

REPORT ON SUBSOIL INVESTIGATION AT SITE OF PROPOSED ARCH ROCK RESORT REDEVELOPMENT, KEURBOOMSTRAND

November 2021

K&T PROJECT REFERENCE: 16580GG

KANTEY & TEMPLER (PTY) LTD, REG NO. 1966/009839/07 3rd Floor BDO House, 119 Hertzog Boulevard, Cape Town, 8001, P O Box 3132, Cape Town, 8000 Tel: +27 21 405 9600 www.kanteys.co.za

REPORT ON SUBSOIL INVESTIGATION AT SITE OF PROPOSED ARCH ROCK RESORT REDEVELOPMENT, KEURBOOMSTRAND TABLE OF CONTENTS

ITE	Μ	PAGE
1.	т	ERMS OF REFERENCE1
2.	11	NFORMATION RECEIVED1
3.	S	COPE OF INVESTIGATION2
3	5.1	Field Investigation
3	5.2	Laboratory Investigation3
4.	A	Analysis of Results4
4	.1	Site Geology and Soils Profile4
4	.2	Site Geohydrology5
4	.3	Excavation Conditions6
4	.4	Founding Conditions6
4	.5	Subgrade Conditions for Roads10
5.	С	ONCLUSIONS
6.	G	GENERAL

Appendix A – Borehole Logs

Appendix B – Trial Hole Dat	ta
-----------------------------	----

- Appendix C DCP Tests results
- Appendix D SLOPE STABILITY ANALYSIS

REPORT ON SUBSOIL INVESTIGATION AT SITE OF PROPOSED ARCH ROCK RESORT REDEVELOPMENT, KEURBOOMSTRAND

1. TERMS OF REFERENCE

In terms of written instructions, Kantey & Templer was requested by Mr Ryno Odendaal of De Villiers & Hulme Consulting Engineers to investigate and report on subsoil conditions at the sites identified for the construction of new structures within the existing Arch Rock Resort, Keurboomstrand.

In particular, Kantey & Templer was required to investigate and advise on the following specific aspects:

- Site Geology
- Site Geohydrology
- Excavation Conditions
- Foundation Conditions
- Materials Utilisation Potential
- Subgrade Conditions
- Slope stability along sea facing end of the site.

2. INFORMATION RECEIVED

The following information was available at the time of our investigation:

- 1:250 000 Department of Mines geological map number 3322 for Oudtshoorn (which includes the geology of the Keurboomstrand area).
- Site locality diagrams indicating the layout of the existing roads and extent of the proposed development. Contours and site levels form part of these diagrams.
- Google Earth Imagery of the site.

It was stated that for the resort redevelopment, the existing cottages will be removed and replaced with single storey masonry structures seated conventionally on spread footing foundations. The layout and location of the new buildings will approximate that of the existing structures but with the orientation and size of most of the units altered.

3. SCOPE OF INVESTIGATION

3.1 Field Investigation

As the resort redevelopment also allows for the construction of new buildings along the edge of the dune embankment facing the sea, the geotechnical investigation was aimed at assessment not only of the soils profile at shallow depth within the greater resort area but also of the stability characteristics of the deeper seated soils likely to be encountered at depth along the sea facing embankment. The investigations accordingly comprised the following:

- Rotary drilling of four (4) small diameter exploratory boreholes taken to depths of up to 10,0m below existing ground level. The boreholes were drilled during November 2021. Each hole was drilled employing standard washboring and rotary drilling techniques for the extraction of N sized core.
- In situ penetration (SPT) testing at regular intervals in the boreholes. The SPT tests undertaken in South Africa are performed in accordance with B.S. 1377: Part 9: 1990, "Determination of Penetration Resistance Using a Split Barrel Sampler (SPT)".
- Recovery of disturbed and undisturbed soil samples during the drilling and penetration testing. These were carefully examined during logging.
- Installation of piezometers in the boreholes for monitoring of the groundwater table.
- Excavation by pick-and-shovel of seven ±1,5m deep trial holes excavated at the positions identified for DPSH probing, initially to check for buried services and subsequently for logging of the subsoil profile.

- Dynamic Probe Super Heavy (DPSH) tests at 7 preselected positions for assessment of the in-situ consistency of the subsoils. In this test a 50mm diameter (600) disposable cone is fitted to the bottom of a steel rod and driven into the ground by means of a 63,5kg trip hammer dropped through 762mm. The number of blows required to drive the cone through each successive 300mm of penetration is recorded, this providing an empirical indication of in-situ consistency which closely matches the results obtained from SPT testing in boreholes.
- Assessment of subsoil conditions by detailed inspection of the materials exposed in the trial holes, examination of the drill core extracted and assessment of the DPSH and SPT results.
- The subsoil profiles and drill core were recorded using standard visual and tactile procedures employing the recommended methods set out in the Guideline for Soil and Rock Logging in SA (2002) and Jennings et al (1973).

The approximate locations of the boreholes, trial holes and DPSH tests are given on Diagram No16580GG-01 whilst the detailed borehole logs, trial hole profiles and DPSH results are attached as follows:

- Appendix A Borehole Logs
- Appendix B Trial Hole Profiles
- Appendix C DPSH Results

3.2 Laboratory Investigation

Disturbed soil samples were taken from two specific soil horizons in the trial holes for laboratory testing and further geotechnical analysis aimed at determining the shear strength parameters and soil constants.

The following laboratory tests were undertaken (all in accordance with the South African Standard, Technical Methods for Highways (TMH), British Standards (BS) and/or other recognised International Standards).

• Soil Specimen Preparation, according to: TMH1:1986 and B.S. 1377: Part 1:1990

- Particle Size Distribution, according to: TMH1:1986 Test Method A1 and A6
- Atterberg Limits, according to: TMH1:1986 Test Method A2 to A4 and B.S. 1377: Part 2:1990
- Direct Shear Tests (Consolidated Drained) according to: ASTM D 3080

The laboratory results are not available yet and will be submitted as an addendum to this report upon receipt.

4. ANALYSIS OF RESULTS

4.1 Site Geology and Soils Profile

Based on published geological data and previous geotechnical investigations undertaken in Keurboomstrand, Arch Rock is known to be situated in terrain composed of and underlain by sedimentary strata (largely fractured, medium weathered, medium hard to hard rock quarzitic sandstone and shales) of the Table Mountain Group, Cape Supergroup. These are mantled by 6 to 8m of naturally transported aeolian (windblown) and colluvial sands.

The sandstone and shales form outcrop at beach level to the south of the resort with the transported sands underlying the entire development area to depths of 6 to 8m. The sand generally classifies of fine to locally medium grained. The sand is clean, cohesionless and free of significant quantities of silt and clay. Within the upper 1,0-1,5m of the sandy transported profile however, two significant features were identified which would impact on the design of the building foundations, these being:

the organic rich nature of the uppermost 0,6m to 0,8m of the subsoil profile. This
material presents as dark brown to black, silty sand tending to silt/sand which
contains a high percentage of organic matter, decomposed roots and roots. This
material was deposited over many years with in-situ decomposition resulting in a
profile of highly compressible material.

 the presence within the sandy soils down to ± 1,5 to 2,0m of either sparse, hard rock quartzitic sandstone gravel, cobbles and boulder fragments or a welldeveloped tightly packed ±200m thick layer of angular quartzitic sandstone cobbles and boulders which resemble slab-like concretions of hard rock silcrete. This material could not be penetrated by pick and shovel over the area of several of the trial holes.

In terms of in-situ consistency, the subsoil profile is in general:

- considered to be of unacceptably low strength within the organic layer of 'topsoil',
- considered to be of perfectly acceptable medium dense and competent nature at depths of from 0,5-1,2m below the existing ground level.

4.2 Site Geohydrology

Groundwater was encountered at the following depths:

POSITION	DEPTH OF GROUNDWATER
BH1	4,5m below existing ground level
BH2	4,7m below existing ground level
BH3	4,7m below existing ground level
BH4	2,6m below existing ground level

The groundwater represents a <u>seasonally fluctuating</u> water table which is perched on the bedrock which underlies the site at depth. The current water levels represent conditions likely to be encountered during the dry summer months.

During the rainy season and periods of high rainfall, water levels <u>will</u> rise. Typical seasonal fluctuation of the order of 1,5 - 2,0m can be anticipated.

Given the above, groundwater seepages can be expected in deep services trenches or pits. The water can be expected to emanate from the sidewalls of excavations formed for below grade installation of sewers etc., where construction is programmed for the rainy season.

4.3 Excavation Conditions

In view of the largely 'non-cohesive' granular nature of the transported sands, excavation conditions are largely considered to be favourable. Machine excavation and trenching should therefore not pose any untoward problems with excavation conditions generally classifying as 'soft excavation' in terms of SANS 1200D.

The quartzitic sandstone within the upper sandy soils, in particular where developed into slab-like deposits of hard rock will however require the use of heavier machines for cost effective removal. These machines may need to be fitted with rock buckets or pneumatic hammers to facilitate loosening of the profile.

The shear strength of the sandy site soils is considered low and significant instability of steeply cut unsupported sidewalls of deep services trenches can be expected. Where cut to 45°, cuttings remote from existing services and roads should provide the necessary stability.

In contrast to the 'cohesionless' sands, the organic rich silty materials encountered in the upper 0,6-0,8m of the profile are significantly less susceptible to collapse and of sufficient strength to be cut almost vertically in foundation trenches.

4.4 Founding Conditions

Specific advice on founding conditions for individual structures will not be possible unless each is analysed taking founding levels, foundation type/dimensions, anticipated structural loading, pre-construction earthworks preparations <u>and</u> the subsoil profile into account.

However, based on our observations on site, the results of the geotechnical testing undertaken and the fact that the new buildings will be of single level construction and seated on conventional spread footings, conditions for the use of standard foundations founded at shallow depth *are generally considered to be favourable over the area of the buildings located well away from the dune scarp along the southern end of the site.* The underlying medium dense naturally transported sandy soils will readily provide stable support to spread footings which are dimensioned not to exceed a maximum permissible bearing pressure of 125kPa, *provided these are taken through the organic rich soils and seated at the following levels (or deeper.)*

LOCATION	FOUNDING LEVEL (M BELOW GROUND LEVEL)
Area of BH 1	Not Applicable
Area of BH 2	Not Applicable
Area of BH 3	0,80m
Area of BH 4	0,80m
Area of DPSH 1	1,00m
Area of DPSH 2	0,50m
Area of DPSH 3	0,70m
Area of DPSH 4	0,70m
Area of DPSH 5	1,20m
Area of DPSH 6	Not Applicable
Area of DPSH 6	Not Applicable

Insofar as founding of the units along the sea facing embankment is concerned, the boreholes and DPSH testing indicated that the subsoil conditions along that end of the site are poor. With SPT 'N' values as low as 3 to 5 recorded to depths of \pm 4,5m below ground level, the bearing capacity of the subsoils is considered at best, marginal.

Given the proximity of the embankment, these low strength soils are also considered to potentially be at risk of downslope yielding, in particular where subjected to the surcharge loading effected by the structures proposed for this end of the site. For this reason and in order to determine the need for the introduction of non-standard foundations for these buildings, we have undertaken a slope stability analysis to establish the risk of such instability along the embankment scarp area.

4.4.1 Analysis of Slope

Although we have not yet received the laboratory test results, examination of the soils exposed in the trial holes and extracted from the boreholes indicated that the in-situ shear strength of the colluvium would classify as 'low' to 'marginal'. In contrast, the bedrock which occurs at 6-8m depth is significantly more competent and of 'high' shear strength.

Using the *existing slope geometry* which is based on site levels extrapolated from existing survey data and the levels of the different soil horizons observed on site, a slope stability model was created for the analysis. Use was made of the computer programme SLIDE version 6.0 employing 2D Limit Equilibrium Slope Stability Analysis

developed by Rocscience. The non-circular slope analysis method of Spencer and Morgenstern-Price was selected throughout.

We have assigned estimated strength parameters to the sandy subsoils for our analysis. These were based on our observations on site, tests previously undertaken on similar soils and data derived from soil property tables as suggested by Jennings et al (1973).

The material properties used in the analysis were taken as:

Layer	Cohesion (c) kPa	Angle of Internal Friction Ø	Unit Weight (kN/m³)
Transported Sands	0	28	18.0
Bedrock	500	28	22,0

The analysis indicated that where the buildings are seated conventionally on spread footing foundations constructed at shallow depths, the risk of movement (downslope yielding) of the foundations would be unacceptably high with the Factor of Safety (F.O.S) against slope failure outside acceptable limits, in particular where the subsoils are of high moisture content due to leaking services, high rainfall etc.

A graphical presentation of the slope analysed is given in Appendix D.

4.4.2 Founding of Buildings along Potentially Unstable Embankment

It is interesting to note that the buildings existing along the embankment at present reflect cracking and separation of the sea facing patio areas which are consistent with downslope yielding of the subsoils.

In order to ensure that the new buildings are not at risk of similar yielding or adverse foundation movement, the footings would best be seated at depths where highcapacity soils which are not at risk of semi-circular downslope yielding occur.

Given the non-cohesive nature of the sandy soils however, deep excavation which would allow for the construction of spread footings in stable material is not considered a viable option *with piles offering the most appropriate solution*. While a wide range of pile types are on offer form local contractors, the following site conditions/constraints will influence the selection of the most appropriate pile type.

- Site access restrictions and positioning of the piles.
- Proximity of existing structures and sensitivity to vibration.
- The presence of a boulder gravel layer (s) at shallow depth.
- The relatively small number of piles required.

Given the above and having regard for the pile types on offer, it is recommended that the foundation loads be taken onto/into competent sandy soils of bedrock at depth by way of either Self Drill Nails (piles) or Percussion (Rota) piles.

Pil	e Diameter: 100 – 200mm	Typical Working Load: 225 – 700kN				
Ac	lvantages	Disa	advantages			
0	Micropiles can be installed in limited access and headroom conditions. Micropiles can be installed with minimum disturbance to adjacent structures with the appropriate installation methodology. Micropiles can be installed through	0 - 1 1 0	The relatively high cost of micropiles will preclude their use in normal access and soil conditions where conventional pile methodologies are more economical. Careful assessment of groundwater conditions is essential to ensure			
0	existing foundations and are ideally suited to underpinning and as load enhancement of existing foundations. Due to its high capacity steel reinforcing elements, the self drill piles have high uplift load capacity and can effectively be used for tension structures.	0 0 	corrosive durability of the main load- bearing steel reinforcing elements. Micropiles are slender members and buckling effects need to be carefully assessed. Limited horizontal load capacity			
0	Due to the wide range of installation methods available and the relative ease of penetrating boulders or hard rock formations, micropiles can be economically installed in difficult ground conditions.					

