University of Cape Town: Resource Economics; SEA and EIA (1991-2004);
- University of Cape Town: Social Impact Assessment (2004-current);
- Cape Technikon: Resource Economics and Waste Management (1994-1998);
- Peninsula Technikon: Resource Economics and Waste Management (1996-1998).

RELEVANT EXPERIENCE AND EXPERTISE

Tony Barbour has undertaken in the region of 260 SIA's, including SIA's for infrastructure projects, dams, pipelines, and roads. All of the SIAs include interacting with and liaising with affected communities. In addition, he is the author of the Guidelines for undertaking SIA's as part of the EIA process commissioned by the Western Cape Provincial Environmental Authorities in 2007. These guidelines have been used throughout South Africa.

Tony was also the project manager for a study commissioned in 2005 by the then South African Department of Water Affairs and Forestry for the development of a Social Assessment and Development Framework. The aim of the framework was to enable the Department of Water Affairs and Forestry to identify, assess and manage social impacts associated with large infrastructure projects, such as dams. The study also included the development of guidelines for Social Impact Assessment, Conflict Management, Relocation and Resettlement and Monitoring and Evaluation.

Countries with work experience include South Africa, Namibia, Angola, Botswana, Zambia, Lesotho, Swaziland, Ghana, Senegal, Nigeria, Mozambique, Mauritius, Kenya, Ethiopia, Oman, South Sudan, Sudan and Armenia.

ANNEXURE D

The specialist declaration of independence in terms of the Regulations_

I, Tony Barbour _____, declare that -- General

declaration:

I act as the independent specialist in this application;

I will perform the work relating to the application in an objective manner, even if this results in views and findings that are not favourable to the applicant;

I declare that there are no circumstances that may compromise my objectivity in performing such work;

I have expertise in conducting the specialist report relevant to this application, including knowledge of the Act, Regulations and any guidelines that have relevance to the proposed activity;


I will comply with the Act, Regulations and all other applicable legislation;

I have no, and will not engage in, conflicting interests in the undertaking of the activity;

I undertake to disclose to the applicant and the competent authority all material information in my possession that reasonably has or may have the potential of influencing - any decision to be taken with respect to the application by the competent authority; and - the objectivity of any report, plan or document to be prepared by myself for submission to the competent authority;

all the particulars furnished by me in this form are true and correct; and

I realise that a false declaration is an offence in terms of regulation 48 and is punishable in terms of section 24F of the Act.



Signature of the specialist:

Tony Barbour Environmental Consulting and Research

Name of company (if applicable):

6 April 2022

Date: