Section 24G Application Eggland Thornhill within the Kouga Local Municipality, Eastern Cape Province

AQUATIC ASSESSMENT

FOR

CAPE EAPrac

BY



EnviroSci (Pty) Ltd

Dr Brian Colloty

1 Rossini Rd Pari Park Port Elizabeth 6070

DATE

10 March 2020

REVISION 1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	4
2.	Terms of Reference	5
3.	Project Description	6
4.	Relevant legislation and policy	6
5.	Description of the affected environment	6
6.	Permit requirements	12
7.	Site Sensitivity	12
8.	Impact Assessment	13
9.	Conclusion and Recommendations	17
10.	References	18
11.	Appendix 1: Species Checklists	20

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: An aerial view of the facilities associated with Thornhill Eggland
Figure 2: Project locality indicating the various quaternary catchments, mainstem rivers (Source DWS,
NWI and NGI)8
Figure 3: National Wetland Inventory spatial data, for known systems within the region (van Deventer
et al., 2020)8
Figure 4: Delineated extent of the pans, known watercourse with 18m buffer and 500m WUA
regulated zone
Figure 5: NFEPA Priority Ecosystem Areas (Nel et al., 2011)11
Figure 6: Critical Biodiversity Areas as per the Eastern Cape Biodiversity Conservation Plan (Desmet &
Berliner, 2007)12

LIST OF PHOTO PLATES

Plate 1: A view of the pan, now dam closest to the current operations	9
Plate 2: The water supply dam with no distinct aquatic habitat other than the open water itself	9

SPECIALIST REPORT DETAILS

This report has been prepared as per the requirements of the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations and the National Environmental Management Act (Act 107 of 1998), any subsequent amendments and any relevant National and / or Provincial Policies related to biodiversity assessments. This also includes the minimum requirements as stipulated in the National Water Act (Act 36 of 1998), as amended in Water Use Licence Application and Appeals Regulations, 2017 Government Notice R267 in Government Gazette 40713 dated 24 March 2017, which also includes the minimum requirements.

Report prepared by: Dr. Brian Colloty Pr.Sci.Nat. (Ecology) / Member SAEIES.

Expertise / Field of Study: BSc (Hons) Zoology, MSc Botany (Rivers), Ph.D Botany Conservation Importance rating, and has worked as an independent consulting specialist from 1996 to present.

I, **Dr. Brian Michael Colloty** declare that this report has been prepared independently of any influence or prejudice as may be specified by the National Department of Environmental Affairs and or Department of Water and Sanitation

Birtill

Signed:...

..... Date:...10 March 2020.....

This document contains intellectual property and proprietary information that is protected by copyright in favour of EnviroSci (Pty) Ltd. The document may therefore not be reproduced, or used without the prior written consent of EnviroSci (Pty) Ltd. This document is prepared exclusively for CAPE EAPrac, their client and is subject to all confidentiality, copyright, trade secrets, and intellectual property law and practices of SOUTH AFRICA

1. Introduction

CAPE EAPrac appointed EnviroSci (Pty) Ltd to conduct an Aquatic Assessment as part of the Section 24G application being submitted for the **for an existing egg laying facility THORNHILL EGGLAND**, within the Kouga Local Municipality (Figure 1).

The proponent has developed the property, which now requires rectification in terms of Section 24G of NEMA, while the infrastructure in place will also require a Water Use License, if not yet in place.

1.1 Aims and objectives

The aim of this report is to provide an assessment of the state and function of any aquatic habitats that may have been lost, together with an assessment of the potential issues posed by the development. Where possible this report also provides means to avoid additional impacts or issues. This was based on a site visit conducted in summer on 3 December 2019.

1.2 Assumptions and Limitation

To obtain a comprehensive understanding of the dynamics of any aquatic communities within a study site, as well as the status of endemic, rare or threatened species in any area, assessments should always consider investigations at different time scales (across seasons/years) and through replication. However, due to time constraints these long-term studies are not feasible and are thus mostly based on instantaneous sampling.

Therefore, due to the scope of the work presented in this report (activities have commenced), a long-term investigation of the proposed site was not possible and as such not perceived as part of the Terms of Reference. However, a concerted effort was made to assess as much of the potential site, as well as make use of any available literature, species distribution data (Appendix 1) and aerial photography, with particular focus on determining the type and importance of the aquatic systems if any that have been impacted upon by the activities.

It should be emphasised that information, as presented in this document, only has reference to the study area as indicated on the accompanying maps. Therefore, this information cannot be applied to any other area without detailed investigation.



Figure 1: An aerial view of the facilities associated with Thornhill Eggland

2. Terms of Reference

The affected aquatic systems were assessed as follows:

- The assessment was initiated with a review of the available information for the region and activities that had occurred. This will also include review of the development in relation to any conservation plans or assessments known for the area, e.g. Critical Biodiversity Area maps, National Waterbody Inventory etc.
- Determination of the Present Ecological State of any waterbodies incl. wetlands, estimating their biodiversity, conservation importance with regard ecosystem services using recognised PES / EIS assessment methods to determine the state, importance and sensitivity of the respective systems
- Prepared a map demarcating the respective watercourses or wetland/s, within a 500m radius of the study area. This demonstrates, from a holistic point of view the connectivity between the site and the surrounding regions, i.e. the hydrological zone of influence while classifying the hydrogeomorphic type of the respective water courses / wetlands in relation to present land-use and their current state. The maps depicting demarcated waterbodies will be delineated to a scale of 1:10 000, following the methodology described by the DWS.
- Buffer zones were recommended using the Macfarlane & Bredin (2017) approach to indicate any No-go
 / Sensitive areas around any delineated aquatic zones should these be thought necessary, supported by
 any relevant legislation, e.g. any bioregional plans, conservation guidelines or best practice if still
 applicable. Attention was also paid to the presence / absence of any important habitat or species known
 to occur within the region as indicated in Appendix 1.
- Assessed the potential impacts, based on a supplied methodology, including cumulative impacts and for construction (should any additional activities still be required, particularly if the construction was halted), operations and decommissioning phases.
- Provide mitigations regarding observed impacts, which could negatively affect demarcated wetland or water course areas.
- Supply the client with geo-referenced GIS shape files of the wetland / riverine areas with buffers as required.

3. Project Description

The following main activities have required the need for a Section 24G application:

- 4x hen houses @ 120 000 hens (30 000 hens per house)
- 4x henhouses @ 160 000 (40 000 hens per house).
- Wash facility and RO Plant
- Packing facility
- Offices and parking
- Biological control facilities at entrance gates

4. Relevant legislation and policy

The following is pertinent to this study:

- Section 24 of The Constitution of the Republic of South Africa;
- Agenda 21 Action plan for sustainable development of the Department of Environmental Affairs and Tourism (DEAT) 1998;
- National Environmental Management Act (NEMA), 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998) inclusive of all amendments, as well as the NEM: Biodiversity Act;
- National Water Act, 1998 (Act No. 36 of 1998);
- Conservation of Agricultural Resources Act, 1983 (Act No. 43 of 1983); and
- Minerals and Petroleum Resources Development Act, 2002 (Act No. 28 of 2002).
- Nature and Environmental Conservation Ordinance (No. 19 of 1974)
- National Forest Act (No. 84 of 1998)
- National Heritage Resources Act (No. 25 of 1999)

NEMA and the Conservation of Agricultural Resources Act (CARA), 1983 (Act No. 43 of 1983) would also apply to this project. These Acts have categorised many invasive plants together with associated obligations on the landowner. Several Category 1 & 2 plants were observed in several areas of the site under investigation.

Alien Invasive Plant Species (AIS) within or adjacent the site observed included amongst others:

- Solanum elaeagnifolium (Silver-leaf bitter apple)
- Cyperus rotundus subsp rotundus (Nut grass)
- Acacia mearnsii (Black wattle)
- Pennisetum clandestinum (Kikuyu)
- Solanum maurtianum (Bugweed)
- Opuntia ficus-indica (Prickly pear)

- Opuntia humifusa (Creeping prickly pear)
- Cestrum laevigatum (Inkberry)
- Argemone Mexicana (Mexican poppy)
- Cirsium vulgare (Scotch Thistle)
- Eucalyptus spp
- Pinus spp
- Plantago lanceolate (Buckhorn plantain)
- Arundo donax (Spanish Reed)

5. Description of the affected environment

5.1 Climate

The site is located within the bimodal rainfall region of South Africa, with a Mean Annual Precipitation (MAP) for the coastal region at ca. 540 mm per annum. Annual average temperatures range between 4.2 and 27 °C, with frost a rare occurrence of no more than 10 days per year (Mucina & Rutherford, 2007).

5.2 Geology and soils

The site is underlain acidic lithosol soils derived from the sandstones of the Table Mountain Group, as well as quarzitic sandstones of the Witteberg Group (Mucina & Rutherford, 2007). The region surrounding the site also includes expected shallow Glenrosa and Mispah soil forms.

5.3 Slope and aspect

The region is characterised by undulating hills, interspersed with steep valleys and low ridges associated with the Loerie/Gamtoos valleys.

5.4 Aquatic environment

The study area is located within the L90C Gamtoos River quaternary catchment as shown in Figure 2, situated within the Southern Eastern Coastal Belt Ecoregion. The study area Subquaternary area has anticipated 135 – 220 mm of Mean Annual Runoff. However, it does not however contain, any wetland clusters, Important Bird Areas or Threatened Ecosystems as listed by NEMA.

The study area does form part of a Strategic Water Resource Area (Surface water) as this catchment forms part of an important water supply to the Gamtoos farming region.

Several waterbodies are also shown in National Wetland Inventory (NWI) Version 5 released by van Deventer *et al.* (2020) (Figure 3). No wetlands (natural or artificial) were indicated in the NWI for the study area, however two Depression / Pans were observed during the site visit and are within 500m of the existing infrastructure (Figure 4). These two pan systems, have however been converted into farm dams (Plate 1), a practice that has occurred within most pans within the region, and the only examples that are in a more natural state are located near St Albans and Jefferys Bay, 20 and 16 km from the site respectively.

The pans were dominated by obligate plant species mostly associated with the Cyperaceae (Nut grass) family and included the following species:

Cyperus textilis	Carpha spp
Ficinia litoralis	Helichrysum cymosum
Tetraria cuspidate	Scirpus nodusis
Elegia spp	Eipschoenus gracilis

No aquatic species of special concern were observed within

Several watercourses were also observed within the region, but none and their associated buffer (18m) were located outside of the development activities (Figure 4). The <u>18m buffer</u> was based on results obtained from the wetland/riverine buffer model (Macfarlane & Bredin, 2017), using data collected on the state of the systems, hydrogeomorphic type and activities (past and present) that occur.

Based on the aerial images, it could not be determined when the water supply dam was constructed, but it contained no distinctive aquatic habitat, e.g. wetlands or emergent vegetation (Plate 2).



Figure 2: Project locality indicating the various quaternary catchments, mainstem rivers (Source DWS, NWI and NGI)



Figure 3: National Wetland Inventory spatial data, for known systems within the region (van Deventer *et al.*, 2020)



Plate 1: A view of the pan, now dam closest to the current operations



Plate 2: The water supply dam with no distinct aquatic habitat other than the open water itself



Figure 4: Delineated extent of the pans, known watercourse with 18m buffer and 500m WUA regulated zone

5.4 Present Ecological State and conservation importance (Aquatic environment)

The PES of a river, watercourse or wetland represents the extent to which it has changed from the reference or near pristine condition (Category A) towards a highly impacted system where there has been an extensive loss of natural habit and biota, as well as ecosystem functioning (Category E).

The PES scores have been revised for the country and based on the new models, aspects of functional importance as well as direct and indirect impacts have been included (DWS, 2014 and to an extent revised in the National Spatial Biodiversity Assessment, 2018 data, released 2019). The new PES system also incorporates Ecological Importance (EI) and Ecological Sensitivity (ES) separately as opposed to Ecological Importance and Sensitivity (EIS) in the old model, although the new model is still heavily centred on rating rivers using broad fish, invertebrate, riparian vegetation and water quality indicators. The Recommended Ecological Category (REC) is still contained within the new models, with the default REC being B, when little or no information is available to assess the system or when only one of the above-mentioned parameters are assessed or the overall PES is rated between a C or D.

The PES for the study river system (Subquaternary catchment 90029) was rated as follows (DWS, 2014 /NSBA, 2018) where C = Moderately Modified:

Subquaternary Catchment Number	Present Ecological State	Ecological Importance	Ecological Sensitivity
9009	С	Moderate/Medium	High

These scores were adjusted by observations made in the field, due to the current impacts such as:

- Alien vegetation
- Vegetation clearing
- Impoundments (several above and below the site), and
- Agricultural return flow from the various pivot irrigation systems;

The Present Ecological State for the study area water courses were thus rated as **D** = Largely Modified, i.e. less than 40 % of the natural riparian vegetation remains based on the Riparian Vegetation Responses Assessment Index (VEGRAI) model. This score would also then apply to the riverine wetland (Wetland IHI) based on the impacts observed and the perceived loss in catchment vegetation and wetland aerial cover.

The Ecological Importance and Sensitivity Score were rated as Moderate by DWS for the Subquaternary catchment (2014), due to the importance of the habitat they provide (fish & invertebrates), filter pollutants and support the downstream systems, namely the Loerie River, while forming part of an Upstream Support Area under NFEPA, as shown in Figure 6. This would be substantiated by information collected in the field and the presence of the riverine wetlands observed that would mitigation impacts such as agricultural return flows and trap any sediments within runoff.

Results from the *Wetland* Index of Habitat Integrity (IHI) model based on field data, also indicated that the PES for the two pan was **D** = Largely Modified, while the Ecological Importance and Sensitivity score was Moderate.

The Moderate scores for both the watercourses and the pans was based on the fact that these systems are also located within a Phase 2 FEPA (Figure 6) or Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Area / NFEPA (Nel *et al.*, 2011) and Critical Biodiversity Area Type 2 (Berliner and Desmet, 2007) in the Eastern Cape Biodiversity Conservation Plan (Figure 7).



Figure 5: NFEPA Priority Ecosystem Areas (Nel et al., 2011)



Figure 6: Critical Biodiversity Areas as per the Eastern Cape Biodiversity Conservation Plan (Desmet & Berliner, 2007)

6. Permit requirements

In terms of Water Use Authorisation these applications must be submitted, with the inclusion of any activities within the 500m wetland regulated zone with regard Section 21 c & i water uses, if not yet authorised (See Figure 4). This would also include any abstractive uses form the dam and borehole.

7. Site Sensitivity

Based then on the past status of the environment and the scale past and current disturbance, no sensitive areas would have been affected within the development footprint. However it is recommended that any future activities remain outside of the watercourses and their buffers and the pans and that the surrounding land use remain (grazing) remain unchanged (See Figure 4).

8. Impact Assessment

During the impact assessment a number of potential key issues / impacts were identified and assessed.

- Impact 1: Loss of aquatic riverine and wetland habitat
- Impact 3: Habitat fragmentation
- Impact 4: Impact on baseflow hydrology
- Impact 5: Increase in sedimentation and erosion
- Impact 6: Risks on the aquatic environment due to water quality impacts
- Impact 7: Cumulative impacts

The loss of any Species of Special Concern was not assessed as the habitat are now disturbed and little to no terrestrial habitat remains within the cleared areas

Environmental Activity/Aspe			pect &	Proposed Mitig	gation:				
Impact: No direct imp associated w current activ could be rela- the present s and function pans (transformat into farm dar while no imp other than th water supply was found to the watercou that surround development	pacts ith the ities ted to tate of the ion ms), act dam affect urses d the tarea. ficance	Impact Sou Due to the the project persist in th term into th operational impact. Ho affected ha observed a outside of t activities	rce: nature of this would he long he l phase wever the bitats re largely the current	 Alien plant that won't species do the draina No further zones as si 	 Alien plant regrowth should also be monitored, in any areas that won't be utilised, as a number of ruderal Alien Invasive species do occur within the plan and the thicket area within the drainage lines. No further encroachment must be allowed into the aquatic zones as shown in Figure 4. 				
	Extent	Duration	Severity	Reversibility	Irreplaceable	Probability	Impact		
Without					Loss		Significance		
Mitigation:	Site (1)	Long- term (4)	Moderate (4)	Completely (0)	Partly (0.5)	Definite (5)	Moderate (47.5)		
With	Extent	Duration	Severity	Reversibility	Irreplaceable Loss	Probability	Impact Significance		
Mitigation:	Site (1)	Long- term (4)	Minor (2)	Completely (0)	Partly (0.5)	Probable (3)	Low (22.5)		
Potential to Mitigate: Moderate potential / easy to mitigate				Assessment Confidence: Complete					

8.1: Impact 1: Loss of aquatic riverine and wetland habitat – Direct Impact

8.2: Impact 2:	Habitat fragmentation
----------------	-----------------------

Environment	tal	Activity/As	pect &	Proposed Mitig	gation:		
Impact: Based on the information contained wit the ECBCP, tl study area is Aquatic Critic Biodiversity / The clearing result in fragmentatic terrestrial has which has re- in an impact pans, but the watercourses remain intact connected to downstream systems	thin ne within cal Areas. did on of bitats sulted for the s still t and o other	Impact Sou Due to the the project persist in th term into th operationa impact.	nature of this will he long he I phase	 Alien plant that won't species do the draina No further zones as si 	t regrowth should be utilised, as a occur within the ge lines. r encroachment n hown in Figure 5.	l also be monito number of ruder plan and the thi nust be allowed	red, in any areas al Alien Invasive cket area within into the aquatic
Impact Signi	ficance	-					
Without	Extent	Duration	Severity	Reversibility	Irreplaceable Loss	Probability	Impact Significance
Mitigation:	Site (1)	Long- term (4)	Moderate (4)	Completely (0)	Partly (0.5)	Definite (5)	Moderate (47.5)
With	Extent	Duration	Severity	Reversibility	Irreplaceable Loss	Probability	Impact Significance
Mitigation:	Site (1)	Long- term (4)	Minor (2)	Completely (0)	Partly (0.5)	Probable (3)	Low (22.5)
Potential to Moderate po	Potential to Mitigate: Moderate potential / easy to mitigate				onfidence:		

8.3 Impact 3: Impact on baseflow hydrology – direct operational impact

Environmental A		Activity/Aspect &		Proposed Mitigation:			
Impact: Impoundmen result in the reduction of baseflow wh reducing floc peaks (rivers require flood reset sedime build up for example). Th includes the supply dam.	Impact Source: Due to the nature of the project this will persist in the long term in the operational phase impact and is compounded by the existence of several other dams / abstractive users in the catchment.		 If the cur consulted will assist i will allow the catchr 	• If the current dam is not incensed then DWS should be consulted as part of water use authorisation process who will assist in the determination of a safe abstraction rate that will allow for equitable social and ecological needs within the catchment.			
Impact Significance							
	Extent	Duration	Severity	Reversibility	Irreplaceable	Probability	Impact
Without					Loss		Significance
Mitigation:	Site (1)	Long- term (4)	Moderate (4)	Completely (0)	Partly (0.5)	Definite (5)	Moderate (47.5)

With	Extent	Duration	Severity	Reversibility	Irreplaceable Loss	Probability	Impact Significance
Mitigation:	Site (1)	Long- term (4)	Minor (2)	Completely (0)	Partly (0.5)	Probable (3)	Low (22.5)
Potential to Mitigate: Moderate potential / easy to mitigate				Assessment Co Complete	onfidence:		

8.4 Impact 4: Increase in sedimentation and erosion – direct operational phase

Environmental		Activity/Aspect &		Proposed Mitigation:				
Impact: The creation surface areas result in the increase in ru with an incre erosion and sedimentatic impacts downstream coupled to th creation of additional ro access tracks increases stormwater in	of hard s will unoff, ease in on . This ne ads / s also	Impact Sou Due to the the project persist in th term in the operationa impact.	nature of this will ne long I phase	 Suitable states steep accerring shou sediment, local plant As the derrunoff from areas first flows. Sorr parking ar swales to but suitab 	 Suitable stormwater management must be included in the steep access roads, as sediment is currently being washed. This should include swales and or small ponds to trap sediment, coupled to revegetation of bare soil areas with local plant species. As the development is not allowed to have gutters, any runoff from roof must be captured by vegetated / grassed areas first. This vegetation will then slow and dissipate flows. Some flows do accumulate when leaving the existing parking areas, and this should be managed using grassed swales to prevent the generation of any high velocity flows, but suitable sized not to create any standing waterbodies. 			
Impact Signi					I			
Without	Extent	Duration	Severity	Reversibility	Irreplaceable Loss	Probability	Impact Significance	
Mitigation:	Site (1)	Long- term (4)	Moderate (4)	Completely (0)	Partly (0.5)	Definite (5)	Moderate (47.5)	
	Extent	Duration	Severity	Reversibility	Irreplaceable	Probability	Impact	
With					Loss		Significance	
Mitigation:	Site (1)	Long- term (4)	Minor (2)	Completely (0)	Partly (0.5)	Probable (3)	Low (22.5)	
Potential to	Mitigate:			Assessment Confidence:				
Moderate potential / easy to mitigate				Complete				

8.5 Impact 5: Risks on the aquatic environment due to water quality impacts – indirect operational phase

Environmental	Activity/Aspect &	Proposed Mitigation:
Impact: This impact is mostly related to activities that would generate return flows, especially if areas are over irrigated or contain any production waste.	<i>Impact Source:</i> Due to the nature of the project this will persist in the long term in the operational phase impact.	 It is important that no surface water runoff is allowed to be directed into the dam or water courses. Any runoff must therefore be contained in swales or stormwater management features, particularly where runoff is concentrated. This must be sized correctly so as not to create any standing waterbodies. Any wash water from the packhouse should be monitored on a monthly basis (organic loads / bacteria), to ensure that if any discharge reaches the local water courses it is within the acceptable or target water quality limits that will be prescribed by DWS. Although the biological control system (drive through dips and sprayers) make use of environmentally sensitive products, any spills from these systems should also not be

				directed i captured	nto any water	courses and ide	eally should be
Impact Signi	ficance						
	Extent	Duration	Severity	Reversibility	Irreplaceable	Probability	Impact
Without					Loss		Significance
Mitigation:	Site	Long-	Moderate	Completely	Dorthy (0.5)	Dofinito (5)	Moderate
	(1)	term (4)	(4)	(0)	Faitiy (0.5)	Definite (5)	(47.5)
	Extent	Duration	Severity	Reversibility	Irreplaceable	Probability	Impact
With					Loss		Significance
Mitigation:	Site	Long-	Minor (2)	Completely	Partly (0.5)	Probable (3)	$L_{0W}(22.5)$
	(1)	term (4)	1011101 (2)	(0)	Faitiy (0.5)	FIODADIE (3)	LOW (22.3)
Potential to Mitigate: Assessment Confid				onfidence:			
Moderate potential / easy to mitigate				Complete			

8.6 Impact 6: Cumulative impacts

Environmental Activity/Aspect &		Proposed Mitigation:					
Impact: The cumulative impacts are related to activities already in existence and the unauthorised activities assessed in this report.		<i>Activity/Aspect &</i> <i>Impact Source:</i> Due to the nature of the project this will persist in the long term in the operational phase impact. However, this is mostly related to adjacent terrestrial environments.		 Alien plant regrowth should also be monitored, and any such species should be removed on an ongoing basis within areas that won't be utilised. Water use and quality of any return flows should be monitored as this has a direct impact on the quality of the aquatic environment. Runoff from any areas should be managed using swales to prevent any pollution (organic) of downstream areas. 			
Impact Signi	ficance			•			
	Extent	Duration	Severity	Reversibility	Irreplaceable	Probability	Impact
Without					Loss		Significance
Mitigation:	Site (1)	Long- term (4)	Moderate (4)	Completely (0)	Partly (0.5)	Definite (5)	Moderate (47.5)
With	Extent	Duration	Severity	Reversibility	Irreplaceable Loss	Probability	Impact Significance
Mitigation:	Site (1)	Long- term (4)	Minor (2)	Completely (0)	Partly (0.5)	Probable (3)	Low (22.5)
Potential to Mitigate: Moderate potential / easy to mitigate			Assessment Confidence: Complete				

9. Conclusion and Recommendations

The results indicated that no important habitats would have occurred in the past, and the present-day activities would have mostly impacted the terrestrial habitats. It could not be determined when the initial impacts / clearing within the pans and the creation of the water supply dam had occurred, as several of the disturbances had occurred many years ago, however the present activities have not affected any important riverine or wetlands areas.

However it is suggested that the following mitigations be considered:

- Alien plant regrowth should also be monitored, and any such species should be removed on an ongoing basis form areas that won't be utilised.
- Water use and quality of any return flows should be monitored as this has a direct impact on the quality of the aquatic environment.
- Runoff from any areas should be managed using swales to prevent any pollution (organic) of downstream areas.

With this in place the overall significance of the impacts could be reduced to LOW. This only applies to the physical changes to the observed environment, as the maximum allowable change to the hydrological environment (abstraction from dam) that will be allowed, will be determined by the Department of Water and Sanitation during the Water Use Authorisation process for the dam, if no license is in place.

10. References

Agenda 21 – Action plan for sustainable development of the Department of Environmental Affairs and Tourism (DEAT) 1998.

Agricultural Resources Act, 1983 (Act No. 43 of 1983).

Alexander, G. And Marais, J. 2010. A Guide to Reptiles of Southern Africa. Struik Nature, Cape Town. Animal Demography Unit, Department Of Zoology, University Of Cape Town. 2012. Summary Data Of The Frogs Of South Africa, Lesotho And Swaziland. Downloaded From: Http://Adu.Org.Za/Frog_Atlas.Php; Accessed On 2/02/2013.

Berliner D. and Desmet P. 2007. Eastern Cape Biodiversity Conservation Plan: Technical Report. Department of Water Affairs and Forestry Project No 2005-012, Pretoria.

Branch, W.R. 1998. Terrestrial reptiles and amphibians. In: A Field Guide to the Eastern Cape Coast, R. A. Lubke, F. W. Gess and M. N. Bruton (eds.), Grahamstown Centre for the Wildlife Soc. S. Afr., 251 264.

Davies, B. and Day J., (1998). Vanishing Waters. University of Cape Town Press.

Department of Water Affairs and Forestry - DWAF (2005). A practical field procedure for identification and delineation of wetland and riparian areas Edition 1. Department of Water Affairs and Forestry , Pretoria.

Department of Water Affairs and Forestry - DWAF (2008). Manual for the assessment of a Wetland Index of Habitat Integrity for South African floodplain and channelled valley bottom wetland types by M. Rountree (ed); C.P. Todd, C. J. Kleynhans, A. L. Batchelor, M. D. Louw, D. Kotze, D. Walters, S. Schroeder, P. Illgner, M. Uys. and G.C. Marneweck. Report no. N/0000/00/WEI/0407. Resource Quality Services, Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, Pretoria, South Africa.

Driver A., Sink, K.J., Nel, J.N., Holness, S., Van Niekerk, L., Daniels, F., Jonas, Z., Majiedt, P.A., Harris, L. & Maze, K. 2012. National Biodiversity Assessment 2011: An assessment of South Africa's biodiversity and ecosystems. Synthesis Report. South African National Biodiversity Institute and Department of Environmental Affairs, Pretoria.

Du Preez, L. And Carruthers, V. 2009. A Complete Guide To Frogs Of Southern Africa. Struik Nature, Cape Town

Ewart-Smith J.L., Ollis D.J., Day J.A. and Malan H.L. (2006). National Wetland Inventory: Development of a Wetland Classification System for South Africa. WRC Report No. KV 174/06. Water Research Commission, Pretoria.

IUCN (2019). Red List of Threatened Species. IUCN Species Survival Commission, Cambridge Available: http://www.iucnredlist.org/

Kleynhans C.J., Thirion C. and Moolman J. (2005). A Level 1 Ecoregion Classification System for South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland. Report No. N/0000/00/REQ0104. Resource Quality Services, Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, Pretoria.

Kotze D.C., Marneweck G.C., Batchelor A.L., Lindley D.S. and Collins N. (2008). WET-EcoServices A technique for rapidly assessing ecosystem services supplied by wetlands. WRC Report No: TT 339/08.

Macfarlane, D.M. & Bredin, I.P. 2017. Buffer Zone Guidelines for Rivers, Wetlands and Estuaries Buffer Zone Guidelines for Rivers, Wetlands and Estuaries. WRC Report No TT 715/1/17 Water Research Commission, Pretoria.

Minerals and Petroleum Resources Development Act, 2002 (Act No. 28 of 2002), as amended.

Minter, L. Burger, M. A. Harrison, J. Braack, H. Bishop, P. & Kloepfer, D. (2004). Atlas and Red Data Book of the Frogs of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland. Smithsonian Institute.

Mitsch, J.G. and Gosselink, G. (2000). Wetlands 3rd End, Wiley, NewYork, 2000, 920 pg.

Mucina, L., & Rutherford, M.C., 2006. The Vegetation of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland, Strelitzia 19, South Africa.

National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998), as amended.

National Water Act, 1998 (Act No. 36 of 1998), as amended

Nel, J., Maree, G., Roux, D., Moolman, J., Kleynhans, N., Silberbauer, M. and Driver, A. 2004. South African National Spatial Biodiversity Assessment 2004: Technical Report. Volume 2: River Component. CSIR Report Number ENV-S-I-2004-063. Council for Scientific and Industrial Research, Stellenbosch.

Nel, J.L., Murray, K.M., Maherry, A.M., Petersen, C.P., Roux, D.J., Driver, A., Hill, L., Van Deventer, H., Funke, N., Swartz, E.R., Smith-Adao, L.B., Mbona, N., Downsborough, L. and Nienaber, S. (2011). Technical Report for the National Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Areas project. WRC Report No. K5/1801.

Ollis, D.J., Snaddon, C.D., Job, N.M. & Mbona, N. 2013. Classification System for Wetlands and other Aquatic Ecosystems in South Africa. User Manual: Inland Systems. SANBI Biodiversity Series 22. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria.

Parsons R. (2004). Surface Water – Groundwater Interaction in a Southern African Context. WRC Report TT 218/03, Pretoria.

Ramsar Convention, (1971) including the Wetland Conservation Programme (DEAT) and the National Wetland Rehabilitation Initiative (DEAT, 2000).

Rowntree, K., Wadesone, R. and O'Keeffe, J. 2000. The development of a geomorphological classification system for the longitudinal zonation of South African rivers. South African Geographical Journal 82(3): 163-172.

South African Bird Atlasing Project 2 (SABAP2). 2017. Animal Demographic Unit. Available online: http://sabap2.adu.org.za/

Stuart, C and Stuart, T. 2007. A field guide to the mammals of Southern Africa. Struik Nature, Cape Town.

van Deventer H., Smith-Adao, L. Petersen C., Mbona N., Skowno A., Nel, J.L. (2018) Review of available data for a South African Inventory of Inland Aquatic Ecosystems (SAIIAE). Water SA 44 (2) 184-199.

11. Appendix 1: Species Checklists

Source SANBI ADU http://vmus.adu.org.za/index.php?database Accessed 23 November 2019

AMPHIBIANS			
Brevicepitidae	Breviceps adspersus	Bushveld Rain Frog	Least Concern
Bufonidae	Sclerophrys capensis	Raucous Toad	Least Concern
Heleophrynidae	Heleophryne hewitti	Hewitt's Ghost Frog	Critically Endangered
Hyperoliidae	Hyperolius marmoratus	Painted Reed Frog	Least Concern (IUCN ver 3.1, 2013)
Pipidae	Xenopus laevis	Cape Clawed Toad	Least Concern
Pyxicephalidae	Amietia delalandii	Delalande's River Frog	Least Concern (2017)
Pyxicephalidae	Amietia fuscigula	Cape River Frog	Least Concern (2017)
Pyxicephalidae	Cacosternum boettgeri	Common Caco	Least Concern (2013)
Pyxicephalidae	Cacosternum nanum	Bronze Caco	Least Concern (2013)
Pyxicephalidae	Strongylopus fasciatus	Striped Stream Frog	Least Concern
Pyxicephalidae	Strongylopus grayii	Clicking Stream Frog	Least Concern
REPTILES			
Agamidae	Agama aculeata aculeata	Common Ground Agama	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Agamidae	Agama atra	Southern Rock Agama	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Chamaeleonidae	Bradypodion sp. (Groendal)	Groendal Dwarf Chameleon	
Chamaeleonidae	Bradypodion taeniabronchum	Elandsberg Dwarf Chameleon	Endangered (SARCA 2014)
Colubridae	Dispholidus typus typus	Boomslang	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Cordylidae	Pseudocordylus microlepidotus microlepidotus	Cape Crag Lizard	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Elapidae	Naja nivea	Cape Cobra	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Gekkonidae	Afroedura nov sp. 1 (Kouga)	Flat Gecko sp. 1 (Kouga)	
Lacertidae	Pedioplanis burchelli	Burchell's Sand Lizard	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Lacertidae	Tropidosaura gularis	Cape Mountain Lizard	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Lamprophiidae	Lycodonomorphus rufulus	Brown Water Snake	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Lamprophiidae	Psammophylax rhombeatus	Spotted Grass Snake	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Scincidae	Acontias orientalis	Eastern Legless Skink	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Testudinidae	Chersina angulata	Angulate Tortoise	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Viperidae	Bitis arietans arietans	Puff Adder	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
LEPIDOPTERA			
HESPERIIDAE	Spialia sataspes	Boland sandman	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
HESPERIIDAE	Tsitana uitenhaga	Uitenhage sylph	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)

LYCAENIDAE	Aloeides aranda	Aranda copper	Least 2013)	Concern	(SABCA
LYCAENIDAE	Aloeides damarensis damarensis	Damara copper	Least 2013)	Concern	(SABCA
LYCAENIDAE	Aloeides depicta	Depicta copper	Least 2013)	Concern	(SABCA
LYCAENIDAE	Aloeides juana	Juana copper	Least 2013)	Concern	(SABCA
LYCAENIDAE	Aloeides pallida liversidgei	Giant copper	Least 2013)	Concern	(SABCA
LYCAENIDAE	Cacyreus marshalli	Common geranium bronze	Least 2013)	Concern	(SABCA
LYCAENIDAE	Capys alpheus alpheus	Orange banded protea	Least 2013)	Concern	(SABCA
LYCAENIDAE	Chrysoritis beulah	Beulah's opal	Least 2013)	Concern	(SABCA
LYCAENIDAE	Chrysoritis chrysaor	Burnished opal	Least 2013)	Concern	(SABCA
LYCAENIDAE	Chrysoritis zeuxo cottrelli	Cottrell's daisy copper	Least 2013)	Concern	(SABCA
LYCAENIDAE	Lachnocnema durbani	D'Urban's woolly legs	Least 2013)	Concern	(SABCA
LYCAENIDAE	Lampides boeticus	Pea blue	Least 2013)	Concern	(SABCA
LYCAENIDAE	Lepidochrysops sp.		,		
LYCAENIDAE	Lepidochrysops ketsi ketsi	Ketsi blue	Least 2013)	Concern	(SABCA
LYCAENIDAE	Lepidochrysops patricia	Patricia blue	Least 2013)	Concern	(SABCA
LYCAENIDAE	Lepidochrysops poseidon	Baviaanskloof blue	Least 2013)	Concern	(SABCA
LYCAENIDAE	Lepidochrysops robertsoni	Robertson's blue	Least 2013)	Concern	(SABCA
LYCAENIDAE	Lepidochrysops variabilis	Variable blue	Least 2013)	Concern	(SABCA
LYCAENIDAE	Leptomyrina lara	Cape black-eye	Least 2013)	Concern	(SABCA
LYCAENIDAE	Tarucus thespis	Vivid dotted blue	Least 2013)	Concern	(SABCA
LYCAENIDAE	Thestor murrayi	Murray's skolly	Least 2013)	Concern	(SABCA
LYCAENIDAE	Trimenia argyroplaga argyroplaga	Large silver-spotted copper	Least 2013)	Concern	(SABCA
NYMPHALIDAE	Acraea neobule neobule	Wandering donkey acraea	Least 2013)	Concern	(SABCA
NYMPHALIDAE	Aeropetes tulbaghia	Table mountain beauty	Least 2013)	Concern	(SABCA
NYMPHALIDAE	Charaxes pelias	Protea charaxes	Least 2013)	Concern	(SABCA
NYMPHALIDAE	Danaus chrysippus orientis	African monarch, Plain tiger	Least 2013)	Concern	(SABCA
NYMPHALIDAE	Hypolimnas misippus	Common diadem	Least 2013)	Concern	(SABCA

NYMPHALIDAE	Junonia hierta cebrene	Yellow pansy	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
NYMPHALIDAE	Pardopsis punctatissima	Polka dot	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
NYMPHALIDAE	Precis archesia archesia	Garden commodore	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
NYMPHALIDAE	Precis octavia sesamus	Gaudy Commodore	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
NYMPHALIDAE	Pseudonympha magus	Silver-bottom brown	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
NYMPHALIDAE	Pseudonympha trimenii ruthae	Trimen's brown	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
NYMPHALIDAE	Stygionympha vigilans	Western hillside brown	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
NYMPHALIDAE	Stygionympha wichgrafi williami	Wichgraf's hillside brown	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
NYMPHALIDAE	Vanessa cardui	Painted lady	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
PAPILIONIDAE	Papilio demodocus demodocus	Citrus swallowtail	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
PIERIDAE	Belenois aurota	Brown-veined white	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
PIERIDAE	Pontia helice helice	Common meadow white	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
PIERIDAE	Teracolus eris eris	Banded gold tip	Least Concern (SABCA 2013)
AVES (BIRDS)			
Common_group	Common_species	Genus	Species
Common_group Apalis	Common_species Bar-throated	Genus Apalis	Species thoracica
Common_group Apalis Apalis	Common_species Bar-throated Yellow-breasted	Genus Apalis Apalis	Species thoracica flavida
Aves (BiRD3) Common_group Apalis Barbet	Common_species Bar-throated Yellow-breasted Acacia Pied	Genus Apalis Apalis Tricholaema	Species thoracica flavida leucomelas
Aves (BRD3) Common_group Apalis Apalis Barbet Barbet	Common_species Bar-throated Yellow-breasted Acacia Pied Black-collared	Genus Apalis Apalis Tricholaema Lybius	Speciesthoracicaflavidaleucomelastorquatus
Aves (Birbs) Common_group Apalis Apalis Barbet Barbet Batis	Common_species Bar-throated Yellow-breasted Acacia Pied Black-collared Cape	Genus Apalis Apalis Tricholaema Lybius Batis	Speciesthoracicaflavidaleucomelastorquatuscapensis
Aves (BRD3)Common_groupApalisApalisBarbetBarbetBatisBishop	Common_speciesBar-throatedYellow-breastedAcacia PiedBlack-collaredCapeSouthern Red	Genus Apalis Apalis Tricholaema Lybius Batis Euplectes	Speciesthoracicaflavidaleucomelastorquatuscapensisorix
Aves (Birbs)Common_groupApalisApalisBarbetBarbetBatisBishopBokmakierie	Common_species Bar-throated Yellow-breasted Acacia Pied Black-collared Cape Southern Red Bokmakierie	Genus Apalis Apalis Tricholaema Lybius Batis Euplectes Telophorus	Speciesthoracicaflavidaleucomelastorquatuscapensisorixzeylonus
Aves (BRD3)Common_groupApalisApalisBarbetBarbetBatisBishopBokmakierieBoubou	Common_speciesBar-throatedYellow-breastedAcacia PiedBlack-collaredCapeSouthern RedBokmakierieSouthern	Genus Apalis Apalis Tricholaema Lybius Batis Euplectes Telophorus Laniarius	Speciesthoracicaflavidaleucomelastorquatuscapensisorixzeylonusferrugineus
Aves (Birds)Common_groupApalisApalisBarbetBarbetBatisBishopBokmakierieBoubouBrownbul	Common_species Bar-throated Yellow-breasted Acacia Pied Black-collared Cape Southern Red Bokmakierie Southern Terrestrial	Genus Apalis Apalis Tricholaema Lybius Batis Euplectes Telophorus Laniarius Phyllastrephus	Speciesthoracicaflavidaleucomelastorquatuscapensisorixzeylonusferrugineusterrestris
Aves (Birbs)Common_groupApalisApalisBarbetBarbetBatisBishopBokmakierieBoubouBrownbulBulbul	Common_speciesBar-throatedYellow-breastedAcacia PiedBlack-collaredCapeSouthern RedBokmakierieSouthernTerrestrialCape	GenusApalisApalisTricholaemaLybiusBatisEuplectesTelophorusLaniariusPhyllastrephusPycnonotus	Speciesthoracicaflavidaleucomelastorquatuscapensisorixzeylonusferrugineusterrestriscapensis
Aves (Birbs)Common_groupApalisApalisBarbetBarbetBatisBishopBokmakierieBoubouBrownbulBulbulBunting	Common_speciesBar-throatedYellow-breastedAcacia PiedBlack-collaredCapeSouthern RedBokmakierieSouthernTerrestrialCapeCinnamon-breasted	GenusApalisApalisTricholaemaLybiusBatisEuplectesTelophorusLaniariusPhyllastrephusPycnonotusEmberiza	Speciesthoracicaflavidaleucomelastorquatuscapensisorixzeylonusferrugineusterrestriscapensistahapisi
Aves (Birds)Common_groupApalisApalisBarbetBarbetBatisBishopBokmakierieBoubouBrownbulBulbulBuntingBunting	Common_speciesBar-throatedYellow-breastedAcacia PiedBlack-collaredCapeSouthern RedBokmakierieSouthernTerrestrialCapeCinnamon-breastedGolden-breasted	GenusApalisApalisTricholaemaLybiusBatisEuplectesTelophorusLaniariusPhyllastrephusPycnonotusEmberizaEmberiza	Speciesthoracicaflavidaleucomelastorquatuscapensisorixzeylonusferrugineusterrestriscapensistahapisiflaviventris
Aves (Birds)Common_groupApalisApalisBarbetBarbetBatisBishopBokmakierieBoubouBrownbulBulbulBuntingBuntingBush-shrike	Common_speciesBar-throatedYellow-breastedAcacia PiedBlack-collaredCapeSouthern RedBokmakierieSouthernTerrestrialCapeCinnamon-breastedGolden-breastedOlive	GenusApalisApalisApalisTricholaemaLybiusBatisEuplectesTelophorusLaniariusPhyllastrephusPycnonotusEmberizaEmberizaTelophorus	Speciesthoracicaflavidaleucomelastorquatuscapensisorixzeylonusferrugineusterrestriscapensistahapisiflaviventrisolivaceus
Aves (Birds)Common_groupApalisApalisBarbetBarbetBatisBishopBokmakierieBoubouBrownbulBulbulBuntingBuntingBush-shrikeBuzzard	Common_speciesBar-throatedYellow-breastedAcacia PiedBlack-collaredCapeSouthern RedBokmakierieSouthernTerrestrialCapeCinnamon-breastedGolden-breastedOliveJackal	GenusApalisApalisApalisTricholaemaLybiusBatisEuplectesTelophorusLaniariusPhyllastrephusPycnonotusEmberizaEmberizaTelophorusButeo	Speciesthoracicaflavidaleucomelastorquatuscapensisorixzeylonusferrugineusterrestriscapensistahapisiflaviventrisolivaceusrufofuscus
Aves (Birds)Common_groupApalisApalisBarbetBarbetBatisBishopBokmakierieBoubouBrownbulBulbulBuntingBuntingBush-shrikeBuzzardBuzzard	Common_speciesBar-throatedYellow-breastedAcacia PiedBlack-collaredCapeSouthern RedBokmakierieSouthernTerrestrialCapeCinnamon-breastedGolden-breastedOliveJackalSteppe	GenusApalisApalisApalisTricholaemaLybiusBatisEuplectesTelophorusLaniariusPhyllastrephusPycnonotusEmberizaEmberizaTelophorusButeoButeo	Speciesthoracicaflavidaleucomelastorquatuscapensisorixzeylonusferrugineusterrestriscapensistahapisiflaviventrisolivaceusrufofuscusvulpinus
Aves (birds)Common_groupApalisApalisBarbetBarbetBatisBishopBokmakierieBoubouBrownbulBulbulBuntingBuntingBush-shrikeBuzzardBuzzardCamaroptera	Common_speciesBar-throatedYellow-breastedAcacia PiedBlack-collaredCapeSouthern RedBokmakierieSouthernTerrestrialCapeCinnamon-breastedGolden-breastedOliveJackalSteppeGreen-backed	GenusApalisApalisApalisTricholaemaLybiusBatisEuplectesTelophorusLaniariusPhyllastrephusPycnonotusEmberizaEmberizaTelophorusButeoButeoCamaroptera	Speciesthoracicaflavidaleucomelastorquatuscapensisorixzeylonusferrugineusterrestriscapensistahapisiflaviventrisolivaceusrufofuscusvulpinusbrachyura
Aves (Birds)Common_groupApalisApalisBarbetBarbetBatisBishopBokmakierieBoubouBrownbulBulbulBuntingBush-shrikeBuzzardBuzzardCamaropteraCanary	Common_speciesBar-throatedYellow-breastedAcacia PiedBlack-collaredCapeSouthern RedBokmakierieSouthernTerrestrialCapeCinnamon-breastedGolden-breastedOliveJackalSteppeGreen-backedBrimstone	GenusApalisApalisApalisTricholaemaLybiusBatisEuplectesTelophorusLaniariusPhyllastrephusPycnonotusEmberizaEmberizaTelophorusButeoButeoCamaropteraCrithagra	Speciesthoracicaflavidaleucomelastorquatuscapensisorixzeylonusferrugineusterrestriscapensistahapisiflaviventrisolivaceusrufofuscusvulpinusbrachyurasulphuratus
Aves (birds)Common_groupApalisApalisBarbetBarbetBatisBishopBokmakierieBoubouBrownbulBulbulBuntingBuntingBush-shrikeBuzzardBuzzardCamaropteraCanaryCanary	Common_speciesBar-throatedYellow-breastedAcacia PiedBlack-collaredCapeSouthern RedBokmakierieSouthernTerrestrialCapeCinnamon-breastedGolden-breastedOliveJackalSteppeGreen-backedBrimstoneCape	GenusApalisApalisApalisTricholaemaLybiusBatisEuplectesTelophorusLaniariusPhyllastrephusPycnonotusEmberizaEmberizaTelophorusButeoButeoCamaropteraCrithagraSerinus	Speciesthoracicaflavidaleucomelastorquatuscapensisorixzeylonusferrugineusterrestriscapensistahapisiflaviventrisolivaceusrufofuscusvulpinusbrachyurasulphuratuscanicollis
Aves (birds)Common_groupApalisApalisBarbetBarbetBatisBishopBokmakierieBoubouBrownbulBulbulBuntingBush-shrikeBuzzardBuzzardCanaryCanaryCanary	Common_speciesBar-throatedYellow-breastedAcacia PiedBlack-collaredCapeSouthern RedBokmakierieSouthernTerrestrialCapeCinnamon-breastedGolden-breastedOliveJackalSteppeGreen-backedBrimstoneCapeForest	GenusApalisApalisApalisTricholaemaLybiusBatisEuplectesTelophorusLaniariusPhyllastrephusPycnonotusEmberizaEmberizaTelophorusButeoButeoCamaropteraCrithagraSerinusCrithagra	Speciesthoracicaflavidaleucomelastorquatuscapensisorixzeylonusferrugineusterrestriscapensistahapisiflaviventrisolivaceusrufofuscusvulpinusbrachyurasulphuratuscanicollisscotops
Aves (birds)Common_groupApalisApalisBarbetBarbetBatisBishopBokmakierieBoubouBrownbulBulbulBuntingBush-shrikeBuzzardBuzzardCamaropteraCanaryCanaryCanaryCanaryCanaryCanaryCanary	Common_speciesBar-throatedYellow-breastedAcacia PiedBlack-collaredCapeSouthern RedBokmakierieSouthernTerrestrialCapeCinnamon-breastedGolden-breastedOliveJackalSteppeGreen-backedBrimstoneCapeForestYellow-fronted	GenusApalisApalisApalisTricholaemaLybiusBatisEuplectesTelophorusLaniariusPhyllastrephusPycnonotusEmberizaEmberizaTelophorusButeoButeoCamaropteraCrithagraSerinusCrithagraCrithagra	Speciesthoracicaflavidaleucomelastorquatuscapensisorixzeylonusferrugineusterrestriscapensistahapisiflaviventrisolivaceusrufofuscusvulpinusbrachyurasulphuratuscanicollisscotopsmozambicus
Aves (Birbs)Common_groupApalisApalisBarbetBarbetBatisBishopBokmakierieBoubouBrownbulBulbulBuntingBush-shrikeBuzzardBuzzardCanaryCanaryCanaryCanaryCanaryChat	Common_speciesBar-throatedYellow-breastedAcacia PiedBlack-collaredCapeSouthern RedBokmakierieSouthernTerrestrialCapeCinnamon-breastedGolden-breastedOliveJackalSteppeGreen-backedBrimstoneCapeForestYellow-frontedAnteating	GenusApalisApalisApalisTricholaemaLybiusBatisEuplectesTelophorusLaniariusPhyllastrephusPycnonotusEmberizaEmberizaTelophorusButeoButeoCamaropteraCrithagraCrithagraCrithagraMyrmecocichla	Speciesthoracicaflavidaleucomelastorquatuscapensisorixzeylonusferrugineusterrestriscapensistahapisiflaviventrisolivaceusrufofuscusvulpinusbrachyurasulphuratuscanicollisscotopsmozambicusformicivora

Cisticola	Grey-backed	Cisticola	subruficapilla
Cisticola	Lazy	Cisticola	aberrans
Cisticola	Levaillant's	Cisticola	tinniens
Cisticola	Zitting	Cisticola	juncidis
Coot	Red-knobbed	Fulica	cristata
Cormorant	Reed	Phalacrocorax	africanus
Cormorant	White-breasted	Phalacrocorax	carbo
Coucal	Burchell's	Centropus	burchellii
Crane	Blue	Anthropoides	paradiseus
Crested-flycatcher	Blue-mantled	Trochocercus	cyanomelas
Crow	Саре	Corvus	capensis
Crow	Pied	Corvus	albus
Cuckoo	Black	Cuculus	clamosus
Cuckoo	Klaas's	Chrysococcyx	klaas
Cuckoo	Red-chested	Cuculus	solitarius
Cuckoo-shrike	Black	Campephaga	flava
Cuckoo-shrike	Grey	Coracina	caesia
Dove	Laughing	Streptopelia	senegalensis
Dove	Lemon	Aplopelia	larvata
Dove	Red-eyed	Streptopelia	semitorquata
Dove	Tambourine	Turtur	tympanistria
Drongo	Fork-tailed	Dicrurus	adsimilis
Duck	African Black	Anas	sparsa
Duck	Yellow-billed	Anas	undulata
Eagle	African Crowned	Stephanoaetus	coronatus
Eagle	Martial	Polemaetus	bellicosus
Eagle	Verreaux's	Aquila	verreauxii
Eagle-owl	Spotted	Bubo	africanus
Egret	Cattle	Bubulcus	ibis
Firefinch	African	Lagonosticta	rubricata
Fiscal	Common (Southern)	Lanius	collaris
Fish-eagle	African	Haliaeetus	vocifer
Flycatcher	African Dusky	Muscicapa	adusta
Flycatcher	Fiscal	Sigelus	silens
Flycatcher	Spotted	Muscicapa	striata
Goose	Egyptian	Alopochen	aegyptiacus
Goose	Spur-winged	Plectropterus	gambensis
Goshawk	African	Accipiter	tachiro
Goshawk	Southern Pale Chanting	Melierax	canorus
Grassbird	Саре	Sphenoeacus	afer
Grebe	Little	Tachybaptus	ruficollis
Greenbul	Sombre	Andropadus	importunus
Guineafowl	Helmeted	Numida	meleagris
Gull	Kelp	Larus	dominicanus
Harrier	Black	Circus	maurus
Harrier-Hawk	African	Polyboroides	typus
Heron	Black-headed	Ardea	melanocephala