Percussion Pile (Rotapile)

Pil	e Diameter: 255 – 610mm	Ту	pical Working Load: 300 – 1500kN
Aa	lvantages	Dis	sadvantages
0	A good range of pile diameters are	0	The pile is relatively expensive
	available for moderately applied loads.		compared to a driven pile with equivalent
0	The ability to penetrate hard rock and		load capacity.
	boulder horizons.	0	The introduction of large quantities of air
0	Rock-sockets can be formed relatively		by the DTH system into voided/sensitive
	easily and economically.		subsoil conditions can cause problems
0	Casing installation associated with the		with surrounding structures.
	DTH drilling technique provides high	0	Grout must be used on smaller
	levels of pile shaft integrity.		diameters.
0	Noise levels are limited to the noise from	0	Casing will be required in the non-
	the equipment.		cohesive sandy soils.
0	There is limited vibration associated with	0	Noise and dust pollution generated
	the DTH operation.		during installation.
0	Depths of up to 30 metres are possible		
	depending on the diameter of the pile.		

In order establish the most cost effective piling solution for Arch Rock, you will be well advised to select the piling solution in consultation with your preferred Piling Contactor prior to final design and detailing of the ground beams and surface beds. The borehole logs and DPSH results should be made available to the Piling Contractor with their piling design undertaken accordingly.

4.5 Subgrade Conditions for Roads

While we have not been provided with details of any possible landscaping proposals and re-alignment of the roads in Arch Rock, the following may be of relevance in the assessment of road construction (re-construction) options:

- The near surface soils contain high percentages of organic matter throughout the complex.
- The near surface soils and by implication, the materials likely to be exposed in road box cuts, will be highly moisture sensitive and classify as a G9 material only. Exposure of these soils will be best undertaken in 'dry' weather conditions to prevent undue softening and deterioration.

• Pavement layerworks design should consider the in-situ soils no better than G9 material with base and/or subbase constructed accordingly.

5. CONCLUSIONS

- The Arch Rock subsoil profile comprises, for the most part, transported fine to medium grained windblown and colluvial sands, generally of medium dense consistency. The sand is underlain at 6-8m depth by bedrock presenting as medium hard to hard rock quartzitic sandstone and shale.
- The upper profile contains up to 800mm of organic rich compressible soils.
- Hard rock quartzitic sandstone boulders, cobbles and gravel occur within the sandy profile down to depths of about 2,0m.
- Founding conditions for the bulk of the site are such that spread footings dimensioned not to exceed a maximum permissible bearing pressure of 175 kPa may be used for the new structures. Founding is possible within the upper 1,0m of the subsoil profile.
- The buildings along the embankment facing the sea will, due to the presence of low strength soils and the risk of slope instability, have to be piled.
- Piling options should be finalised in consultation with a specialist geotechnical contractor and his design engineers.

6. GENERAL

The recommendations and discussions presented in this report are based on the subsurface conditions encountered during the site work at the time of investigation and on the results of the field and laboratory testing. There may be, however, conditions pertaining to the site which have not been taken into account due to the frequency of the boreholes and trial holes.

It is expected that once the new structures have been set out and bulk earthworks have commenced, further inspections of foundation excavations etc. will be undertaken with the assistance of the geotechnical engineers and/or tests be carried out for approval of founding/subgrade conditions throughout.

FOR KANTEY & TEMPLER



www.kanteys.co.za

Cape Town - Head Office: +27 21 405 9600 | **Johannesburg:** +27 11 501 4760 | **Durban:** +27 31 266 6535 **Pietermaritzburg:** +27 33 347 5453 | **East London:** +27 43 726 6443 **Port Elizabeth:** +27 41 373 0738 | **George:** +27 44 874 2177 | **Port Shepstone:** +27 39 315 1519









Appendix A

Borehole Logs

k	R.						DE VILLIERS & H KEURBOOMSTF		LOPMENT	HOLE No: BH1 Sheet 1 of 1																				
	EY & TEM									JOB NUMBER: 16580GG																				
	40 33 36 62 24 51 34		ΝΑ	SPT SPT SPT	9 20 22	2	Scale 1 1 1 1 1:50 1	0.00	medium dense orgar silt/sand locally; TRAN Very moist olive brow fine SAND; TRANSPO	dark brown to black loose to nic rich silty SAND tending to SPORTED. vn medium dense slightly silty RTED. to light grey medium dense fine																				
_	49 30	NA	NA	SPT	16	16																								
-	44			SPT	17	5	0 0	5.00	-	dense fine to medium grained																				
F	40			<u> </u>		-	0 0 0		SAND with isolated TRANSPORTED.	quartzitic sandstone gravel																				
F	29			SPT	22	6		6.00	Dark grey steeply dipping medium weathered t																					
ر م																										-				rd to very hard rock SHALE; ROUP, CAPE SUPER GROUP
-	75																				7									
						8	1	2)	Groundwater encounte	alled to 0.007.00m depth. ered at 4.50m depth. le recorded in hand dug trial hc																				
ILLING THOD	REC. CONTRAC	% CTOR : CHINE : ED BY :	FAIRBR LH250 NEVILLI		VALUE		INCLINATION : \ DIAM : DATE : DATE : 0			ELEVATION : X-COORD : N/A Y-COORD : N/A																				
	TYPE SE	ET BY :)~2.SET			DATE : 0	DATE : 05-11-2021 DATE : 01/12/2021 14:03 TEXT :tt\Boreholes\B165801.txt																						

k		Γ					DE VILLIERS & HULME KEURBOOMSTRAND DEVE	LOPMENT	HOLE No: BH2 Sheet 1 of 1																				
CONSU	TEY & TEN ULTING ENGI	NEERS							JOB NUMBER: 16580GG																				
	47							- - - -	Scale 0.00	•	ark brown to black loose to c rich silty SAND tending to PORTED.																		
-	56			SPT	13	- 1 - -		Very moist olive browr fine SAND; TRANSPOR	n medium dense slightly silty TED.																				
	20					2		sandstone BOULDERS	ey angular hard rock quartzitic and occasional gravel dense																				
	40			SPT	33	-	2.00	packed in a subordinat olive SAND; TRANSPO	matrix of dark brown and light RTED.																				
	30					- - - 3		Light yellowish olive to to medium grained SAN	light grey medium dense fine D; TRANSPORTED.																				
-	53			SPT	31	-																							
	30					- 4																							
	38	NA NA	ΝΔ	SPT	28	-																							
NWD4	30					5	5.00																						
& NBLC	49		. 1/3			11/4	I NA	INΑ	NА	NА	IN A	NA.	NA.	NA	11/1	NA.	ΝA		, w					SPT	20	-	0.0	-	ense fine to medium grained quartzitic sandstone gravel;
	39					_ _ _ 6	000	TRANSPORTED.																					
	0																			SPT	13	-	000						
	31																				- - - 7	0 0							
-	31			SPT	18	-	0 0																						
	25																		SPT H		- - - 8	0 0 8.05							
	100 85																						- - - -		slightly weathered hard	pping medium weathered to I to very hard rock SHALE; OUP, CAPE SUPER GROUP.			
														- - -															
	100					- - -	10.00	NOTES																					
						_ 10	1)	Temporary casing instal	led to 0.008.00m depth.																				
RILLING ETHOD		RQD %	FRACT. PER	TEST OR	VALUE			Goundwater encountere																					
_			m	SAMPLE]			e recorded in hand dug trial ho																				
		CHINE	: FAIRBR : LH250 : NEVILL				INCLINATION : VERTICAL DIAM : DATE :	Ε	ELEVATION : X-COORD : N/A Y-COORD : N/A																				
	PROFIL TYPE S	ED BY	: FDP				DATE : 05-11-2021 DATE : 01/12/2021 TEXT :tt\Boreholes		HOLE No: BH2 Reinstrumentation																				

k	R.						DE VILLIERS & HU KEURBOOMSTRA		LOPMENT	HOLE No: BH3 Sheet 1 of 1
CONSU	EY & TE	GINEERS								JOB NUMBER: 16580GG
	44	953				- - - - - -	Scale - 2 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	0.00	medium dense orgai	dark brown to black loose to nic rich silty SAND tending to ick tree roots; TRANSPORTED
	29			SPT	13	1 			fine SAND with isolate	wn medium dense slightly silty d angular sandstone gravel and
	21					 2		2.00	cobble sized fragment	s; TRANSPORTED.
-	56			SPT	40	-			Light yellowish olive to medium grained SA	to light grey medium dense fine ND; TRANSPORTED.
	25					- - - 3				
	53			SPT	41	-				
	34					4				
	38			SPT	21	-				
ND4 &	30	NA	NA			5				
BLC	0			SPT	7	-				
	28					- 6		6.00		
-	0			SPT	6	-	000		SAND with isolated	dense fine to medium grained quartzitic sandstone gravel
	30					- - - 7	0.0	7.00	TRANSPORTED.	
	0			SPT	R	-			slightly weathered ha	dipping medium weathered t rd to very hard rock SHALE ROUP, CAPE SUPER GROUF
	70 					- - - 8			TABLE MOUNTAIN G	NOUF, CAFE SUFER GROUP
						-				
	100					- - -				
						-		9.70	NOTES	
								1)		alled to 0.008.00m depth.
	CORE		FRACT.	TEST	VALUE			2)	Goundwater encounte	red at 4.70m depth.
HUD	REC.	%	PER m	OR SAMPLE					Upper +/- 1,5m of prof	ile recorded in hand dug trial ho
	MA	ACHINE	: FAIRBR : LH250 : NEVILL				INCLINATION : VE DIAM : DATE :	RTICAL		ELEVATION : X-COORD : N/A Y-COORD : N/A
		LED BY					DATE : 05- DATE : 01/		14:05	HOLE No: BH3 Reinstrumentation