Heron	Grey	Ardea	cinerea
Honeyguide	Greater	Indicator	indicator
Honeyguide	Lesser	Indicator	minor
Honeyguide	Scaly-throated	Indicator	variegatus
Ноорое	African	Ирира	africana
Hornbill	Crowned	Tockus	alboterminatus
Ibis	African Sacred	Threskiornis	aethiopicus
Ibis	Hadeda	Bostrychia	hagedash
Indigobird	Dusky	Vidua	funerea
Kestrel	Rock	Falco	rupicolus
Kingfisher	Brown-hooded	Halcyon	albiventris
Kingfisher	Half-collared	Alcedo	semitorquata
Kingfisher	Malachite	Alcedo	cristata
Kingfisher	Pied	Ceryle	rudis
Kite	Black-shouldered	Elanus	caeruleus
Kite	Yellow-billed	Milvus	aegyptius
Lapwing	Blacksmith	Vanellus	armatus
Lapwing	Crowned	Vanellus	coronatus
Lark	Red-capped	Calandrella	cinerea
Longclaw	Саре	Macronyx	capensis
Marsh-harrier	African	Circus	ranivorus
Martin	Brown-throated	Riparia	paludicola
Martin	Rock	Hirundo	fuligula
Masked-weaver	Southern	Ploceus	velatus
Moorhen	Common	Gallinula	chloropus
Mousebird	Red-faced	Urocolius	indicus
Mousebird	Speckled	Colius	striatus
Neddicky	Neddicky	Cisticola	fulvicapilla
Olive-pigeon	African	Columba	arquatrix
Oriole	Black-headed	Oriolus	larvatus
Palm-swift	African	Cypsiurus	parvus
Paradise-flycatcher	African	Terpsiphone	viridis
Pigeon	Speckled	Columba	guinea
Plover	Three-banded	Charadrius	tricollaris
Prinia	Karoo	Prinia	maculosa
Puffback	Black-backed	Dryoscopus	cubla
Quelea	Red-billed	Quelea	quelea
Raven	White-necked	Corvus	albicollis
Robin-chat	Саре	Cossypha	caffra
Rock-thrush	Саре	Monticola	rupestris
Rush-warbler	Little	Bradypterus	baboecala
Saw-wing	Black (Southern race)	Psalidoprocne	holomelaena
Scrub-robin	Brown	Cercotrichas	signata
Scrub-robin	White-browed	Cercotrichas	leucophrys
Seedeater	Streaky-headed	Crithagra	gularis
Sparrow	Саре	Passer	melanurus
Sparrow	House	Passer	domesticus

Sparrow	Southern Grey-headed	Passer	diffusus
Sparrowhawk	Black	Accipiter	melanoleucus
Sparrowhawk	Little	Accipiter	minullus
Spoonbill	African	Platalea	alba
Spurfowl	Red-necked	Pternistis	afer
Starling	Black-bellied	Lamprotornis	corruscus
Starling	Cape Glossy	Lamprotornis	nitens
Starling	Common	Sturnus	vulgaris
Starling	Pied	Spreo	bicolor
Starling	Red-winged	Onychognathus	morio
Stilt	Black-winged	Himantopus	himantopus
Stonechat	African	Saxicola	torquatus
Stork	White	Ciconia	ciconia
Sugarbird	Саре	Promerops	cafer
Sunbird	Amethyst	Chalcomitra	amethystina
Sunbird	Collared	Hedydipna	collaris
Sunbird	Greater Double-collared	Cinnyris	afer
Sunbird	Grey	Cyanomitra	veroxii
Sunbird	Malachite	Nectarinia	famosa
Sunbird	Orange-breasted	Anthobaphes	violacea
Sunbird	Southern Double-collared	Cinnyris	chalybeus
Swallow	Barn	Hirundo	rustica
Swallow	Greater Striped	Hirundo	cucullata
Swallow	Lesser Striped	Hirundo	abyssinica
Swallow	White-throated	Hirundo	albigularis
Swamp-warbler	Lesser	Acrocephalus	gracilirostris
Swift	Alpine	Tachymarptis	melba
Swift	Horus	Apus	horus
Swift	Little	Apus	affinis
Swift	White-rumped	Apus	caffer
Tchagra	Southern	Tchagra	tchagra
Teal	Саре	Anas	capensis
Thrush	Olive	Turdus	olivaceus
Tinkerbird	Red-fronted	Pogoniulus	pusillus
Tit-babbler	Chestnut-vented	Parisoma	subcaeruleum
Trogon	Narina	Apaloderma	narina
Turaco	Knysna	Tauraco	corythaix
Turtle-dove	Саре	Streptopelia	capicola
Wagtail	Саре	Motacilla	capensis
Warbler	Knysna	Bradypterus	sylvaticus
Warbler	Victorin's	Cryptillas	victorini
Waxbill	Common	Estrilda	astrild
Waxbill	Swee	Соссорудіа	melanotis
Weaver	Саре	Ploceus	capensis
Weaver	Dark-backed	Ploceus	bicolor
Weaver	Spectacled	Ploceus	ocularis
Weaver	Thick-billed	Amblyospiza	albifrons

Weaver	Village	Ploceus	cucullatus
White-eye	Саре	Zosterops	virens
Whydah	Pin-tailed	Vidua	macroura
Wood-dove	Emerald-spotted	Turtur	chalcospilos
Wood-hoopoe	Green	Phoeniculus	purpureus
Woodland-warbler	Yellow-throated	Phylloscopus	ruficapilla
Woodpecker	Cardinal	Dendropicos	fuscescens
Woodpecker	Knysna	Campethera	notata
Woodpecker	Olive	Dendropicos	griseocephalus