k							DE VILLIERS & KEURBOOMSTR		LOPMENT	HOLE No: BH4 Sheet 1 of 1								
CONSU	EY & TEN	NEERS								JOB NUMBER: 16580GG								
	40	5				-	Scale 1	0.00		lark brown to black loose to c rich silty SAND tending to PORTED.								
-	58	-		SPT	15	1 		— 0.80	Very moist olive brow fine SAND; TRANSPOF	n medium dense slightly silty RTED.								
-	10							1.20		ey angular hard rock quartzition and occasional gravel densel								
-	69			SPT	37	2		4.50		e matrix of dark brown and ligh								
	27					3		1.50	Light yellowish olive to light grey medium dense fin to medium grained SAND; TRANSPORTED.									
-	62			SPT	35	-												
-	30					_ 4												
WD4 &	40	NA	NA	SPT	16	-												
BLC	30				_ 5													
-	36		SPT	21	-		SAND											
-	35				6			0	lense fine to medium grained quartzitic sandstone gravel									
-	35								SPT	16	-	000						
-	0			SPT	R 7		7.00											
	23													-			slightly weathered hard	pping medium weathered to d to very hard rock SHALE OUP, CAPE SUPER GROUP
-					- 8													
	100					- - - 9		9.00										
								1)	NOTES Temporary casing insta	lled to 0.007.00m depth.								
								2)	Goundwater encountere	ed at 2.60m depth.								
	00055	005		TEAT				3)	Upper +/- 1,5m of profile	e recorded in hand dug trial ho								
	CORE REC.	RQD %	FRACT. PER	TEST OR SAMPLE	VALUE													
		CHINE		OTHER		<u> </u>	INCLINATION : \ DIAM : DATE :	/ERTICAL	l	ELEVATION : X-COORD : N/A Y-COORD : N/A								
	PROFILI TYPE SI	ED BY	: FDP	L			DATE : (05-11-2021 0 <i>1/12/2021 1</i>	14:05	HOLE No: BH4 Reinstrumentation								

Appendix B

Trial Hole Profiles



K ∉ T		JRBOOMSTRAND DEVELOPMENT VILLIERS & HULME		HOLE No: DPSH 2 / TH2 Sheet 1 of 1
KANTEY & TEMPLER CONSULTING ENGINEERS ESTABLISHED 1953				JOB NUMBER: 16580GG
Scale 1:10	^{0.00} Mc tre	ist dark brown medium dens e roots; TRANSPORTED (org	e silty SAND with ganic rich 'topsoil	n dense concentrations of
		DTES		
		al hole terminated at 0.5m c e roots.	depth due to the p	presence of abundant large
CONTRACTOR : MACHINE : DRILLED BY :		INCLINATION : Vertical DIAM : DATE : 05-11-202	1	ELEVATION : X-COORD : Y-COORD :
PROFILED BY : FDT TYPE SET BY : LL		DATE : 24-11-202 DATE : 29/11/2021_1	1 1:31	HOLE No: DPSH 2 / TH2
SETUP FILE : K&T-TR~1.SE		TEXT :t\TrialHoles\	G165802.txt	datPLOT 7000 DPnL/67

K &T		IRBOOMSTRAND DEVELOPMENT VILLIERS & HULME	HOLE No: DPSH 3 / TH3 Sheet 1 of 1
KANTEY & TEMPLER CONSULTING ENGINEERS ESTABLISHED 1953			JOB NUMBER: 16580GG
Scale 1:10 1:10 1:21	0.00	Very moist dark brown to black loose to medium SAND containing isolated angular cobbles and quartzitic sandstone with abundant tree roots; Th rich 'topsoil').	boulder fragments of
	0.70 0.90	Very moist greyish olive medium dense t TRANSPORTED.	o dense fine SAND;
	1)	Trial hole terminated at 0.9m depth.	
CONTRACTOR : MACHINE : DRILLED BY :		INCLINATION : Vertical DIAM : DATE : 05-11-2021	ELEVATION : X-COORD : Y-COORD :
PROFILED BY : FDT TYPE SET BY : LL SETUP FILE : K&T-TR~1.SET		DATE : 24-11-2021 DATE : 01/12/2021 14:06 TEXT :t\TrialHoles\G165803.txt	HOLE No: DPSH 3 / TH3



K		RBOOMSTRAND DEVELOPMENT /ILLIERS & HULME	HOLE No: DPSH 5 / TH5 Sheet 1 of 1
KANTEY & TEMPLER CONSULTING ENGINEERS			JOB NUMBER: 16580GG
established 1953 Scale 1:10		Moist to very moist dark brown to black loose rich silty SAND tending to silt/sand with TRANSPORTED (organic rich 'topsoil').	
- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	0 0 0 0 0 0.50	Very moist olive brown medium dense s TRANSPORTED.	lightly silty fine SAND;
-	<u>0.90</u>	NOTES Hole excavated by hand.	
	2)	Trial hole terminated at 0.9m depth on sewer pi	pe.
CONTRACTOR : MACHINE :		INCLINATION : Vertical DIAM :	ELEVATION : X-COORD :
DRILLED BY : PROFILED BY :		DATE : 05-11-2021 DATE : 24-11-2021	Y-COORD : HOLE No: DPSH 5 / TH5
TYPE SET BY : SETUP FILE :	LL K&T-TR~1.SET	DATE : 29/11/2021 11:38 TEXT :t\TrialHoles\G165805.txt	



KANTEY & TEMPLER CONSULTING ENGINEERS		IRBOOMSTRAND DEVELOPMENT VILLIERS & HULME	HOLE No: DPSH 7/ TH7 Sheet 1 of 1 JOB NUMBER: 16580GG
ESTABLISHED 1953 Scale 1:10	0.00	Very moist brown loose to medium dense TRANSPORTED (TOPSOIL).	organic rich SAND;
	_ 0.30	Very moist black loose organic SAND; FILL.	
	_ 0.40	Moist light olive brown becoming light olive loo SAND; TRANSPORTED.	
	_ 1.40	Moist olive brown becoming light olive loose to TRANSPORTED.	medium dense SAND;
	_ 1.50	Light grey and dark grey angular hard rock quartzit and cobble sized boulder fragments densely pac olive sand; TRANSPORTED.	
	1)		m depth.
CONTRACTOR : MACHINE : DRILLED BY : PROFILED BY : FDT			LEVATION : X-COORD : Y-COORD :
TYPE SET BY : FDT SETUP FILE : K&T-TR~1.SET		DATE : 24-11-2021 DATE : 01/12/2021 14:08 TEXT :t\TrialHoles\G165807.txt	HOLE NO: DPSH 7/ TH7

Appendix C

DPSH Test Results



CLIENT: DE VIL	LLIERS & HULME	PROJECT:	Keurboom Strand, Plettenberg Bay		
FIELD TECHNICIAN	I: Neville (Fairbrother)	JOB No: DATE:	16580GG 11/11/2021		
DPSH DATA					
DPSH No: 1		REMARKS:	Test carried out from 1,2m below ground level		
		SPT "N" value			
0	10 20 30	40 50	0 60 70 80		
0.3					
0.6					
0.9					
1.2					
1.5					
1.8					
2.1					
2.4					
2.7					
3.3					
3.6					
3.9					
4.2					
4.5					
Ê ^{4.8}					
5 .1					
4.8 5.1 5.4 O					
5.7					
6					
6.3					
6.6					
7.2					
7.5					
7.8					
8.1					
8.4					
8.7					
9					
9.3					
9.6					
9.9 10.2					
10.2					
10.8					
11.1					
11.1					



CLIENT: DE VILLIERS & HULME			PROJECT:	Keurboom Strand, Plettenberg Bay		
FIELD TECHNICIAN: Neville (Fairbrother)			JOB No: DATE:	16580GG 11/11/2021		
		DP	SH DATA			
DPSH No	: 2		REMARKS:	Test caried out from ground level		
			SPT "N" value			
	0	10 20 30	40 50	0 60 70 80		
0 0.3						
0.6						
0.9						
1.2						
1.5						
1.8						
2.1 2.4						
2.4						
3		<u> </u>				
3.3		<u> </u>				
3.6		+				
3.9		+ + +				
4.2						
4.5						
E 4.8						
4.8 5.1 5.4 5.4						
å 5.7						
6						
6.3		+ + +				
6.6				<u> </u>		
6.9						
7.2						
7.5						
7.8 8.1						
8.1						
8.7						
g		<u> </u>				
9.3						
9.6		+ + +				
9.9						
10.2						
10.5						
10.8						
11.1	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · ·			



CLIENT: DE VILLIERS & HULME		PROJECT:	Keurboom Strand, Plettenberg Bay			
FIELD	FIELD TECHNICIAN: Neville (Fairbrother)		JOB No: DATE:	16580GG 11/11/2021		
			DP	SH DATA		
DPS	H No:	3		REMARKS:	Test Carried out from 0.9m depth	_
				SPT "N" value		
	0		10 20 30	40 50	0 60 70 80	
	0		<u></u>			
	0.3					
	0.9		<u> </u>			
	1.2 -					
	1.5					
	1.8					
	2.1		+ + +			
	2.4					
	2.7					
	3.3					
	3.6					
	3.9					
	4.2					
	4.5					
) î	4.8		+			
Depth (m)	5.1					
Jep.	5.4 -					
	5.7					
	6 –					
	6.3					
	6.6					
	7.2					
	7.5					
	7.8					
	8.1		+			
	8.4 -					
	8.7		+			
	9 -					
	9.3		+			
	9.6					
	9.9					
	10.2					
	10.5 10.8					
	10.0 11.1					
	11.1 -					



CLIENT: DE VILLIERS & HULME			PROJECT:	Keurboom Strand, Plettenberg Bay		
FIELD TECHNICIAN: Neville (Fairbrother)			JOB No: DATE:	16580GG 11/11/2021		
		DP	SH DATA			
DPSH No	o: 4		REMARKS:	Test Carried out from 0.9m depth		
			SPT "N" value			
	0	10 20 30	40 50	0 60 70 80		
) 0.3				······································		
0.0						
0.0						
1.2						
1.5		<u> </u>		<u> </u>		
1.8		<u> </u>		·		
2.1	1					
2.4		+ + +				
2.7						
	3					
3.3						
3.6						
3.9 4.2						
4.4						
2.4 Depth (m)				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
6 5.4						
م 5.7		+				
	6	+				
6.3	3	+				
6.6						
6.9						
7.2						
7.5 7.8						
7.8 8.1						
o. 8.4						
8.7						
	9					
9.3		<u> </u>				
9.6		+				
9.9	9					
10.2		+				
10.5						
10.8						
11.1	1		L			



CLIENT: DE VILLIERS & HULME			PRO	OJECT:	Keurboom Strand, Plettenberg Bay			ן Bay	
FIELD	FIELD TECHNICIAN: Neville (Fairbrother)			DAT		16580G 11/11/2			
			DF	PSH D	ATA				
DPSH	No: 2	4A		RE	EMARKS:	Test Car	ried out from	n 0.9m depth	
				SPT "N"	value				
	0	10	20 30	4(0 5	6 6	0 7	70 8	30
	0.3]
	0.6								
	0.9								-
	1.2								1
	1.5								-
	1.8								-
	2.1								-
	2.4								•
	2.7								
	3								1
	3.3								1
	3.6								1
	4.2								
	4.5								
÷	4.8								
Depth (m)	5.1					1		1	4
eptl	5.4								4
۵	5.7								-
	6								{
	6.3								-
	6.6								1
	6.9								1
	7.2								1
	7.5								1
	7.8								1
	8.1								1
	8.4								1
	9								1
	9.3								
	9.6								
	9.9								
	10.2								
	10.5								-
	10.8								-
	11.1]



CLIENT: DE VILL	IERS & HULME	PROJECT:	Keurboom Strand, Plettenberg Bay		
FIELD TECHNICIAN:	Neville (Fairbrother)	JOB No: DATE:	16580GG 11/11/2021		
	DP	SH DATA			
DPSH No: 5		REMARKS:	Test Carried out from ground level		
		SPT "N" value			
0	10 20 30	40 50	0 60 70 80		
0.3					
0.6					
0.9					
1.2					
1.8					
2.1					
2.4					
2.7					
3.3					
3.6	_↓ J				
3.9					
4.2					
4.5 c 4.8					
(u) 4.8 5.1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1					
b 5.4					
5.7					
6					
6.3					
6.6					
7.2					
7.5					
7.8					
8.1					
8.4					
9					
9.3					
9.6					
9.9					
10.2					
10.5					
11.1					
1					



CLIENT: DE VILLIE	ERS & HULME	PROJECT:	Keurboom Strand, Plettenberg Bay		
FIELD TECHNICIAN:	Neville (Fairbrother)	JOB No: DATE:	16580GG 11/11/2021		
	DP	SH DATA			
DPSH No: 6		REMARKS:	Test Carried out from ground level		
		SPT "N" value			
0	10 20 30	40 50	D 60 70 80		
0.3					
0.6					
0.9					
1.5					
1.8					
2.1					
2.4					
3	┥────┤────┤──				
3.3	+				
3.6 3.9					
4.2					
4.5	<u> </u>				
Ξ ^{4.8}					
4.8 5.1 5.4 5.4					
6 5.4 5.7					
6					
6.3	+				
6.6	+				
6.9					
7.5					
7.8	+				
8.1					
8.4					
9					
9.3	<u> </u>				
9.6	+				
9.9					
10.2					
10.8					
11.1					



CLIENT: DE VILLI	IERS & HULME	PROJECT:	Keurboom Strand, Plettenberg Bay		
FIELD TECHNICIAN:	Neville (Fairbrother)	JOB No: DATE:	16580GG 11/11/2021		
	DP	SH DATA			
DPSH No: 7		REMARKS:	Test Carried out from ground level		
		SPT "N" value			
0	10 20 30	40 50	0 60 70 80		
0.6					
0.9					
1.2					
1.8			/ / / / /		
2.1					
2.4					
2.7					
3.3					
3.6					
3.9	<u>/</u>				
4.2					
4.5					
(t) 4.8 5.1 t) t) 5.4					
ق _{5.7}	-∖				
6					
6.3					
6.6					
7.2					
7.5					
7.8					
8.1	+				
8.4					
8.7					
9.3					
9.6					
9.9			<u> </u>		
10.2	+				
10.5	+				
10.8					
11.1					

Appendix D

Slope Stability Analysis

